Calendar No. 100

111TH CONGRESS, *1st Session* | SENATE | REPORT 111–40

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND VETERANS AFFAIRS AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATION BILL, 2010

JULY 7, 2009.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. JOHNSON, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 1407]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 1407) making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

Amounts in new budget authority

Total of bill as reported to the Senate for fiscal year 2010 [1]	$133,926,656,000
Total of bill as reported to the Senate for fiscal year 2011, advance appropriations	48,183,000,000
Amount of 2009 appropriations [2]	126,817,267,000
Amount of 2010 budget estimate [3]	133,487,510,000
Bill as recommended to Senate for fiscal year 2010 compared to—	
Amount of 2009 appropriations [2]	+7,109,389,000
Amount of 2010 budget estimate [3]	+439,146,000

[1] Includes $1,398,984,000 in overseas contingency operations funding for fiscal year 2010.

[2] Includes $7,211,342,000 in emergency funding provided in Public Laws 110–329 and 111–5 and in supplemental funding provided in Public Law 111–32.

[3] Includes $1,404,984,000 in overseas contingency operations funding for fiscal year 2010.

50–779 PDF

CONTENTS

	Page
Background:	
Purpose of the Bill	4
Comparative Statement	4
Committee Recommendation	4
Overview and Summary of the Bill	4
Military Construction: Investing in Infrastructure for Our Troops and Their Families	5
Department of Veterans Affairs: Keeping America's Commitment to Our Nation's Veterans	6
Title I:	
Military Construction:	
Items of Special Interest:	
Hearings	8
Summary of Committee Recommendations	8
Reprogramming Guidelines	8
Real Property Maintenance	9
Incremental Funding	9
Global Challenges	10
Barracks Modernization	15
Green Building Technology	16
Military Construction, Army	17
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	18
Military Construction, Air Force	19
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	20
Military Construction, Reserve Components	23
North Atlantic Treaty Organization	24
Family Housing Overview	24
Family Housing Construction, Army	25
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army	26
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	26
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	27
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	28
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	29
Family Housing Construction, Defense-Wide	29
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	30
Family Housing Improvement Fund	30
Homeowners Assistance Fund	30
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide	31
Base Closure Account 1990	32
Base Closure Account 2005	33
Administrative Provisions	40
Title II:	
Department of Veterans Affairs	42
Items of Special Interest:	
Hearings	42
Summary of Committee Recommendation	42
Department Overview	42
Veterans Benefits Administration	44
Compensation and Pensions	44
Readjustment Benefits	46
Veterans Insurance and Indemnities	47
Veterans Housing Benefit Program Fund	47
Vocational Rehabilitation Loans Program Account	48

Page

Title II—Continued
Department of Veterans Affairs—Continued
Veterans Benefits Administration—Continued
Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program Account 48
Veterans Health Administration:
Medical Services 51
Medical Support and Compliance 56
Medical Facilities 57
Medical and Prosthetic Research 58
Medical Care Cost Recovery Collections 59
Medical Care Collection Fund—Revenues Applied 59
National Cemetery Administration 59
Departmental Administration 60
General Operating Expenses 61
Information Technology Systems 63
Office of the Inspector General 64
Construction, Major Projects 65
Construction, Minor Projects 67
Grants for Construction of State Extended Care Facilities 68
Grants for Construction of State Veterans Cemeteries 69
Administrative Provisions 69
Title III:
Related Agencies:
American Battle Monuments Commission:
Salaries and Expenses 71
Foreign Currency Fluctuations 71
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims: Salaries and Expenses 72
Department of Defense—Civil: Cemeterial Expenses, Army: Salaries and Expenses 72
Armed Forces Retirement Home 73
Title IV:
Overseas Contingency Operations:
Military Construction, Army 74
Military Construction, Air Force 74
Military Construction, Defense-Wide 75
Title V:
Department of Veterans Affairs:
Veterans Health Administration Overview 76
Medical Services 76
Medical Support and Compliance 76
Medical Facilities 76
Title VI: General Provisions 78
Compliance With Paragraph 7, Rule XVI, of the Standing Rules of the Senate 79
Compliance With Paragraph 7(c), Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate 80
Compliance With Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate 81
Budgetary Impact of Bill 83
Military Construction Project Listing by Location 84
Disclosure of Congressionally Directed Spending Items 112

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction, Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies appropriations bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, for both active and reserve forces. It also finances the cost of military family housing and the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law. The bill provides resources to the Department of Veterans Affairs for veterans benefits and health care and funding for U.S. cemeteries and battlefield monuments both in the United States and abroad; U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims; and Armed Forces Retirement Homes. The bill also provides funding for Overseas Contingency Operations military construction and advance appropriations for veterans medical services.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling $133,926,656,000 for fiscal year 2010 military construction, family housing, base closure, veterans health care and benefits, as well as related agencies and overseas contingency operations. The table at the end of the report displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 2010 request.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends new fiscal year 2010 appropriations of $133,926,656,000. This is $7,109,389,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, including emergency and supplemental funding, and $439,146,000 over the budget request. In addition, the bill provides $48,183,000,000 in advance appropriations for fiscal year 2011 for veterans medical services. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following "Overview and summary of the bill," and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY OF BILL

The Military Construction, and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies appropriations bill funds an array of programs that are vital to America's military personnel and their families, and to the Nations' veterans. For U.S. military forces and their families worldwide, the bill funds critical infrastructure, ranging from mission essential operational and training facilities to key quality of life fa-

cilities, including barracks, family housing, child care centers, schools and hospitals. For America's 23,400,000 veterans, the bill provides the necessary funding for veterans benefits and health care, from prescription drugs and clinical services to the construction of hospitals and other medical facilities throughout the Nation. The bill also provides funds for U.S. cemeteries and monument both in the United States and overseas, U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims, the Armed Forces Retirement Homes, military construction for Overseas Contingency Operations, and advance appropriations for veterans healthcare programs.

At $77,665,838,000 for discretionary funding and $55,821,672,000 in veterans mandatory funding, the President's fiscal year 2010 budget proposal is a record request, reflecting both the importance of adequately funding military and veterans programs, including overseas contingency operations, and the challenge of dealing with aging infrastructure and spiraling medical costs. More than two-thirds of the discretionary funding in the President's request—$53,039,103,000—is for veterans programs, an 8 percent increase over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level of funding. In recognition of the importance of providing a stable and uninterrupted source of funding for veterans health care, the Committee for the first time is recommending advance appropriations of $48,183,000,000 for fiscal year 2011 to fund essential veterans medical accounts.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION: INVESTING IN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR OUR TROOPS AND THEIR FAMILIES

Infrastructure provides the framework to support America's military forces and military families at home and overseas. New missions to meet 21st century threats, major base realignments, and stationing shifts worldwide, significant increases in Army and Marine Corps troop strength, and the spike in expeditionary facilities needed to support combat forces in Iraq and Afghanistan have brought a surge of military construction demands in recent years. At the same time, the military continues to be confronted with the need to replace aging infrastructure, provide quality housing and community support facilities for military families, and address emerging requirements, such as warrior support units for wounded troops.

To address this broad range of requirements, annual appropriations for military construction have grown exponentially in recent years, driven primarily by the need to implement the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure [BRAC] program, to provide facilities to accommodate growth in the Army and Marine Corps, and to fund sweeping global posture moves. Funding for at least a portion of these initiatives, most notably the BRAC construction requirements, peaked in fiscal year 2009, resulting in a decrease in the military construction budget request for fiscal year 2010. For example, the fiscal year 2010 budget request of $7,479,498,000 for BRAC 2005 represents a reduction of $1,549,415,000 from the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Similarly, the fiscal year 2010 request of $1,958,698,000 for military family housing is roughly half of the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, with the reduction attributed to the

progress that has been made in completing the privatization of military family housing.

For fiscal year 2010, the President requested $1,404,984,000 in addition to the regular military construction budget request to fund Overseas Contingency Operations in Afghanistan. The intent of this additional category of funding for military construction, which the Committee has addressed in a separate title in the bill, is to preclude the need for mid-year supplemental funding for the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Despite the recent history of higher military construction budget requests, the Committee notes that the additional funding has focused on new initiatives, while the level of funding requested for regular military construction, particularly for the Guard and reserve, has remained largely static. Therefore, the Committee has continued its practice of providing additional funding, where indicated, to supplement the President's budget request, with particular emphasis on mission critical and quality of life facilities. The Committee has vetted each of the military construction projects included in the Committee recommendation with the services and military agencies to ensure that every project is a priority of the base commander, meets a military requirement, and is executable. Because the Department of Defense did not provide Future Years Defense Program [FYDP] guidance to accompany the fiscal year 2010 budget request, the Committee was unable to apply its normal requirement that project adds must be in the FYDP. However, the Committee is satisfied that the services and Defense agencies have sufficient clarity regarding future year military construction requirements to enable them to exercise an informed assessment of proposed project adds. Each of the projects added to this bill was cleared through the pertinent service or agency and is authorized in the Committee reported version of the Senate National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS: KEEPING AMERICA'S COMMITMENT TO OUR NATION'S VETERANS

Transformation is the theme of the President's fiscal year 2010 budget request for the Department of Veterans Affairs [VA]. As Secretary Eric Shinseki testified before the Committee on June 11, 2009, "The President's vision for the Department is to transform VA into a 21st century organization that is veteran-centric, results-driven, and forward-looking."

The Committee is dedicated to providing the resources necessary to carry out this vision, and to provide timely, high quality services to the Nation's veterans tailored to their individual and generational needs. Today's veterans are a mirror of the Nation's population—young, old, rich, poor, men, women, rural, urban, and all races and all creeds. There is no one-size-fits-all approach to providing the medical care and benefits that our veterans have earned and so richly deserve. It is for this reason that the Committee has taken a leading role over the past several years in funding initiatives aimed at improving services and facilities for a growing population of aging veterans while at the same time focusing on the emerging needs of Iraq and Afghanistan veterans, including research into the treatment of traumatic brain injury [TBI], post-

traumatic stress disorder [PTSD] and polytrauma injuries, the unique needs of women veterans, the challenge of homeless veterans, and the growing demand for services among veterans living in far-flung and often remote rural areas.

The Committee's fiscal year 2010 VA funding recommendations continue this effort, fully supporting the President's request for mental health care and research, including tele-mental health for veterans in rural areas, and for health care for veterans who served in Iraq and Afghanistan, women veterans, homeless veterans, rural veterans, aging veterans, and newly qualified veterans coming into the system.

In particular, the Committee commends the President for continuing the Rural Health Initiative which this Committee began in fiscal year 2009 with $250,000,000 dedicated to improving health care services for veterans living in rural areas without easy access to VA hospitals and clinics. The fiscal year 2010 budget request continues this initiative with additional funding that will provide an aggregate total of $440,000,000 for programs for rural veterans, including mobile clinics, rural health consultants and tele-health services. The Committee's recommendation includes $50,000,000 for a new Rural Clinic Initiative to provide for the establishment of additional community based outpatient clinics [CBOCs] in rural and highly rural areas. The goal of this initiative is to extend the reach of VA-provided medical care to underserved rural areas.

In fiscal year 2009, Congress provided the Department $375,000,000 above the budget request to begin a gradual expansion of health care eligibility for non-disabled veterans earning modest incomes. On June 15, 2009, the VA began this gradual expansion by allowing enrollment for non-disabled veterans whose incomes exceeded the current VA income threshold by up to 10 percent. The VA's budget request for fiscal year 2010 includes planned expenditures of $533,000,000 to support the addition of 266,000 new enrollments. The Committee lauds this effort and has provided the requested funding to assist the Department in achieving its goal of expanding enrollment to 500,000 new veterans, previously excluded due to their income, by 2013.

For the first time, the Committee recommends advance appropriations for fiscal year 2011 for three key VA medical care accounts: Medical Services, Medical Support and Compliance, and Medical Facilities. The Committee's recommendation, developed in consultation with the Department, totals $48,183,000,000. Advance appropriations for the VA is a ground-breaking approach to budgeting for future VA healthcare costs. Given the volatile nature of medical costs and the emerging requirements and growing number of veterans served by the VA health care system, the Committee recognizes that budget estimates made 2 years in advance of requirements may well have to be adjusted in future budget submissions. This is particularly likely in the beginning years of advance appropriations, and the Committee therefore is prepared to address any funding discrepancies that may arise in these accounts in fiscal year 2011.

TITLE I

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies held two hearings related to the fiscal year 2010 military construction budget request. On May 12, 2009, the subcommittee held a hearing on the budget priorities of the United States Army and the United States Navy. On May 19, 2009, the subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the Office of Secretary of Defense and the United States Air Force.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The fiscal year 2010 budget request for military construction and family housing totals $22,946,036,000. The request reflects a decrease of $7,708,889,000 from the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. However, it should be noted that the fiscal year 2009 enacted level includes $2,880,000,000 in emergency funding provided in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 and $2,725,342,000 in fiscal year 2009 supplemental funding for overseas contingency operations. In addition to the military construction and family housing request, the President's budget request for fiscal year 2010 includes $1,404,984,000 for overseas contingency operations in Afghanistan. The Committee has provided funding for fiscal year 2010 overseas contingency operations in a separate title, title IV of the bill and report.

For title I, the Committee recommends $23,232,299,000. This is $286,263,000 above the budget request for military construction and family housing.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

The following reprogramming guidelines apply for all military construction and family housing projects. A project or account (including the sub-elements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the Congress in acting on the budget request is considered to be a congressional interest item and as such, prior approval is required. Accordingly, no reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced below the threshold by the Congress are permitted.

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or $2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements over $2,000,000. To provide the services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation

such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of the budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in this budget year, as well as projects authorized in prior years for which construction has not been completed.

Furthermore, in instances where prior approval to a reprogramming request for a project or account has been received from the Committee, the adjusted amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below-threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a congressional interest item as defined above).

In addition to these guidelines, the services are directed to adhere to the guidance for military construction reprogrammings and notifications, including the pertinent statutory authorities contained in DOD Financial Management Regulation 7000.14–R and relevant updates and policy memoranda.

REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE

The Committee recommends a continuation of the following general rules for repairing a facility under "Operation and Maintenance" account funding:

—Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement may be up to current standards or code.

—Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

—Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

—The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of $7,500,000.

The Department is directed to continue to report on the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget requests. This information is to be provided on the Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past 2 years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

INCREMENTAL FUNDING

In general, the Committee supports full funding for military construction projects. However, it continues to be the practice of the Committee to provide incremental funding for certain large projects, despite administration policy to the contrary, to enable the services to more efficiently allocate military construction dollars among projects that can be executed in the year of appropriation. As the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Installations and Environment, B.J. Penn, testified before the Committee in June, "as the cost of complex piers and utilities systems rise above the $100,000,000 and even $200,000,000 threshold, compliance with the full-funding policy drives both Services (Navy and Marine

Corps) to make hard choices regarding which other equally critical projects must be deferred into the next year". For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends incremental funding for the following projects: Hospital replacement, Fort Bliss, Texas; National Security Agency CNCI Data Center, Camp Williams, Utah; ship repair pier replacement, Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth, Virginia; Apra Harbor wharves improvements, Guam; and hospital replacement, Guam.

GLOBAL CHALLENGES

In the past 5 years, the Department of Defense [DOD] has undergone a massive transformation in basing strategy and facility requirements. This transformation includes the realignment of U.S. military forces worldwide to meet a new Global Defense Posture, the closure and realignment of military bases within the United States as part of the 2005 Base Closure and Realignment [BRAC] process, the restructuring of the Army from division-based to modular brigade-based, the growth in the end strength of the Army and Marine Corps, and the planned realignment of U.S. marines from Okinawa to Guam. Each of these initiatives represents a significant challenge in itself. The combination of all of these initiatives, being undertaken at the same time that the U.S. military is fighting wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, has imposed significant management challenges on the Department and the services.

As part of the Quadrennial Defense Review [QDR], the Department is reviewing many of these initiatives, including Global Defense Posture moves, basing decisions related to Army growth and modularity, and Guam relocation requirements. Already, the Department has reduced the number of planned Army Brigade Combat Teams and has placed several major construction initiatives on hold pending the outcome of the QDR.

The Committee remains concerned that the Department is exercising adequate oversight of the execution and integration of these vast and various initiatives, in particular the Global Defense Posture moves and the Guam relocation, which are among the costliest and most complicated of the initiatives, and welcomes the additional scrutiny of the QDR process. However, the lack of a clear direction forward on certain programs, such as the Guam relocation, has made it difficult for the Committee to make informed decisions on some of the fiscal year 2010 budget proposals relating to those programs. The Department's decision to not provide Future Years Defense Program [FYDP] data with the fiscal year 2010 budget has further complicated the Committee's efforts to ascertain the scope and timetable of large-scale initiatives.

Global Defense Posture.—As part of the Global Defense Posture initiative, the President has requested $1,499,253,000 in fiscal year 2010 for overseas military construction, approximately 7 percent of the regular military construction request (excluding base realignment and closure). The President's request for overseas military construction projects spans the globe from Europe to the Pacific and from Southwest Asia to Central America.

The Committee continues to support the Defense Department's efforts to reassess and realign its overseas installations to better respond to emerging security challenges. However, the Committee

is concerned that DOD reporting does not incorporate all of the numerous moves and initiatives that impact DOD's Global Defense Posture and does not fully capture the costs and future resourcing requirements for this major restructuring effort. The insufficiency of the most recent Defense department report underscores recurring concerns within the Committee regarding the Department's ability to effectively manage a number of complex initiatives in a short time frame and amidst a dynamic international security environment. Without comprehensive reporting by the Department on the scope and cost of overseas presence, the Committee is limited in its ability to decide between competing requirements in an environment of limited resources. In a September 2006 report to Congress on the review of DOD's 2004 report (GAO–06–852), the Government Accountability Office [GAO] reported that DOD's global defense strategy lacked characteristics of an effective strategy and that reporting requirements regarding this strategy were not providing Congress with sufficient information to provide necessary oversight of the initiative.

DOD transmitted its 2008 Report to Congress, entitled "Strengthening U.S. Global Defense Posture", in October 2008, 7 months after the original reporting deadline. The GAO's ongoing review of DOD's Global Defense Posture initiative continues to identify weaknesses in DOD's reporting efforts. For example, DOD did not provide a comprehensive report of all posture moves and did not accurately capture costs associated with this significant shift in its global posture. GAO's preliminary observations of the 2008 report identified the following shortcomings. First, DOD has not established a consistent approach to monitor initiative implementation, assess progress, and periodically report on results. Second, DOD focused the 2008 report on updating realignment initiatives reported in its 2004 report and omitted any new initiatives that impact DOD's global posture. The 2008 report did not provide a list of all main operating bases [MOB], forward operating sites [FOS], and cooperative security locations [CSL], and the resources required to staff these locations or the end-state justification for such locations. In addition, DOD has not resolved the long-standing issue of assigning management and funding responsibilities for future locations as services resist undertaking the potentially significant operating and support costs they may entail. Third, DOD's estimate for the cost of the global defense posture initiative significantly underestimates the total costs associated with restructuring DOD's global posture. The DOD report estimates the cost of implementing the strategy at $9,000,000,000 to $12,000,000,000, a figure that is unchanged from the preceding estimate reported in 2004 and does not reflect total costs associated with global defense posture. For example, the DOD report did not identify contributions from host nations or the costs associated with operating and sustaining future locations. If host nation contributions are not realized or DOD does not accurately account for all cost factors, the U.S. Government could be responsible for higher than expected cost obligations. Furthermore, DOD did not provide a timeframe for when and how these funds would be spent beyond the Future Years Defense Program.

The Committee is concerned that the Department's reporting still fails to reflect whether the Department has implemented appropriate processes to manage the vast, complex, and costly array of Global Defense Posture initiatives that Congress must oversee. Therefore, in order to provide greater transparency and more meaningful context to support congressional deliberations, the Committee directs the Department to modify report contents for the global posture initiative to accompany the budget submission for fiscal years 2011–2014 to include the following elements:

—An overview of the current overseas basing strategy and an explanation of any changes to the strategy since DOD's 2008 report to Congress and an updated timeline for implementing the strategy;

—A list of all departments or agencies contributing as stakeholders to the content of the report;

—A definition of global defense posture and how this definition is applied to identifying new initiatives;

—A complete list of all current and proposed MOB, FOS, and CSL, along with the justification for each location and the status of host-nation negotiations for each new site;

—An identification of lead service responsibilities to manage and fund each location;

—A description of performance goals that establish short- and long-term implementation objectives of the global posture initiative and measures that can be used to assess progress towards achieving those goals;

—A full report of total costs to implement this initiative, including a breakdown for each location, that adheres to best practices established by the Office of Management and Budget and professional cost analysis organizations and includes the four characteristics of a high quality, reliable cost estimate:

  —Well documented, including clearly detailed calculations and results, and an explanation of the methodology and assumptions used to calculate costs;

  —Comprehensive, discussing all cost elements associated with establishing, maintaining, and operating each location, as well as a specific estimate of expected contributions from host nations;

  —Accurate and unbiased, based on an assessment of most likely costs, and updated to reflect changes in technical or program assumptions and new phases or milestones; and

  —Credible, discussing any limitations of the analysis from uncertainty surrounding data assumptions.

The Committee also directs the Government Accountability Office to assess the Department's updated report and ongoing processes related to the GDP initiative, with specific emphasis on the following:

—The extent to which the report provides a comprehensive and accurate view on the status of DOD's efforts to realign its overseas presence;

—The degree to which OSD, the combatant commands, and the services have established integrated and consistent approaches to monitor posture requirement implementation, assess progress, and periodically report on results;

—The manner in which DOD has incorporated the perspective of other departments and agencies regarding the development and implementation of posture requirements; and

—The extent to which OSD and the services have developed and synchronized total cost estimates regarding the implementation of posture requirements. This assessment should be submitted to the congressional defense committees no later than April 15, 2010.

Guam Military Buildup.—The Committee understands and has been generally supportive of the military rationale behind the planned transfer of 8,000 U.S. marines and 9,000 dependents from Okinawa to Guam but continues to have concerns related to the overall cost and implementation of this initiative, including the cost to upgrade Guam's infrastructure to accommodate the expanded U.S. military presence and the implications of the still-to-be completed environmental impact statement [EIS]. The Committee notes that the Guam relocation plan is under review as part of the Quadrennial Defense Review [QRD], along with the Department's global defense posture and the training requirements for U.S. forces in theaters of operation, and that the results of the QDR are not expected to be finalized until later this year.

It is likely that the QDR findings will inform the number and complement of forces to be relocated to Guam as well as influencing the fiscal year 2011 defense budget and the Future Years Defense Program [FYDP], which provides the near-term military construction roadmap for the Department. Without the needed documentation and clarity on future plans, the Committee is unable to fully assess the requirement for the fiscal year 2010 budget request. For this reason, the Committee has deferred funding requested electrical upgrades and aircraft parking apron projects in the fiscal year 2010 budget request pending the submission of a FYDP and an updated master plan for the Guam relocation, validated by the QDR.

The Committee is also concerned with the overall military and non-military infrastructure needed for the island and the costs associated with these projects. While the fiscal year 2010 budget request includes $377,000,000 in military construction funding for the Guam relocation, there continues to exist critical infrastructure needs that fall outside the scope of military construction funding. In April, as part of its continued review of the buildup in Guam, the Government Accountability Office [GAO] again pointed out the shortfalls in services on the island, including the lack of port capacity, the limited capacity of Guam's highway system, and the inadequacy of the electrical grid, water, and wastewater systems. While these systems are critical to the buildup of Guam, many of these needs fall outside the purview of the Department of Defense and are likely to require support from other U.S. Government departments and agencies. Current cost estimates for the Guam buildup total $13,000,000,000, up from the original $10,300,000,000 estimate. However, in testimony before Congress in May 2009, the Commandant of the U.S. Marine Corps indicated that even the updated estimate may be far short of requirements. And while the Government of Japan has pledged $6,090,000,000 in direct and in-kind infrastructure funding to support the transfer of U.S. marines

from Okinawa, the remainder of infrastructure funds is likely to be borne by the United States Government.

The oversight of the transfer of marines to Guam falls under the Joint Guam Program Office [JGPO] within the U.S. Marine Corps. While the JGPO has made important inroads in addressing Guam's needs beyond the military aspects of the move, the Committee agrees with GAO that a higher level official should be tasked with engaging other U.S. departments and agencies in an effort to secure critical funding and resources. Therefore, the Committee recommends that the Secretary of Defense, or his designee at the Under Secretary level, act as the lead coordinator for the Guam buildup. The Committee directs the Department to provide to the congressional defense committees a Guam buildup report no later than April 30, 2010. This plan should include a list, by fiscal year, of all the projects required—military and non-military—including highway, electrical, water system and port upgrade projects required to support the growth of the U.S. military population on Guam. The report should include the anticipated costs associated with such projects along with the proposed funding source should the funding fall outside the Department of Defense.

Africa Command [AFRICOM].—The Committee recognizes, and supports, the unique mission and composition of the Africa Command, but remains concerned over the uncertain military construction plans and requirements of the command. For the foreseeable future, pending QDR review, it appears that AFRICOM headquarters will remain in Stuttgart, Germany, with Camp Lemonier, Djibouti, the only AFRICOM installation on the African continent. The Committee is concerned with AFRICOM's lack of an overall infrastructure plan to execute its mission in Africa, including the potential of establishing a command headquarters on the continent. The fiscal year 2010 budget request includes $41,800,000 for expeditionary construction projects at Camp Lemonier, and pending any redesignation of Camp Lemonier as a permanent base, the Committee continues to support only expeditionary construction at the installation. In light of the pending QDR report, the Committee directs the Department to provide the congressional defense committees a strategic infrastructure plan for AFRICOM no later than April 30, 2010, including, but not limited to, an updated master plan for Camp Lemonier and an identification of any other planned or proposed headquarters or forward operating locations in Africa requiring military construction, including projected projects and their costs.

Army Brigade Combat Team Strategy.—On June 2, 2009, the Secretary of the Army announced that the Army has halted plans to activate three additional Brigade Combat Teams [BCTs] at Fort Bliss, Texas; Fort Carson, Colorado; and Fort Stewart, Georgia. The Secretary noted that the decision to reduce the number of BCTs from 48 to 45 will not affect the Army's authorized end strength of 547,000, so this total will be absorbed into the 45 BCT plan. However, the decision affects military construction funding appropriated for these three BCTs in fiscal year 2009 and requested in fiscal year 2010.

This Committee has been very supportive of Army modularity and the Grow the Army strategy, which originally included an in-

crease in the number of BCTs by 6, from 42 to 48, and has made a significant investment in military construction to support the initiative. In fiscal year 2009, the Committee appropriated $2,100,000,000 for Army military construction and family housing to support the activation of the three BCTs at Forts Bliss, Stewart and Carson. The President's fiscal year 2010 budget request includes $637,750,000 for additional Army construction related to those BCTs. In addition, the fiscal year 2010 budget request includes military construction funding for schools and medical facilities intended to support the three BCTs.

In light of the Army's decision to cancel those BCTs, the Committee has recommended adjustments to the fiscal year 2010 budget request for certain facilities related to the BCTs. However, the Committee notes that Forts Bliss, Carson, and Stewart are key Army installations with unique training and operational assets. Despite the cancellation of the BCTs, the Army projects continued growth at these installations, with Fort Bliss projected to grow from 13,742 in 2003 to 36,069 in 2013, Fort Carson from 15,199 to 25,033, and Fort Stewart from 20,512 to 24,970. The Committee recognizes that the Army has existing facility shortfalls at these installations, and instead of rescinding fiscal year 2009 funding for the three BCTs, directs the Secretary of the Army to prepare and submit to the congressional defense committees alternative spending proposals and reprogrammings to address existing military construction requirements at these installations.

In a related matter, the evaluation of whether to keep two BCTs in Europe beyond the scheduled date to restation to the United States, and to which installation they will be assigned, is under consideration by the Department of Defense in the QDR. While the decision on this issue is separate from the 45 BCT decision, the military construction implications could be significant. Therefore, the Committee believes this decision is part of the overall construction requirement plan. The Army's ability to expeditiously formulate a comprehensive stationing plan for all of its BCTs and to communicate it to Congress will be instrumental in this Committee's ability to support the new construction plan by maximizing the funds available to it.

BARRACKS MODERNIZATION

Given the Defense Department's aging and outmoded legacy barracks inventory, coupled with recent growth in the end strength of the Army and Marine Corps, the Committee recognizes both the importance and the challenge of reducing the deficit in barracks space for unaccompanied personnel and eliminating inadequate troop barracks throughout the military. To that end, the Committee commends the services for making barracks modernization a top priority. The President's fiscal year 2010 budget request includes $1,900,000,000 for permanent party and trainee barracks construction, continuing the emphasis that the Department has placed on eliminating both inadequate barracks and barracks space deficits worldwide. In addition to military construction funds, the services also have the ability to use Operation and Maintenance funds to renovate and modernize aging barracks, and have made significant barracks improvements with this funding.

In an effort to gauge the progress that the services have made in upgrading barracks, reducing space deficits, and meeting the target of eliminating inadequate permanent party spaces, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide to the congressional defense committees a detailed barracks capacity and modernization status report including, but not limited to, the following information:

—total number of military personnel, by service and rank, assigned to unaccompanied housing quarters as of September 30, 2008;

—total inventory of barracks spaces, including permanent party and trainee barracks, by service, as of September 30, 2008. This inventory should specify which spaces are adequate and which are inadequate;

—projected barracks space requirements, by service, through fiscal year 2015; and

—a list of individual construction projects and project costs, by service and by fiscal year, required to eliminate remaining inadequate permanent party barracks through fiscal year 2015.

This report shall be provided to the congressional defense committees with the submission of the fiscal year 2011 budget request.

Barracks Privatization.—The Committee notes that both the Army and the Navy have undertaken barracks privatization projects in an effort to improve the quality of housing for unaccompanied personnel. While the Army has limited its program to bachelor officer and senior enlisted quarters using existing housing privatization authority, the Navy has focused its unaccompanied housing privatization effort on moving junior enlisted sailors from shipboard ashore, using special pilot project authority. According to DOD, the Navy initiative has demonstrated that privatizing unaccompanied housing for junior enlisted personnel can be less costly on a lifecycle basis than traditional military construction.

To determine the potential impact of implementing a similar barracks privatization initiative for enlisted Army personnel, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a barracks privatization feasibility report to the congressional defense committees. The report shall include, at minimum, an analysis of the financial and legal aspects of junior enlisted barracks privatization, including a determination of whether new authority would be required to implement a pilot, and an assessment of the potential impact on command, unit cohesion, and quality of life for junior enlisted personnel. In addition, the report shall include a comparison between privatization and military construction of the lifecycle cost of providing unaccompanied housing for junior enlisted personnel.

The report shall be submitted to the congressional defense committees no later than December 31, 2009. The Committee fully expects this report to be delivered on time. In the event this deadline cannot be met, the Secretary of the Army shall submit a written explanation for the failure to deliver the report, and an expected date of delivery, no later than 7 days after the reporting deadline.

GREEN BUILDING TECHNOLOGIES

The Committee notes that the Department of Defense is the Nation's single largest consumer of energy, accounting for approxi-

mately 78 percent of the Federal Government's energy usage. The services are to be commended for the building practices they have initiated in recent years to improve the energy efficiency of buildings on military installations, including both military construction and family housing.

The Committee strongly supports energy conservation measures that not only contribute to reduced energy consumption on military installations, but also address overarching environmental and climate concerns. Among the many energy conservation measures that DOD is exploring, the Committee encourages additional investment in green roof, cool roof, and photovoltaic roof technology. The Committee notes that Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado, recently installed a green roof on the wing headquarters facility as a case study to evaluate the economic benefits, maintenance requirements, and longevity and effectiveness of a green roof. Green roof and related technologies have been implemented by a number of cities and municipalities, both in the United States and, to a larger extent, in Europe, and have demonstrated both energy savings and climate benefits.

Given the scope of the Department's military construction program, these technologies should be considered for incorporation, where practical, into all new military construction projects as well as retrofits in existing buildings. Recognizing the potential benefits of this technology, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to evaluate the incorporation of green roof, cool roof, photovoltaic roof and similar technologies for military construction projects funded in this act, and to consider these technologies as part of the standard design process in future construction requirements. The Committee further directs the Secretary of Defense to provide to the congressional defense committees a report on the steps taken to incorporate these technologies into the fiscal year 2010 and 2011 military construction program. This report shall be provided with the fiscal year 2011 military construction budget submission.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$6,004,317,000
Budget estimate, 2010	3,660,779,000
Committee recommendation	3,477,673,000

[1] Includes $1,362,989,000 in emergency funding in Public Law 111–5 and in supplemental funding in Public Law 111–32.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Army provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Army. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $3,477,673,000 for the Army for fiscal year 2010. This amount is $2,526,644,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $1,362,989,000 in emergency

and supplemental funding, and $183,106,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded as early in fiscal year 2010 as practical:

Warrior Rehabilitation and Fitness Center, Fort Campbell, Kentucky.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $900,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Brigade Headquarters, Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $584,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Army AVIM Hangar, Fort Rucker, Alabama.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $1,170,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Life Science Test Facility Addition, Dugway Proving Ground, Utah.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $2,890,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Convoy Skills Trainer [IED], Fort Carson, Colorado.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that $1,950,000 be made available for the construction of this project.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$3,849,250,000
Budget estimate, 2010	3,763,264,000
Committee recommendation	3,548,771,000

[1] Includes $515,881,000 in emergency funding in Public Law 111–5 and in supplemental funding in Public Law 111–32.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, naval installations, facilities, and real property for the Navy and the Marine Corps. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $3,548,771,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 2010. This amount is $300,479,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $515,881,000 in emergency and supplemental funding, and $214,493,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following project to be awarded as early in fiscal year 2010 as practical.

Consolidation of Structural Shops, Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, Maine.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this ac-

count, the Committee directs that $2,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$1,558,545,000
Budget estimate, 2010	1,145,434,000
Committee recommendation	1,213,539,000

[1] Includes $461,620,000 in emergency funding in Public Law 111–5 and in supplemental funding in Public Law 111–32.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Air Force provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Air Force. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $1,213,539,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 2010. This amount is $345,006,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $461,620,000 in emergency and supplemental funding, and $68,105,000 above the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded as early in fiscal year 2010 as practical:

Widen Paine Street, Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that $2,000,000 be made available for the construction of this project.

C–17 Kona Short Auxiliary Airfield, Kona International Airport, Hawaii.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $910,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Dormitory, Cannon Air Force Base, New Mexico.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $1,500,000 be made available for the design of this facility. The Committee notes that this dormitory is needed to meet new requirements for the beddown of Special Operations Forces at Cannon, and that not only is there a current deficit in dorm rooms at this installation, but most of the existing dorm rooms are rated substandard, due to mold, asbestos, and infrastructure deficiencies. The Committee therefore urges the Air Force to advance this project into the fiscal year 2011 budget request.

Student Officer Quarters, Phase 2, Laughlin AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $713,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Consolidated Security Forces Operations Center, Lackland AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $342,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Mission Operations Center, Dyess AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $390,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Civil Engineer Maintenance Complex, Mountain Home AFB, Idaho.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $1,700,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$3,803,167,000
Budget estimate, 2010	3,097,526,000
Committee recommendation	3,069,114,000

[1] Includes $2,111,552,000 in emergency funding in Public Law 111–5 and in supplemental funding in Public Law 111–32.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Department of Defense provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property Defense-Wide. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $3,069,114,000 for projects considered within the "Defense-Wide" account. This amount is $734,053,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $2,111,552,000 in emergency and supplemental funding, and $28,412,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

Landstuhl Regional Medical Center.—The Committee notes that Landstuhl Regional Medical Center in Germany, which serves as the main evacuation center for combat casualties from Iraq and Afghanistan, is an aging and inefficient facility with serious structural and design deficiencies. In addition to serving as a combat evacuation center, the hospital provides medical care for military personnel and families in the Kaiserslautern/Ramstein community as well as specialty care for servicemembers and dependents throughout the European Command. The TRICARE Management Agency [TMA] has programmed a $405,000,000 replacement hospital in the fiscal year 2011 to 2014 timeframe, which is to be built on the same footprint as the existing hospital. The Committee strongly supports the replacement of the Landstuhl Regional Medical Center, but believes that the Department should complete a site assessment before replacing the hospital at its current location. The Committee notes that Ramstein Air Force Base, which is adjacent to Landstuhl, is the transport hub for combat casualties and could potentially accommodate the new hospital. The Committee, therefore, directs the Department of Defense to conduct a cost vs. benefit analysis of locating the replacement hospital at Landstuhl

or Ramstein. The assessment should include ancillary facilities that would need to be co-located with the hospital as well as any housing or barracks requirements. The report should be delivered to the congressional defense committees with the submission of the fiscal year 2011 budget. Pending the outcome of that study, the Committee has deleted without prejudice funding for a warrior transition center included in the Army's fiscal year 2010 budget request that was to be located at Landstuhl in conjunction with the existing hospital. The Committee urges the Army to submit a new request for the warrior transition center when a final decision on the location and design of the new hospital has been made.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following project to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2010 as practical:

Women's Health Center Facility, Fort Lewis, Washington.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $2,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has provided $10,000,000 for the Secretary of Defense "Contingency Construction" account, as requested. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements and military exercises, including those related to overseas contingency operations.

ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

The Committee recommends $225,000,000 for the Energy Conservation Investment Program [ECIP]. This amount is $135,000,000 above the budget request. While this is a significant increase above the request, the Committee notes that ECIP has proven to be one of the Department's most effective and reliable programs in promoting energy conservation and investment in renewable energy resources, including wind, solar, geothermal, waste-to-energy, and biomass, at U.S. military installations. The Committee believes that significantly stepping up the pace of investing in energy conservation and renewable and alternative energy projects for the Department of Defense [DOD] can contribute significantly to the administration's emphasis on promoting energy policies to reduce reliance on foreign oil, enhance energy security for key military assets, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and combat climate change.

As noted elsewhere in the report, the Committee encourages the expanded use of green building technologies in new military construction to promote energy conservation and efficiency. Additionally, the Committee strongly urges the Department and the services to assess the potential of retrofitting existing buildings with green building technologies, including green roofs, cool roofs, photovoltaic roofs and similar technologies, where structurally and economically feasible. While the ECIP account is primarily directed at "quick fix" projects that pay back the investment within 10 years, the Committee believes that the critical need to reduce energy consumption and promote environmentally friendly and climate friendly energy conservation initiatives should be taken into account when determining the lifecycle savings that can be obtained

through green roof and similar technologies. The Committee notes that ECIP funds have been used for photovoltaic roof projects, and encourages the use of these funds for green roof and cool roof technologies as well. The Committee has not set aside a specific amount of funding for this initiative, but encourages the Department to dedicate a portion of the additional ECIP funding in the Committee recommendation for green roof and related technology projects. The Committee also directs the Secretary to provide to the congressional defense committees a plan for assessing the feasibility and lifecycle benefits of retrofitting existing buildings on military installations with green roof and related technologies, including a projected timetable and cost estimate. This report shall be submitted no later than December 31, 2009.

The Committee understands that the military services are working to increase energy efficiency and renewable energy on at least 35 bases and clusters of installations. With strategic cross-service planning, project design and engineering, and sufficient funding, this initiative could accelerate mission critical results. As such, the Committee supports allowing ECIP funds to be spent on audits and renewable assessments tied to specific prospective projects.

In terms of energy security, the Committee supports an aggressive, cross-service program emphasizing strategic planning, analysis, and design of projects to protect mission critical assets. Continued concerns over the vulnerability of the power grid in the United States have underscored the need for the Department to reduce commercial energy consumption and to seek the means to achieve environmentally friendly energy self-sufficiency in the event of prolonged power disruptions. The Committee is concerned that the Department has not established a policy to pursue energy independence on military installations, and urges the development of such a policy and the designation of a senior level service official, to be designated by the Secretary, to oversee the development and implementation of the policy. The Committee expects the Department to dedicate a portion of the additional ECIP funding to assess power vulnerabilities at military installations and the risk that prolonged power disruption would pose to mission critical activities. The Department should develop plans, programs and, where appropriate, demonstration projects that use combinations of energy efficiency and renewable energy resources to mitigate risk to mission critical assets and promote energy independence at military installations. In conjunction with this effort, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the services, to coordinate with the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Energy, the Federal Regulatory Commission, and relevant State officials to develop a coordinated cross-service, cross-agency plan to ensure the energy security of mission critical assets on U.S. military installations.

The Committee directs the Secretary to provide an expenditure plan for the additional funds within 60 days after enactment of this act.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$1,629,451,000
Budget estimate, 2010	1,021,214,000
Committee recommendation	1,285,383,000

[1] Includes $100,000,000 in emergency funding in Public Law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for Reserve components provides for acquisition, construction, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Reserve components. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $1,285,383,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is $344,068,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $100,000,000 in emergency funding, and $264,169,000 above the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve components, as outlined in the following table:

RESERVE COMPONENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Component	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard	$426,491	$497,210
Air National Guard	128,261	297,661
Army Reserve	374,862	379,012
Navy Reserve	64,124	64,124
Air Force Reserve	27,476	47,376
Total	1,021,214	1,285,383

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2010 as practical.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Addition/Alteration Davenport Army Aviation Facility, Davenport, Iowa.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that $2,000,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Armed Forces Reserve Center/Field Maintenance Shop, Johnston, Iowa.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $180,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Joint Force Headquarters, Hanscom Air Force Base, Massachusetts.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $2,663,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Raymond J. Bohn Readiness Center Addition, Bismarck, North Dakota.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that $2,000,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Addition/Alteration Clark County Armory, Floyd Edsall Training Center, Nevada.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that $2,000,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Storm Water and Sewer Infrastructure Improvements, Camp Withycombe, Clackamas County, Oregon.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $1,226,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Washington County Readiness Center, Hillsboro, Oregon.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $386,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Morrisville Field Vehicle Maintenance Shop, Morrisville, Vermont.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that $812,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION

SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$330,867,000
Budget estimate, 2010	276,314,000
Committee recommendation	276,314,000

[1] Includes $100,000,000 in supplemental funding in Public Law 111–32.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] appropriation provides for the U.S. cost share of the NATO Security Investment Program for the acquisition and construction of military facilities and installations (including international military headquarters) and for related expenses for the collective defense of the NATO Treaty area.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $276,314,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program [NSIP] for fiscal year 2010. This amount is $54,553,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $100,000,000 in supplemental funding, and equal to the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

The Committee recommends $2,308,698,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, and the Department's family housing improvement and homeowners assistance funds.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$681,087,000
Budget estimate, 2010	273,236,000
Committee recommendation	273,236,000

[1] Includes $34,507,000 in emergency funding in Public Law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Army provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements and leasing of all Army housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Army.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $273,236,000 for family housing construction, Army, including construction improvements, in fiscal year 2010. This amount is $407,851,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $34,507,000 in emergency funding, and equal to the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends $53,936,000 for new construction, as shown below:

ARMY FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Germany	Baumholder	Family Housing Replacement	$18,000	$18,000
	Wiesbaden	Family Housing Replacement Increment 2	10,000	10,000
		Family Housing Replacement Increment 2	11,000	11,000
		Family Housing Replacement Increment 2	11,000	11,000
Worldwide	Various Locations	Planning and Design	3,936	3,936
Total			53,936	53,936

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

ARMY CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Alaska	Fort Richardson	Family Housing Privatization (1,242 units)	$46,000	$46,000

ARMY CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
	Fort Wainwright	Family Housing Privatization (176 units)	52,000	52,000
California	Fort Irwin	Family Housing Privatization (90 units)	30,000	30,000
Kentucky	Fort Knox	Family Housing Privatization (205 units)	26,700	26,700
North Carolina	Fort Bragg	Family Housing Privatization (11 units)	5,400	5,400
Virginia	Fort Eustis	Family Housing Privatization (8 units)	6,500	6,500
Kentucky	Fort Knox	Family Housing Privatization (129 units)	14,000	14,000
Louisiana	Fort Polk	Family Housing Privatization (144 units)	18,400	18,400
Oklahoma	Fort Sill	Family Housing Privatization (78 units)	20,300	20,300
Total			219,300	219,300

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$720,042,000
Budget estimate, 2010	523,418,000
Committee recommendation	523,418,000

[1] Includes $3,932,000 in emergency funding in Public Law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Army provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Army family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $523,418,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Army. This amount is $196,624,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $3,932,000 in emergency funding, and equal to the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2009	$380,123,000
Budget estimate, 2010	146,569,000
Committee recommendation	146,569,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements, and leasing of all Navy and Marine Corps housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Navy and Marine Corps.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $146,569,000 for family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2010. This amount is $233,554,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends $27,877,000 for new construction, as shown below:

NAVY AND MARINE CORPS FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Guam	Naval Base Guam Commander Fleet Activity.	Replacement, 30 Units at North Tipalao Phase III.	20,730	20,730
Korea	Chinhae	Construct FH Welcome Center, Warehouse	4,376	4,376
Worldwide	Various Locations	Planning and Design	2,771	2,771
Total			27,877	27,877

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

NAVY AND MARINE CORPS CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Guam	Naval Base Guam	Install Window Shutters PH III and IV Lockwood Terrace.	1,448	1,448
Guam	Naval Base Guam	Install Window Shutters 146 Units at New Apra.	1,439	1,439
Japan	Naval Air Facility Atsugi.	Revitalization of Family Housing Townhomes	10,751	10,751
Spain	Naval Station Rota	Duplex Conversion PH II	11,329	11,329
Washington, DC	Marine Barracks 8th & I.	Whole House Revitalization	4,140	4,140
North Carolina	Camp Lejeune	Camp Lejeune PPV Phase 6 with DODDS addition.	78,857	78,857
Japan	Marine Corps Air Station Iwakuni.	Whole House Revitalization Midrise 1200	10,728	10,728
Total			118,692	118,692

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2009	$376,062,000
Budget estimate, 2010	368,540,000
Committee recommendation	368,540,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for the operation and mainte-

nance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Navy and Marine Corps family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $368,540,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2010. This amount is $7,522,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$475,979,000
Budget estimate, 2010	66,101,000
Committee recommendation	66,101,000

[1] Includes $80,100,000 in emergency funding in Public Law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Air Force provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements and leasing of all Air Force housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Air Force.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $66,101,000 for family housing construction, Air Force, in fiscal year 2010. This amount is $409,878,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $80,000,000 in emergency funding, and equal to the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

AIR FORCE FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Japan	Kadena AB	Improve Family Housing (362 units)	61,737	61,737
United Kingdom	RAF Menwith Hill	Improve Family Housing (1 units)	50	50
Worldwide	Various Locations	Planning and Design	4,314	4,314
Total			66,101	66,101

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$610,926,000
Budget estimate, 2010	502,936,000
Committee recommendation	502,936,000

[1] Includes $16,461,000 in emergency funding in Public Law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Air Force provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Air Force family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $502,396,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force, in fiscal year 2010. This amount is $107,990,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $16,461,000 in emergency funding, and equal to the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2009	
Budget estimate, 2010	$2,859,000
Committee recommendation	2,859,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for Defense-wide provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements and leasing of housing for Defense-wide agencies. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for Defense-wide agencies.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $2,859,000 for family housing construction, Defense-Wide, in fiscal year 2010 as requested by the President.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends $2,859,000 for new construction, as shown below:

DEFENSE-WIDE FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Pennsylvania	Susquehanna	DLA Family Housing—Whole House Renovation.	2,859	2,859
Total			2,859	2,859

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2009	$49,231,000
Budget estimate, 2010	49,214,000
Committee recommendation	49,214,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for Defense-Wide provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Defense family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $49,214,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide. This amount is $17,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2009	$850,000
Budget estimate, 2010	2,600,000
Committee recommendation	2,600,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing improvement appropriation provides for the Department of Defense to undertake housing initiatives and to provide an alternative means of acquiring and improving military family housing and supporting facilities. This account provides seed money for housing privatization initiatives.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $2,600,000 for the Family Housing Improvement Fund. This amount is $1,750,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$559,500,000
Budget estimate, 2010	23,225,000
Committee recommendation	373,225,000

[1] Includes $555,000,000 in emergency funding in Public law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Homeowners Assistance Program [HAP] Fund provides funds to assist eligible military personnel and civilian Federal employee homeowners who sustain a loss on the sale of their primary residence due to a declining residential real estate market attributable to the closure or realignment of a military installation. In 2009, the HAP was expanded to provide mortgage relief to wounded warriors and spouses of fallen warriors, and to provide temporary mortgage relief to all military and qualified civilian personnel required by the Department to relocate who sustained losses on the sale of their homes due to the mortgage crisis. Program expenses include payments to homeowners for losses on private sales; cost of judicial foreclosure; property acquisition by liquidating and/or assuming outstanding mortgages; partial payment of homeowners' lost equity on Government acquisitions; retirement of debt after sale of properties when the Government assumes mortgages; and administrative expenses.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $373,225,000 for the Homeowners Assistance Fund. This amount is $186,275,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $555,000,000 in emergency funding, and $350,000,000 above the budget request. In response to the current mortgage crisis, Public Law 111–5, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, included a provision to temporarily expand the HAP through 2012 to military and certain civilian personnel and their families who receive orders to relocate and cannot sell their homes or face steep losses as a result of the severe national economic downturn, not solely because of a BRAC action. The provision also extends the program permanently to wounded warriors who must relocate for medical reasons or to surviving spouses of fallen warriors. The Committee recommendation provides $350,000,000 to fully fund the remaining projected requirement for the temporary HAP expansion.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees, beginning with the second quarter of fiscal year 2010 and every quarter thereafter through fiscal year 2012, providing the number and amount of claims submitted, the number of claims pending, and the amount of benefits dispersed for the expanded HAP program during the previous quarter.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2009	$144,278,000
Budget estimate, 2010	146,541,000
Committee recommendation	151,541,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This account provides funding for design and construction of full-scale chemical disposal facilities and associated projects to upgrade installation support facilities and infrastructure required to support the Chemical Demilitarization Program. This account was es-

tablished starting in fiscal year 2005 to comply with section 141(b) of the fiscal year 2003 National Defense Authorization Act.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $151,541,000 for chemical demilitarization construction projects, an increase of $7,263,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and $5,000,000 above the President's budget request.

The Committee continues to urge the Department to take all necessary and appropriate steps to dispose of the U.S. chemical weapons stockpile by the 2012 Chemical Weapons Convention deadline and, under no circumstances, later than 2017 consistent with section 8119 of Public Law 110–116. In light of the need for the Department to carry out its mission promptly and safely it will need to provide close oversight over the execution of contracts at the chemical demilitarization sites to ensure funds are spent prudently and efficiently. The Committee will continue to monitor closely the Department's compliance with both deadlines.

BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990

Appropriations, 2009	$458,377,000
Budget estimate, 2010	396,768,000
Committee recommendation	421,768,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The base closure appropriation (1990) provides for clean up and disposal of property consistent with the four closure rounds required by the base closure acts of 1988 and 1990.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends a total of $421,768,000 for the Base Closure Account 1990. This is $25,000,000 above the President's budget request.

BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990 ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW

From fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2009, a total of $24,474,899,000 has been appropriated for the environmental clean up of military installations closed or realigned under prior BRAC rounds. The total amount appropriated for BRAC 1990, combined with the Committee recommendation for fiscal year 2010, is $24,896,667,000.

In appropriating these funds, the Committee continues to provide the Department with broad flexibility to allocate funds by service, function, and installation. The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure, including amounts recommended for fiscal year 2010 for BRAC 1990.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 1990

[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2010]

	1990–2008	Fiscal year		Total
		2009 enacted	2010 Committee recommendation	
Part I	$2,684,577,000	([1])	([1])	$2,684,577,000
Part II	4,915,636,000	([1])	([1])	4,915,636,000
Part III	7,269,267,000	([1])	([1])	7,269,267,000
Part IV	9,137,042,000	$468,377,000	$421,768,000	10,027,187,000
Total	24,006,522,000	468,377,000	421,768,000	24,896,667,000

[1] Not Applicable.

BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 2005

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$9,028,913,000
Budget estimate, 2010	7,479,498,000
Committee recommendation	7,479,498,000

[1] Includes $263,300,000 in supplemental funding in Public Law 111–32.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The base realignment and closure appropriation for 2005 provides for clean up and disposal of property consistent with the 2005 closure round required by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends a total of $7,479,498,000 for the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005. This amount is $1,549,415,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $263,300,000 in supplemental funding, and equal to the budget request.

Brunswick, Maine, Commissary.—Due to the closure of the Brunswick Naval Air Station as a result of the BRAC 2005 process, the continued availability of commissary services to the surrounding military community in the Brunswick, Maine, area is in question. The Committee notes that the Defense Commissary has provided a long-standing service to the military community surrounding Brunswick, including reserve personnel and retirees, and directs the Department to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, no later than September 30, 2009, evaluating the options and economic feasibility of maintaining commissary services in the Brunswick/Topsham area following the air station's closure.

The following chart details projects to be carried out using BRAC 2005 funding. Given the magnitude of this effort, and the number and scope of military construction projects associated with it, the Committee is concerned that the projected construction cost of individual projects planned under the BRAC 2005 program may fluctuate from the original estimate due to market conditions and other variables. The Committee therefore continues to direct that any above-threshold cost or scope variation, any cancellation of projects, or any transfer of funds among construction projects associated with the BRAC 2005 projects identified in the table following

this narrative be subject to the standard reprogramming and notification requirements that apply to the regular military construction appropriation.

BRAC 2005 PROJECTS

[In thousands of dollars]

State	Service	Location	Commission recommendation	Project	Amount
Alabama	Army	Anniston (Pelham Range)	11	Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,000
		Birmingham	11	Armed Forces Reserve Center	10,000
		Mobile	11	Armed Forces Reserve Center	20,430
	Agency—MDA	Redstone Arsenal	134	Von Braun Complex	27,800
		Tuscaloosa	11	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,000
Arkansas	Army	Camden	13	Armed Forces Reserve Center	9,800
		El Dorado	13	Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,000
		Hot Springs	13	Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,600
		Pine Bluff	13	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,500
Arizona	Army	Marana	12	Armed Forces Reserve Center	31,000
California	Navy	Barstow	57	Industrial Machine Shop Facility	14,130
		China Lake	184	Shipboard Shock Test Facility	3,160
			184	Weapons Dynamics RDT&E Center	5,970
Connecticut	Army	Middletown	15	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Increment II	37,000
District of Columbia	Navy	Washington	149	Navy Systems Management Activity Relocation (INCR II of II)	71,929
			149	Renovate 3rd Floor Building 176, Washington Navy Yard	750
Florida	Army	Eglin AFB	4	Special Forces Complex, Increment II	8,000
	Air Force	Eglin AFB	125	BRAC F–35 Live Ordnance Load Area	6,624
			4B, 125	CE Facility	2,000
			125	F–35 (JSF) Duke Field Control Tower	2,280
			4B, 125	Fitness Facility	2,750
			125	STOVL Simulated Carrier Practice Landing Deck	27,690
			125	School Age Facility	2,600
			125	Security Forces Facility	890
			125	Taxiway Extension	13,000
			125	Traffic Management Cargo Processing Facility	900
Georgia	Army	Benning	9	AAFES Troop Store	1,950
			17	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,000
			2	Equipment Concentration Site	43,000
			9	General Instruction Complex 2, Increment II	58,000
			9	Maneuver Ctr HQ & CDI Bldg Expansion	42,000
			9	Medical Facility, Increment II	77,000
Iowa	Army	Cedar Rapids	21	Armed Forces Reserve Center	42,000
		Iowa AAP	21	Armed Forces Reserve Center	27,000

BRAC 2005 PROJECTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

State	Service	Location	Commission recommendation	Project	Amount
		Muscatine	21	Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,800
Illinois	Army	Rock Island	2	Army Headquarters Building Renovation	20,000
Kentucky	Army	Campbell	43	Armed Forces Reserve Center	5,900
			2	Headquarters Building, Group	14,800
		Knox	55	Armed Forces Reserve Center	2,300
Maryland	Army	Aberdeen PG	5	C4ISR, Phase 2, Increment II	156,000
	Agency—TMA	Bethesda (WRNMMC)	169	Medical Center Addition, Increment 3	108,850
			169	Traffic Mitigation, Increment I	18,400
			169	Site Utility Infrastructure Upgrade for NICoE	6,500
	Army	Detrick	174	Joint Bio-Med RDA Management Center	8,300
		Forest Glenn	169	Museum	12,200
	Agency—DISA	Fort Meade	140	Construct DISA Building	131,662
	Army	Fort Meade	141	Defense Media Activity, Increment II	17,000
Maine	Navy	Brunswick	65	Marine Corps Reserve Center	12,960
Michigan	Army	Detroit Arsenal	176	Administrative Office Buildings, Increment II	21,384
			176	Weapons Systems Support and Training	8,300
		Ft. Custer (Augusta)	26	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,500
	Air Force	Selfridge ANGB	95	A10 Arm/Disarm Apron	1,350
			95	Repair Munitions Admin Building 891	3,100
			95	Upgrade Munitions Maintenance Shop	1,650
			95	Upgrade Munitions Missile Maintenance Bays	2,350
Missouri	Army	Kirksville	28	Armed Forces Reserve Center	6,600
Montana	Army	Great Falls	29	Armed Forces Reserve Center	7,600
North Carolina	Army	Bragg	3	Band Training Facility	4,200
			3	Headquarters Bldg, FORSCOM/USARC, Increment III	124,000
		Wilmington	35	Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500
North Dakota	Army	Fargo	36	Armed Forces Reserve Center	11,200
Nebraska	Army	Columbus	30	Armed Forces Reserve Center	9,300
		McCook	30	Armed Forces Reserve Center	7,900
New Jersey	Army	Camden	32	Armed Forces Reserve Center	21,000
New York	Army	West Point	5	U.S. Military Academy Prep School, Increment II	98,000
Ohio	Army	Columbus	37	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Increment II	30,218
	Navy	Akron	73	Armed Forces Reserve Center	13,840
Oklahoma	Army	Sill	126	Joint Fires & Effects Simulator Building	28,000

	Air Force	Will Rogers World APT AGS	92	Relocate Global Air Traffic Operation Program Office	1,200
Pennsylvania	Army	Allentown	40	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,000
		Tobyhanna	150	Electronics Maintenance Shop, Depot Level	3,200
	Air Force	Willow Grove JRB	68	Establish Enclave	4,000
Rhode Island	Army	Bristol	42	Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500
South Carolina	Navy	Charleston	181	SPAWAR Data Center	9,670
		Goose Creek	138	Consolidated Brig Addition	9,790
	Army	Shaw AFB	3	Headquarters Building, Third U.S. Army, Increment II	55,000
Tennessee	Army	Chattanooga	43	Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,900
Texas	Army	Bliss	10	Brigade Combat Team Complex #3, Increment III	110,000
			10	Combat Aviation Brigade Complex, Increment III	94,000
			10	Hospital Add/Alt, WBAMC	24,000
			10	Hospital Replacement	89,000
			10	Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility 2	104,000
		Brownsville	44	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,000
		Huntsville	44	Armed Forces Reserve Center	16,000
		Kingsville	44	Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500
	Air Force	Lackland AFB	146	Joint Base San Antonio Headquarters Facility	8,500
	Army	Lufkin	44	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,500
	Air Force	Randolph AFB	128	Renovate Building 38	2,050
	Army	Red River	44	Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,200
	Agency—TMA	Fort Sam Houston	172	San Antonio Military Medical Center (North) Increment III	163,750
	Army	Sam Houston	148	Add/Alt Building 2270	18,000
			148	Housing, Enlisted Permanent Party	10,800
			148	IMCOM Campus Area Infrastructure	11,000
			148	Headquarters Bldg, IMCOM	48,000
Virginia	Army	Belvoir	132	Infrastructure Support, Increment III	13,000
			168	Infrastructure Support, Increment III	39,400
			169	NARMC HQ Building	17,500
	Agency—NGA	Fort Belvoir	168	NGA Headquarters Facility	168,749
	Agency—TMA	Fort Belvoir	169	Hospital Replacement, Increment IV	140,750
			169	Dental Clinic	12,600
	Agency—WHS	Fort Belvoir	133	Office Complex, Increment III	360,533
	Army	Eustis	8	Bldg 705 Renv (AAA & 902d MI)	1,600
			8	Headquarters Bldg, IMCOM Eastern Region	5,700
			8	Headquarters Building, TRADOC, Increment II	34,300
			8	Joint Task Force—Civil Support	19,000
			3	Renovation for ACA and NETCOM	4,800
		Lee	121	AAFES Troop Store	1,850
			133	Administrative Building (DCMA)	28,000

BRAC 2005 PROJECTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

State	Service	Location	Commission recommendation	Project	Amount
			121	Combat Service Support School, Ph 1, Increment IV	30,000
			121	Combat Service Support School, Ph 2, Increment III	137,000
			121	Combat Service Support School, Ph 3, Increment II	145,000
			121	Consolidated Troop Med/Dntl Clinic	20,000
			122	HQs, Transportation Management Detachment	1,200
			121	USMC Training Facilities	25,000
	Navy	Arlington	149	Crystal Park 5 to Arlington Service Center	33,660
		Chesapeake	138	Joint Regional Correctional Facility (INCR II of II)	47,560
		Norfolk	181	Building 1558 Renovations for SPAWAR	2,510
West Virginia	Army	Elkins	47	Armed Forces Reserve Center	22,000
		Fairmont	47	Armed Forces Reserve Center	21,000
		Spencer-Ripley	47	Armed Forces Reserve Center	19,540
World Wide	Army	Various	PM	Planning and Design	26,100
Total Military Construction and P&D					3,901,329
Various Locations	Army	Various	Various	Environmental	147,693
Various Locations	Navy	Various	Various	Environmental	16,529
Various Locations	Air Force	Various	Various	Environmental	19,454
Various Locations	Defense Wide	Various	Various	Environmental	
Total Environmental					183,676
Various Locations	Army	Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	1,169,334
Various Locations	Navy	Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	322,495
Various Locations	Air Force	Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	288,459
Various Locations	Defense Wide	Various	Various	Operation and Maintenance	836,715
Total Operation and Maintenance					2,617,003
Various Locations	Army	Various	Various	Military Personnel Moves	
Various Locations	Navy	Various	Various	Military Personnel Moves	6,504
Various Locations	Air Force	Various	Various	Military Personnel Moves	3,970

Various Locations	Defense Wide	Various	Various	Military Personnel Moves	
Total Military Personnel Moves					10,474
Various Locations	Army	Various	Various	Other	311,138
Various Locations	Navy	Various	Various	Other	20,115
Various Locations	Air Force	Various	Various	Other	23,443
Various Locations	Defense Wide	Various	Various	Other	412,320
Total Other					767,016
TOTAL FISCAL YEAR 2010 FUNDING FOR BRAC 2005					7,479,498

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 101. The Committee includes a provision that restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

SEC. 102. The Committee includes a provision that permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. The Committee includes a provision that permits use of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. The Committee includes a provision that limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

SEC. 106. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

SEC. 107. The Committee includes a provision that limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

SEC. 108. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

SEC. 109. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits payments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. The Committee includes a provision that establishes a threshold for American preference of $500,000 relating to architect and engineering services for overseas projects.

SEC. 112. The Committee includes a provision that establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in countries bordering the Arabian Sea.

SEC. 113. The Committee includes a provision that requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of $100,000.

SEC. 114. The Committee includes a provision that limits obligations during the last 2 months of the fiscal year.

SEC. 115. The Committee includes a provision that permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. The Committee includes a provision that permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

SEC. 117. The Committee includes a provision that permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. The Committee includes a provision that directs the Department to report annually on actions taken to encourage other nations to assume a greater share of the common defense burden.

SEC. 119. The Committee includes a provision that allows transfer of proceeds from earlier base closure accounts to the continuing base closure account (1990, parts I–IV).

SEC. 120. The Committee includes a provision that permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund and from Military Construction accounts to the DOD Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.

SEC. 121. The Committee includes a provision that requires the service secretaries to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 122. The Committee includes a provision that provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program Fund.

SEC. 123. The Committee includes a provision that requires that all acts making appropriations for military construction be the sole funding source of all operation and maintenance for family housing, including flag and general officer quarters, and limits the repair on flag and general officer quarters to $35,000 per unit per year without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

SEC. 124. The Committee includes a provision that provides authority to expend funds from the "Ford Island Improvement" account.

SEC. 125. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the expenditure of funds at installations or for projects no longer necessary as a result of BRAC 2005.

SEC. 126. The Committee includes a provision that allows the transfer of expired funds to the Foreign Currency Fluctuation, Construction, Defense Account.

SEC. 127. The Committee includes a provision that allows the reprogramming of military construction and family housing construction funds among projects and activities within the account in which they are funded.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies held one hearing related to the fiscal year 2010 Department of Veterans Affairs [VA] budget request on June 11, 2009. The subcommittee heard testimony from the Honorable Eric Shinseki, Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $109,010,409,000 for the Department of Veterans Affairs for fiscal year 2010, including $55,821,672,000 in mandatory spending and $53,188,737,000 in discretionary spending. When the fiscal year 2009 level is adjusted for emergency funding provided in Public Laws 110–329 and 111–5 the amount provided for discretionary activities represents an increase of $3,976,605,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

DEPARTMENT OVERVIEW

The Veterans Administration was established on July 21, 1930, as an independent agency by Executive Order 5398, in accordance with the Act of July 3, 1930 (46 Stat. 1016). This act authorized the President to consolidate and coordinate Federal agencies specially created for or concerned with the administration of laws providing benefits to veterans, including the Veterans' Bureau, the Bureau of Pensions, and the National Home for Disabled Volunteer Soldiers. On March 15, 1989, the Veterans Administration was elevated to Cabinet-level status as the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The VA's mission is to serve America's veterans and their families as their principal advocate in ensuring they receive the care, support, and recognition they have earned in service to the Nation. As of September 30, 2008, there were an estimated 23.4 million living veterans, with 23.3 million of them residing in the United States and Puerto Rico. There were an estimated 35.8 million dependents (spouses and dependent children) of living veterans in the United States and Puerto Rico, and there were over 540,000 survivors of deceased veterans receiving VA survivor benefits in the United States and Puerto Rico. Thus, more than 59.6 million people, or 19.4 percent of the total estimated resident population of the United States and Puerto Rico, were recipients or potential recipients of veterans benefits from the Federal Government. The VA's operating units include the Veterans Benefits Administration, Vet-

erans Health Administration, National Cemetery Administration, and staff support offices.

The Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA] provides an integrated program of non-medical veterans benefits. The VBA administers a broad range of benefits to veterans and other eligible beneficiaries through 57 regional offices and a records processing center in St. Louis, Missouri. The benefits provided include: compensation for service-connected disabilities; pensions for wartime, needy, and totally disabled veterans; vocational rehabilitation assistance; educational and training assistance; home buying assistance; estate protection services for veterans under legal disability; information and assistance through personalized contacts; and six life insurance programs.

The Veterans Health Administration [VHA] develops, maintains, and operates a national healthcare delivery system for eligible veterans; carries out a program of education and training of healthcare personnel; conducts medical research and development; and furnishes health services to members of the Armed Forces during periods of war or national emergency. A system of 153 hospitals, 1,002 outpatient clinics, 135 nursing homes, and 54 VA residential rehabilitation treatment programs is maintained to meet the VA's medical mission.

The National Cemetery Administration [NCA] provides for the interment of the remains of eligible deceased servicemembers and discharged veterans in any national cemetery with available grave space; permanently maintains these graves; provides headstones and markers for the graves of eligible persons in national and private cemeteries; administers the grant program for aid to States in establishing, expanding, or improving State veterans' cemeteries; and provides certificates to families of deceased veterans recognizing their contributions and service to the Nation. The National Cemetery Administration includes 164 cemeterial installations and activities.

Staff support offices include the Office of Inspector General, Boards of Contract Appeals and Veterans Appeals, and the General Administration offices, which support the Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Under Secretary for Benefits, Under Secretary for Health, Under Secretary for Memorial Affairs, and the General Counsel.

Reprogramming Authority.—The Committee has become increasingly concerned with the Department's use of reprogramming authority to transfer funds among accounts. The Committee has been very supportive of providing the Department with significant resources to care for the Nation's veterans. While it is reasonable to assume that the VA will utilize transfer authority to properly balance the medical care accounts or as a response to the recent Information Technology Systems [IT] consolidation, the VA should not rely on this authority as a routine budgeting tool. The Committee is hopeful that the VA's large request for IT reflects that the Department's budgeting has adapted to the centralization of healthcare IT under the umbrella of the Office of Information Technology, thus capturing in the IT request the true needs of medical IT in the field. The Committee understands that effective healthcare delivery in the 21st century requires robust and modern IT systems, and remains strongly dedicated to providing the VA

with the resources it needs to accomplish this modernization. In fiscal year 2010, the Committee strongly advises that transfer authority, particularly from the medical care accounts into the IT account, only be used for emergent or unanticipated requirements.

Services for Women Veterans.—The Committee is aware that the percentage of women veterans receiving care at the VA is expected to double in the next 5 years. Many of these women veterans have experienced combat and will present needs that the Department has likely not seen before in the veteran population. The Committee remains dedicated to ensuring that the evolving needs of women veterans are met. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the VA to continue and expand its ongoing study of women's issues, create a certification program for clinicians working with victims of sexual trauma and expand outreach to ensure these veterans are aware of the benefits they have earned.

VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$47,099,162,000
Budget estimate, 2010	55,987,775,000
Committee recommendation	55,987,775,000

[1] Includes $198,000,000 in emergency funding contained in section 160 of division A of Public Law 110–329.

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA] is responsible for the payment of compensation and pension benefits to eligible service-connected disabled veterans, as well as education benefits and housing loan guarantees.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $55,987,775,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration. This amount is composed of $47,218,207,000 for Compensation and pensions; $8,663,624,000 for Readjustment benefits; $49,288,000 for Veterans insurance and indemnities; $23,553,000 for the Veterans housing benefit program fund, with $133,000,000 in credit subsidies and $165,082,000 for administrative expenses; $29,000 for the Vocational rehabilitation loans program account, with $328,000 for administrative expenses; and $664,000 for the Native American veteran housing loan program account.

COMPENSATION AND PENSIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009	$43,111,681,000
Budget estimate, 2010	47,218,207,000
Committee recommendation	47,218,207,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Compensation is payable to living veterans who have suffered impairment of earning power from service-connected disabilities. The amount of compensation is based upon the impact of disabilities on a veteran's earning capacity. Death compensation or dependency and indemnity compensation is payable to the surviving

spouses and dependents of veterans whose deaths occur while on active duty or result from service-connected disabilities. A clothing allowance may also be provided for service-connected veterans who use a prosthetic or orthopedic device. In fiscal year 2010, the Department estimates that the Compensation program will provide payments to 3,154,217 veterans, 348,335 survivors, and 1,178 dependents receiving special benefits.

Pensions are an income security benefit payable to needy wartime veterans who are precluded from gainful employment due to non-service-connected disabilities which render them permanently and totally disabled. Public Law 107–103, the Veterans Education and Benefits Expansion Act of 2001, restored the automatic presumption of permanent and total non-service connected disability for purposes of awarding a pension to veterans age 65 and older, subject to the income limitations that apply to all pensioners. Death pensions are payable to needy surviving spouses and children of deceased wartime veterans. The rate payable for both disability and death pensions is determined on the basis of the annual income of the veteran or their survivors. In fiscal year 2010, the Department estimates that the Pensions program will provide benefits to 303,447 veterans and 187,509 survivors.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $47,218,207,000 for Compensation and pensions. This is an increase of $4,106,526,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The appropriation includes $29,283,000 in payments to the General operating expenses, Medical support and compliance, and Information technology systems accounts for expenses related to implementing provisions of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, the Veterans' Benefits Act of 1992, the Veterans' Benefits Improvements Act of 1994, and the Veterans' Benefits Improvements Act of 1996.

Claims Backlog.—The Committee remains dedicated to eliminating the pending claims backlog and decreasing the average adjudication time for veterans' claims by providing the Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA] with sufficient resources, staffing levels, specialized training, and information technology solutions. Since fiscal year 2005, the Committee has provided the Department with resources to grow the VBA's Compensation and pensions workforce from 7,550 in fiscal year 2005 to an estimated 13,346 in fiscal year 2009. In fiscal year 2010, the Committee has provided VBA with the resources to hire 1,200 new claims processors to bring the Compensation and pensions workforce level to 14,549. These increased staffing levels will provide the manpower to reduce the claims backlog over the long term. However, the Committee recognizes that in the near term new hires are a net drain on VBA resources, as experienced personnel are taken out of production to conduct extensive training and mentoring. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to provide the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress with a detailed report on specific training methods by no later than January 29, 2010, outlining all follow-up testing requirements in place, the number of planned training sem-

inars at the Veterans Benefits Academy, and planned expansion of web-based technologies to improve training.

READJUSTMENT BENEFITS

Appropriations, 2009	$3,832,944,000
Budget estimate, 2010	8,663,624,000
Committee recommendation	8,663,624,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Readjustment benefits appropriation finances the education and training of veterans and servicemembers whose initial entry into active duty took place on or after July 1, 1985. These benefits are included in the All-Volunteer Force Educational Assistance Program (Montgomery GI bill) authorized under 38 U.S.C. 30. Eligibility to receive this assistance began in 1987. Basic benefits are funded through appropriations made to the readjustment benefits appropriation and by transfers from the Department of Defense. This account also finances vocational rehabilitation, specially adapted housing grants, specially adapted automobile grants for certain disabled veterans, and educational assistance allowances for eligible dependents of those veterans who died from service-connected causes or who have a total permanent service-connected disability, as well as dependents of servicemembers who were captured or missing in action.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $8,663,624,000 for Readjustment benefits. This is an increase of $4,830,680,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The large increase in Readjustment benefits reflects the implementation of the post-9/11 educational assistance program, scheduled to take effect on August 1, 2009. On June 26, 2008, Congress passed the most comprehensive education benefits package since the original GI bill was signed into law in 1944. The post-9/11 educational assistance program will pay for the cost of tuition and fees at a rate not to exceed those of in-State undergraduates at the most expensive public university in the State in which the veteran is attending school. Additionally, the new education benefit provides a monthly housing allowance and a stipend of up to $1,000 per year for books and supplies. The Committee recommendation fully funds all requirements for the post-9/11 educational assistance program in fiscal year 2010.

The Committee is very concerned about the Department of Veterans Affairs regulations for the post-9/11 educational assistance program. The post-9/11 GI bill (Public Law 110–252] directed the Department to pay for each veteran attending a private university or college the maximum amount of tuition and fees charged to in-State undergraduate students attending a public institution in the State. In its regulations, the Department separated tuition and fees when determining the highest in-State amounts payable for tuition and fees, instead of using a single aggregate figure.

Under the constitution of the State of California, only fees can be charged at public institutions of higher education. The VA determined that in California the highest reimbursement a student can

receive is $6,586.54 in fees, but zero in tuition. Therefore, veterans in California who attend private institutions will not receive any funds for the cost of tuition.

The Committee does not believe that this inequitable result was intended as part of the post-9/11 GI bill. The Committee remains dedicated to ensuring the Post-9/11 Educational Assistance Act is implemented on time, and strongly urges the Department to work with the State of California, and with other states with no or low tuition costs, to ensure that veterans attending both public and private institutions can participate fully in the post-9/11 educational assistance program.

VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES

Appropriations, 2009	$42,300,000
Budget estimate, 2010	49,288,000
Committee recommendation	49,288,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Veterans insurance and indemnities appropriation consists of the former appropriations for military and naval insurance, applicable to World War I veterans; National Service Life Insurance, applicable to certain World War II veterans; Servicemen's indemnities, applicable to Korean conflict veterans; and veterans mortgage life insurance to individuals who have received a grant for specially adapted housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $49,288,000 for Veterans insurance and indemnities. This is an increase of $6,988,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The Department estimates there will be 7,018,606 policies in force in fiscal year 2010 with a value of $1,089,229,000,000.

VETERANS HOUSING BENEFIT PROGRAM FUND

	Program account	Administrative expenses
Appropriations, 2009	$2,000,000	$157,210,000
Budget estimate, 2010	23,553,000	165,082,000
Committee recommendation	23,553,000	165,082,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Veterans housing benefit program fund provides for all costs associated with the VA's direct and guaranteed housing loan programs, with the exception of the Native American veteran housing loan program and the guaranteed transitional housing loans for homeless veterans program.

VA loan guaranties are made to servicemembers, veterans, reservists, and unremarried surviving spouses for the purchase of homes, condominiums, and manufactured homes, and for refinancing loans. VA guarantees part of the total loan, permitting the purchaser to obtain a mortgage with a competitive interest rate, even without a downpayment, if the lender agrees. The VA requires that a downpayment be made for a manufactured home.

With a VA guaranty, the lender is protected against loss up to the amount of the guaranty if the borrower fails to repay the loan.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends such sums as may be necessary for funding subsidy payments, estimated to total $23,553,000; and $165,082,000 for administrative expenses for fiscal year 2010. Bill language limits gross obligations for direct loans for specially-adapted housing to $500,000.

VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

	Program account	Administrative expenses
Appropriations, 2009	$61,000	$320,000
Budget estimate, 2010	29,000	328,000
Committee recommendation	29,000	328,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Vocational rehabilitation loans program account covers the cost of direct loans for vocational rehabilitation of eligible veterans and, in addition, includes administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct loan program. Loans of up to $1,041 (based on the indexed chapter 31 subsistence allowance rate) are currently available to service-connected disabled veterans enrolled in vocational rehabilitation programs, as provided under 38 U.S.C. chapter 31, when the veteran is temporarily in need of additional assistance. Repayment is made in 10 monthly installments, without interest, through deductions from future payments of compensation, pension, subsistence allowance, educational assistance allowance, or retirement pay. Virtually all loans are repaid in full and most in less than 1 year.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $29,000 for program costs and $328,000 for administrative expenses for the Vocational rehabilitation loans program account. The administrative expenses may be transferred to and merged with the General operating expenses account. Bill language is included limiting program direct loans to $2,298,000. It is estimated that the VA will make 2,509 loans in fiscal year 2010, with an average amount of $916.

NATIVE AMERICAN VETERAN HOUSING LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2009	$646,000
Budget estimate, 2010	664,000
Committee recommendation	664,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program is authorized by 38 U.S.C. chapter 37, section 3761 to provide direct loans to Native American veterans living on trust lands. The loans are available to purchase, construct, or improve homes to be occupied as veteran residences, or to refinance a loan previously made under this program in order to lower the interest rate. The principal

amount of a loan under this authority is limited to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation's single-family conventional conforming loan limit. Veterans pay a funding fee of 1.25 percent of the loan amount, although veterans with a service-connected disability are exempt from paying the fee. Before a direct loan can be made, the veteran's tribal organization must sign a memorandum of understanding with the VA regarding the terms and conditions of the loan. The Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program began as a pilot program in 1993 and was made permanent by Public Law 109–233, the Veterans Housing Opportunity and Benefits Act of 2006.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $664,000 for administrative expenses associated with this program. This is $18,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

GUARANTEED TRANSITIONAL HOUSING LOANS FOR HOMELESS VETERANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The guaranteed transitional housing loans for homeless veterans program account was established by Public Law 105–368, the Veterans Programs Enhancement Act of 1998. The program is a pilot project designed to expand the supply of transitional housing for homeless veterans and to guarantee up to 15 loans with a maximum aggregate value of $100,000,000. The project must enforce sobriety standards and provide a wide range of supportive services such as counseling for substance abuse and development of job readiness skills.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

All funds authorized for the guaranteed transitional housing loans for homeless veterans program account have been appropriated. Therefore, additional appropriations are not required. Administrative expenses of the program, limited to $750,000 for fiscal year 2010, will be borne by the Medical support and compliance and General operating expenses accounts.

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$41,958,903,000
Budget estimate, 2010	45,077,500,000
Committee recommendation	45,234,383,000

[1] Includes $1,000,000,000 in emergency funding contained in Public Law 111–5.

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The Veterans Health Administration [VHA] operates the largest Federal medical care delivery system in the country, with 153 hospitals, 54 VA residential rehabilitation treatment programs, 135 nursing homes, and 1,002 outpatient clinics, which include independent, satellite, community-based, and rural outreach clinics.

The Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Care Collections Fund [MCCF] was established by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997

(Public Law 105–33). In fiscal year 2004, Public Law 108–199 allowed the Department to deposit first-party and pharmaceutical co-payments; third-party insurance payments and enhanced use collections; long-term care co-payments; Compensated Work Therapy Program collections; Compensation and Pension Living Expenses Program collections; and Parking Program fees into the MCCF.

The Parking Program provides funds for the construction, alteration, and acquisition (by purchase or lease) of parking garages at VA medical facilities authorized by 38 U.S.C. 8109. The Secretary is required under certain circumstances to establish and collect fees for the use of such garages and parking facilities. Receipts from the parking fees are to be deposited into the MCCF and are used for medical services activities.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $45,234,383,000 for the Veterans Health Administration, without collections. This amount is composed of $34,704,500,000 for Medical services; $5,100,000,000 for Medical support and compliance; $4,849,883,000 for Medical facilities; and $580,000,000 for Medical and prosthetic research. Medical care collections are expected to be $2,954,000,000. Therefore, VHA will have total resources of $48,188,383,000, plus any carryover from fiscal year 2009, available in fiscal year 2010.

AREAS OF INTEREST

VA Nursing Academy.—The Committee commends the VA for addressing the nursing shortage through the Veterans Affairs Nursing Academy. This 5-year pilot program is designed to establish partnerships with competitively selected nursing schools to expand the number of teaching faculty in VA facilities and affiliated nursing schools in order to increase student enrollment in baccalaureate nursing programs. The Committee also urges the VA to continue its collaboration with the Department of Defense through the Uniformed Services University of the Health Services by providing nurse faculty and nursing students in graduate nursing education programs.

Advanced Nursing Education.—The Committee urges the VA, in conjunction with accredited schools of nursing, to explore the development of a fast track doctoral training program which would facilitate completion of a doctorate in nursing by qualified nurses employed within the VA network who possess a bachelor of science in nursing.

Caregiver Assistance.—The Committee recognizes many veterans, including veterans of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, receive care from family members for extended periods of time. These family members undertake this responsibility without pay and at great personal sacrifice. The Committee is aware of evidence suggesting seriously wounded, ill and injured patients have increased chances of reaching their maximum level of recovery when family members are a key component of providing care. With regard to family caregivers, the Committee believes additional information would be helpful in assessing the best methods of providing long-term care for the most seriously injured veterans, as well as the support for family members who become the primary care providers for this

group of veterans. The Committee directs the VA to conduct a study to assess patient outcomes of veterans receiving a majority of their care from a family caregiver, including the effect such care has on the veteran's ability to recover to the maximum extent possible and the non-economic impact on family members providing such care.

MEDICAL SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2009	$30,969,903,000
Budget estimate, 2010	34,704,500,000
Committee recommendation	34,704,500,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical services account provides for medical services of enrolled eligible veterans and certain dependent beneficiaries in VA medical centers, VA outpatient clinics, contract hospitals, State homes, and outpatient programs on a fee basis. Hospital and outpatient care is also provided by the private sector for certain dependents and survivors of veterans under the civilian health and medical programs for the VA.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $34,704,500,000 for Medical services. This amount is an increase of $3,734,597,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, and equal to the budget request. In addition, the VA has the authority to retain co-payments and third-party collections, estimated to total $2,954,000,000 in fiscal year 2010.

The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2011, up to $1,600,000,000 of the Medical services appropriation. This provides flexibility to the Department of Veterans Affairs as it continues to implement significant program changes.

The bill includes language to allow for the transfer of $15,000,000 to the DOD/VA Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund. The Fund provides a mechanism for the DOD and VA to increase their resource sharing activities to achieve cost effective use of healthcare services.

The recommendation fully funds the Department's request for Medical Services, which includes: $4,563,535,000 for mental healthcare; $2,057,289,000 to provide medical care to Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom veterans; $192,000,000 for Vet Centers; $1,850,000,000 for prosthetics; and $500,283,000 for homeless programs.

Rural Healthcare.—In fiscal year 2009, the Committee provided $250,000,000 above the budget request for the Department to undertake a new healthcare initiative aimed at closing the gap in services that veterans experience in rural America and among the Native American veteran population. The Rural Health Initiative allowed the Secretary to explore new ways of serving these populations by providing the Department with the resources and latitude to fund initiatives, such as, but not limited to, increasing mo-

bile clinics; establishing new outpatient clinics; expanding fee based care in areas not served by VA facilities; exploring collaboration with other Federal or community providers (such as the Indian Health Service, Community Mental Health and Health Centers, or other community-based organizations); accelerating deployment of telemedicine; and funding innovative pilot and demonstration programs. On May 21, 2009, after a competitive process, the VA announced the award of 74 grants totaling $215,000,000. Program offices validated these proposals to ensure that projects and programs were consistent with the VA mission, strategic direction, program standards, and did not duplicate existing efforts. The Committee is pleased that the Department built $440,000,000 into the budget request to continue and expand this initiative in fiscal year 2010 and has included this funding in the recommendation. The Department is directed to provide quarterly updates to the Committees on Appropriation of both Houses of Congress on the status of the Rural Health Initiative.

Mental Health.—In fiscal year 2010, the Department estimates expenditures of $4,564,000,000 on mental healthcare, including $3,258,427,000 for the direct treatment of mental health conditions. The Committee has fully funded this request and is committed to ensuring that the Department has sufficient resources to treat the "invisible wounds" of returning combat soldiers. The Committee is encouraged by recent steps the Department has taken to improve its treatment of mental health conditions. The VA has now implemented system-wide screening for returning veterans to identify depression, post-traumatic stress disorder [PTSD], traumatic brain injury [TBI], and substance abuse, and the Department is integrating its mental health and primary care treatments at more than 100 sites. In addition, the Department has enhanced services to veterans by placing PTSD specialists in each VA medical center who are trained to treat women veterans, veterans with dual diagnoses, and veterans requiring residential care. The Committee remains dedicated to ensuring the Department is diagnosing and treating veterans with these invisible wounds in every conceivable way, and directs the Department to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress detailing trends in mental health conditions of returning combat veterans, as well as any shortages of mental healthcare professionals related to those trends.

Homeless Veterans.—According to the VA, roughly 131,000 veterans are homeless on a given night. Restoring homeless veterans to self-sufficient and stable lifestyles is the primary goal of the VA's homelessness programs. The Department seeks to achieve its aim of ending chronic homelessness within the decade by working collaboratively with other Federal agencies. The Committee applauds these goals and has provided $500,283,000 within the recommendation to support the VA's homelessness programs. Within this recommendation, the Committee has provided $26,000,000 for a new pilot program, which will partner the VA with nonprofits and consumer co-ops to assist veterans that might otherwise become homeless. This pilot will be coordinated with related homelessness programs conducted by other Federal agencies at both rural and urban sites. Additionally, the recommendation includes

$75,332,000 to support the HUD–VASH program. This is an increase of $21,204,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and will expand this program. The Committee is concerned that the VA is not moving quickly enough to hire sufficient numbers of case managers to support the HUD–VASH program and urges the Department to fill these positions in an expeditious manner. Funding is also provided in the recommendation to support the VA's Grant and Per Diem program. Through partnerships with local non-profit and government entities, the VA's Grant and Per Diem program provides critical capital and operational resources to assist homeless veterans. The Committee encourages the VA to continue working with its partners to ensure program requirements, such as inspections, meet reasonable standards that do not unnecessarily obstruct the provision of supportive housing and services for homeless veterans.

Beneficiary Travel Mileage Reimbursement.—The recommendation includes funding for the Department to continue to reimburse those veterans who are eligible for the Beneficiary Travel Program at 41.5 cents per mile. The Committee notes that Public Law 110–387, the Veterans Mental Health and Other Care Improvements Act of 2008, repealed the requirement that VA increase the deductible amounts when increasing the beneficiary travel rates.

Adjustment to Blindness.—The Committee encourages the Department to develop a pilot program to improve the VA's adjustment to blindness training made available to blinded veterans. The training should be focused on strengthening everyday skills and the development of alternative skills blinded veterans will need as they re-enter civilian life.

Project HERO.—Project HERO was established as a pilot program in 2006. The Department describes the program as a pilot aimed at helping veterans access the healthcare they need when specific medical expertise or technology is not available inside the VA healthcare system. The size and scope of the program seems to be growing annually with reports that 30 percent of the enrolled veteran population is being covered under HERO. The Committee is concerned about the oversight and scope of this program. Therefore, the Department is directed to provide a detailed report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress, by October 30, 2009, outlining the extent to which this project is being utilized across the Veterans Integrated Service Network [VISN]. At a minimum, this report should include: the criteria utilized for awarding the service contracts; a list of all hospitals and/or patient catchment areas where HERO is in place; the fiscal year 2009 expenditures for this program, broken out by hospital and VISN; the planned fiscal year 2010 expenditures, broken out by hospital and VISN; what procedures are in place to oversee the program; what procedures are in place to ensure that contract providers make proper referrals to the VA or outside the VA when veterans need specialty care; the fee arrangement between VA and contractors for different types of care, and how those fees relate to the Medicare fee schedule; and what impacts this pilot has had on VA's plans to expand its facilities and services in areas covered by the project.

VA/Indian Health Service Collaboration.—The Committee is aware of the memorandum of understanding [MOU] among the De-

partment of Veterans Affairs, the Indian Health Service, and the Department of Health and Human Services. This MOU promotes collaboration and sharing among the departments in order to enhance access to healthcare services and improve the quality of care of Native American veterans. The Committee is very supportive of this effort and directs the Department to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by January 11, 2010, detailing what collaborative efforts the Department undertook in fiscal year 2009 to expand healthcare for this veteran population. Specifically, the report should include the number and location of each such collaborative effort.

Care Coordination.—As the VA moves toward transforming the Department into a 21st century organization, leveraging technology to ensure adherence to prescribed medical programs is essential. Noncompliance to these programs can result in poor health outcomes and increased healthcare costs. For example, not taking medication appropriately or following the wrong diet can endanger patients and increase the cost of their care. A 2003 World Health Organization [WHO] report found that in developed countries, patients suffering from chronic illnesses average only 50 percent adherence. It is also widely accepted that the growth of chronic illnesses is outpacing that of acute conditions. The WHO report determined that patient-tailored interventions, especially for particular chronic illnesses, are required and that health professionals must be involved. The report also stated that adherence programs may have a far greater effect on health than improvements in medical treatments. The VA should be aggressively moving toward innovative solutions to help veterans adhere to their medical programs. Toward this end, the Committee encourages the VA to develop a 2-year pilot program, which includes at least two hospitals, aimed at involving patients by utilizing technology as a daily way of distributing information to the patient. The pilot should incorporate as many current media format as possible. Examples include, but are not limited to, telemedicine, desktop applications, cellular phones, mobile applications, the Internet, and social networking applications. The Committee directs the Department to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than February 19, 2010, on the feasibility of implementing such a pilot program.

Service Dogs for Disabled Veterans.—As part of the recommendation, the Committee expects the Department to allocate no less than $15,000,000 for the VA to provide service dogs to certain veterans who have mobility or hearing impairments. This program was authorized in Public Law 107–135, the Department of Veterans Affairs Health Care Programs Enhancement Act of 2001, as a way to improve the quality of life for certain disabled veterans, including those returning from combat with spinal cord injuries. The Committee is aware that the Department has yet to fully implement this program, even after signing a decision memo on January 14, 2008, approving a plan for the provision of service dogs to eligible veterans under this program. Currently, individual requests by eligible veterans are reviewed on a case-by-case basis and approved only if a service dog effectively performs a task that cannot be achieved through assistive technology or daily living

aids. The Committee directs the Department to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 120 days of enactment of this act on steps the VA has taken to implement this program.

Age-related Hearing Loss.—The Committee recognizes the incidence and severity of hearing loss due to faulty sensory nerves, known as sensorineural hearing loss, and that the associated costs are increasing at dramatic rates. Currently, there are no approved therapeutics that either prevent or treat sensorineural hearing loss, a leading and costly cause of disability within the VA system. The Committee encourages the VA to examine and support the development and clinical testing of therapeutics aimed at preventing and treating sensorineural, age-related, noise-induced, and drug-induced hearing loss.

Women Veterans Healthcare Technology.—The Committee is aware of the advances in medical technologies, specifically advances in digital colposcopy. This device allows medical providers to detect cervical cancer at an earlier stage, which can greatly improve prognosis. Some digital colposcopies on the market today are compatible with the VA's electronic medical record, which can allow providers to compare abnormalities from visit to visit. The Committee urges the VA to move as quickly as possible to acquire this technology and implement it in the field.

Kerrville, Texas, Feasibility Study.—The Committee urges the Department to undertake a feasibility study to identify and outline the options available to the VA to establish a Center of Excellence for Geriatrics at the Kerrville, Texas VA hospital. The VA is directed to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 180 days after enactment of this act on what steps, if any, have been taken to undertake the feasibility study and any findings, should the study be completed.

Community Reintegration.—The Committee urges the Department to develop a community reintegration demonstration project for service members and veterans with disabilities. The Committee encourages the VA to conduct this project in collaboration with a national nonprofit disability services organization that has a history of providing community reintegration services for individuals with disabilities. The Department is directed to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than March 31, 2010, on its plans and the feasibility of developing this demonstration project.

Salt Lake City, Utah, Mental Health Expansion Feasibility Study.—The Committee urges the Department to undertake a feasibility study to identify and outline the options available to the VA for expanded facility space for VA mental health patients through collaboration with the University Neuropsychiatric Institute in Salt Lake City, Utah. At a minimum, the report should include the following: the current and potential future need for expanded facility space for mental health patients in VISN 19; the feasibility of such a collaborative effort between a private mental health provider and the Department of Veterans Affairs Health Administration; and the medical, legal, and financial implications of such a collaborative effort, including recommendations regarding any statutory changes necessary for the Department to carry out such a project. The VA

is directed to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 180 days after enactment of this act on what steps, if any, have been taken to undertake the feasibility study and any findings, should the study be completed.

Licensed Professional Counselors/Marriage and Family Therapists.—The Committee recognizes that, despite authorization in the Veterans Benefits, Health Care, and Information Technology Act of 2006 (Public Law 109–461), the VA has failed to implement a law that allows it to hire licensed professional counselors [LPCs] and marriage and family therapists [MFTs]. Because of the severity and frequency of the mental health disorders suffered by returned service members of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, further delay will only worsen the problem. The Committee expects the VA to work with the Office of Personnel Management to develop the relevant General Schedule series and begin hiring LPCs and MFTs at appropriate levels.

MEDICAL SUPPORT AND COMPLIANCE

Appropriations, 2009	$4,450,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010	5,100,000,000
Committee recommendation	5,100,000,000

The Medical support and compliance account provides funds for management, security, and administrative expenses within the VA healthcare system, in addition to providing costs associated with the operation of VA medical centers and clinics, VISN offices, and the VHA Central Office in Washington, DC. This appropriation also covers Chief of Staff and Facility Director operations, quality of care oversight, legal services, billing and coding activities, procurement, financial management, security, and human resource management.

The President's 2010 submission for Medical Support and Compliance is based on an actuarial analysis founded on the current and projected veteran population, enrollment projections of demand, and case mix changes associated with current veteran patients.

The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2011, up to $250,000,000 of the medical support and compliance appropriation. This provision provides flexibility to the Department as it continues to implement significant program changes.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $5,100,000,000 for Medical support and compliance. This amount is an increase of $650,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the 2010 budget request. The Department estimates an increased need of $475,000,000 over the fiscal year 2009 level to administer outpatient, acute, psychiatric, and nursing home care during fiscal year 2010, partially as a result of the VA's change in its eligibility requirements to increase the income threshold for priority 8 veterans.

MEDICAL FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$6,029,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010	4,693,000,000
Committee recommendation	4,849,883,000

[1] Includes $1,000,000,000 in emergency funding contained in Public Law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical facilities account provides funds for the operation and maintenance of the VA healthcare system's vast capital infrastructure. This appropriation provides for costs associated with utilities, engineering, capital planning, leases, laundry, groundskeeping, housekeeping, facility repair, and property disposition and acquisition.

The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2011, up to $250,000,000 of the medical facilities appropriation. This provision provides flexibility to the Department as it continues to implement significant program changes.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $4,849,883,000 for Medical facilities. This amount is $1,179,117,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which includes $1,000,000,000 in emergency funding, and $156,883,000 above the budget request. The Committee has provided $100,000,000 above the budget request specifically for nonrecurring maintenance. Additionally, the Committee has included an administrative provision which allows the Secretary to transfer $200,000,000 into the Medical facilities account from the Medical Care Collections Fund for critical nonrecurring maintenance at existing facilities and to address the backlog of code violations as identified in facility condition assessment reports. With this additional funding, the Department will have a total of $1,272,205,000 in fiscal year 2010 to make necessary repairs to existing facilities.

The recommendation also includes an additional $5,000,000 for the Department to hire 20 new contracting officers and 35 new contracting officers technical representatives. The Committee has provided these increased staffing levels to help eliminate the backlog of non-recurring maintenance and minor construction projects.

Rural Clinic Initiative.—The Committee has provided $50,000,000 for the VA to open new community based outpatient clinics [CBOC] in rural areas. This new initiative builds on the success of the rural health initiative and will allow the VA to move forward expanding care in under-served markets. The Committee directs the Department to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a detailed expenditure plan for this funding no later than March 1, 2010. Moreover, the plan should also include a list of all current clinics, regardless of size or contractual arrangements, which provide healthcare services in an outpatient setting.

South Puget Sound CBOC.—The Committee is aware of the lack of access to primary care, mental health, and specialty care for thousands of veterans living in Lewis and Thurston Counties, Washington, and supports the VA's decision to open a CBOC in

South Puget Sound to increase access to these deserving veterans. The Committee urges the VA to expedite the process of opening the South Puget Sound CBOC in order to meet the critical needs of these deserving veterans.

MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH

Appropriations, 2009	$510,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010	580,000,000
Committee recommendation	580,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical and prosthetic research account provides funds for medical, rehabilitative, and health services research. Medical research supports basic and clinical studies that advance knowledge leading to improvements in the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diseases and disabilities. Rehabilitation research focuses on rehabilitation engineering problems in the fields of prosthetics, orthotics, adaptive equipment for vehicles, sensory aids and related areas. Health services research focuses on improving the effectiveness and economy of the delivery of health services.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $580,000,000 for Medical and prosthetic research. This is $70,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee remains highly supportive of this program, and recognizes its importance both in improving healthcare services to veterans and recruiting and retaining high-quality medical professionals in the Veterans Health Administration. Through the Department's research and development program, the VA has implemented a comprehensive research agenda to develop new treatments and tools for clinicians to ease the physical and psychological pain of men and women returning from conflicts, to improve access to VA healthcare services, and to accelerate discoveries and applications, especially for neurotrauma, sensory loss, amputation, polytrauma, and related prosthetic needs.

Gulf War Illness Research.—The Committee is encouraged by the Department of Veterans Affairs' commitment to continue research into gulf war illness and directs the Department to devote not less than $15,000,000 annually, which is equal to the level of dedicated funding in fiscal year 2009, for this research.

Nursing Research Program.—The Committee supports the Veterans Affairs Nursing Research Program, which facilitates research on the specific nursing needs of combat veterans and aging veterans. The Committee strongly supports continuation of this program. The Committee also encourages collaboration between VA nurses and recipients of Tri Service Nursing Research Program awards in the exploration of research proposals that improve the health and well-being of their shared beneficiary population.

Next Generation Prosthetics.—The Committee lauds the recent breakthrough in medical technology under the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency [DARPA]. In 2005, DARPA conceived a project to revolutionize prosthetic arms from the hook-and-cable technology that has improved little since the Second World War.

Using more than 300 renowned scientists, engineers, neurologists, and psychologists, DARPA's next-generation prosthetic arm project will soon undergo clinical testing within the Department of Veterans Affairs. The Committee recognizes the significant impact this could have for all arm amputees, including the roughly 200 arm amputees from the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, and directs the Department to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an update on this clinical testing within 180 days after enactment of this act.

MEDICAL CARE COST RECOVERY COLLECTIONS

MEDICAL CARE COLLECTION FUND

Appropriations, 2009	$2,544,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010	2,954,000,000
Committee recommendation	2,954,000,000

MEDICAL CARE COLLECTION FUND—REVENUES APPLIED

Appropriations, 2009	−$2,544,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010	−2,954,000,000
Committee recommendation	−2,954,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical Care Collection Fund [MCCF] was established by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–33). In fiscal year 2004, Public Law 108–199 allowed the Department of Veterans Affairs to deposit first party and pharmacy co-payments; third party insurance payments and enhanced use collections; long-term care co-payments; Compensated Work Therapy Program collections; and Parking Program fees into the MCCF. The Secretary of Veterans Affairs has the authority to transfer funds from the MCCF to the Medical services and Medical facilities appropriation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommendation includes the authority to retain co-payments and third-party collections, estimated to total $2,954,000,000 in fiscal year 2010.

NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$280,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010	242,000,000
Committee recommendation	250,000,000

[1] Includes $50,000,000 in emergency funding contained in Public Law 111–5.

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The National Cemetery Administration [NCA] was established in accordance with Public Law 93–94, the National Cemeteries Act of 1973. It has a four-fold mission: to provide for the interment in any national cemetery of the remains of eligible deceased service members and discharged veterans, together with their spouses and certain dependents, and permanently to maintain their graves; to provide headstones for, and to mark graves of, eligible persons in national, State, and private cemeteries; to administer the grant program for aid to States in establishing, expanding, or improving

State veterans' cemeteries; and to administer the Presidential Memorial Certificate Program.

In 2010, cemeterial activities will encompass a total of 164 cemeterial installations in 39 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. The Committee's recommendation for NCA provides funds for all of these cemeterial installations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $250,000,000 for the National Cemetery Administration. This is a decrease of $30,000,000 from the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and $8,000,000 above the budget request.

The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2011, up to $24,200,000 of the National Cemetery Administration appropriation.

The Committee has recommended additional funds for NCA to address its backlog in maintenance and to correct gravesite deficiencies, including the repair of sunken graves and the realignment and maintenance of headstones.

South Dakota National Cemetery.—Sixty-five percent of the State of South Dakota's veteran population lives east of the Missouri River. The Committee is concerned that traveling to and from the VA cemeteries in the vicinity of the Black Hills may impose an undue hardship on the families of deceased veterans from eastern South Dakota. The Committee therefore urges the National Cemetery Administration to conduct a study to determine the need and feasibility of establishing a National Veterans Cemetery in the eastern portion of South Dakota.

Yellowstone County, Montana.—The Committee is aware that there is no active National Veterans Cemetery in North Dakota, Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, or eastern Washington. This area encompasses approximately 500,000 square miles. This vast area imposes significant hardship on families who wish to honor a beloved veteran's wish to be interred in a national veterans cemetery. Therefore, the Committee directs the National Cemetery Administration to evaluate the feasibility of establishing a national veterans cemetery in this area. The Committee further directs NCA to include in this study consideration of the Yellowstone County Veterans Cemetery in Yellowstone County, Montana.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$6,618,992,000
Budget estimate, 2010	7,553,500,000
Committee recommendation	7,538,251,000

[1] Includes $358,000,000 in emergency funding included in Public Law 111–5.

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

This appropriation provides for the administration of veterans benefits through the Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA], the executive direction of the Department, several top level supporting offices, the Board of Contract Appeals, and the Board of Veterans' Appeals.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $7,538,251,000 for Departmental administration. The amount is composed of $2,086,251,000 for General operating expenses; $3,307,000,000 for information technology systems; $109,000,000 for the Office of the Inspector General; $1,194,000,000 for Construction, major projects; $685,000,000 for Construction, minor projects; $115,000,000 for Grants for construction of State extended care facilities; and $42,000,000 for Grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries.

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$1,958,867,000
Budget estimate, 2010	2,218,500,000
Committee recommendation	2,086,251,000

[1] Includes $157,000,000 in emergency funding included in Public Law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The General Operating Expenses appropriation, along with reimbursements, funds the Veterans Benefits Administration and the VA's General Administration offices. For the Veterans Benefits Administration, this appropriation funds the cost, including staff salaries and expenses, associated with the VBA's national network of 57 regional offices and other specialized centers which are the main contact points for veterans regarding benefits matters with the Department of Veterans Affairs. All applications for nonmedical benefits are processed in these locations. For the VA's General Administration, this appropriation funds the cost associated with the Office of the Secretary, the offices of six Assistant Secretaries, and three independent staff offices.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $2,086,251,000 for General operating expenses. This amount is $127,384,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and $132,249,000 below the budget request. As noted in the Compensation and pensions account, the Committee remains dedicated to eliminating the pending claims backlog and decreasing the average adjudication time for veterans' claims by providing the Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA] with sufficient resources, staffing levels, specialized training, and information technology solutions. The Committee has included bill language directing the Department to spend no less than $1,689,207,000 on administrative expenses associated with VBA. The recommended funding level includes resources for VBA to hire 1,200 more claims processors.

Disability Exam Pilot Program.—The Department's fiscal year 2010 budget request includes $135,793,000 in discretionary budget authority for contract medical exams, which are currently funded through the Compensation and pensions mandatory account. The administration is proposing to fund this pilot as a discretionary program, rather than as a reimbursement from the mandatory appropriation, as has been the case since the pilot program's inception. The proposed transfer is dollar-for-dollar and would not expand the program beyond the 10 existing pilot sites. The Depart-

ment has provided no justification for this proposed change. Thus, the Committee sees no reason to provide the requested shift to discretionary funding and directs the Department to continue funding this program using the reimbursement mechanism provided in the Compensation and pensions account in fiscal year 2010. The Committee does not pre-judge funding the disability examinations pilot program with discretionary budget authority in future years, but stresses to the Department that future proposals should be accompanied by detailed justifications.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Department	Fiscal year 2009 enacted level	Committee recommendation
Office of the Secretary	7,146,000	9,270,000
Office of Veterans Appeals	68,582,000	73,273,000
Office of the General Counsel	74,343,000	80,778,000
Office of Management	37,546,000	43,956,000
Offie of Human Resources	61,901,000	68,590,000
Office of Policy and Planning	14,602,000	26,015,000
Office of Operations Security and Preparedness	12,025,000	16,746,000
Office of Public and Intergovernmental Affairs	10,005,000	22,579,000
Office of Congressional Affairs	4,379,000	5,109,000
Office of Acquisition, Logistics, and Construction	45,243,000	50,728,000
Total	335,772,000	397,044,000

General Administration.—The recommendation includes an additional $4,500,000 within the Office of Public and Intergovernmental Affairs to fully fund the U.S. Paralympics Integrated Adaptive Sports Program as authorized in Public Law 110–389. By fully funding this program, it will allow the VA, the U.S. Paralympics, and its partner organizations to expedite the development of new programs in communities not currently served.

The Committee provided the Department funding in fiscal year 2009 for an additional employee in the VA's budget office. As the primary liaison between the Department and the Committees on Appropriations, it is essential that this office be properly staffed in order to provide timely and accurate information to the Committee. The Committee is aware that to date, this position has gone unfilled. The Committee expects the VA to fill this position as soon as possible.

Franchise Fund.—The Franchise Fund was established in 1997 as a pilot program and made permanent in fiscal year 2006 under Public Law 109–114. The Committee directs the Department to provide a report on the Franchise Fund's business plan for fiscal year 2010. This plan should include a list of services, customers, overhead expenses, funds collected for services, and the unobligated balance from the previous fiscal year. The VA shall submit this report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than 60 days following enactment of this act.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$2,539,391,000
Budget estimate, 2010	3,307,000,000
Committee recommendation	3,307,000,000

[1] Includes $50,000,000 in emergency funding provided in Public Law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Information Technology [IT] appropriation, along with reimbursements, funds the costs of all IT staff salaries and expenses, the operations and maintenance of all existing information technology systems, and the development of new projects and programs designed to improve the delivery of service to veterans. This appropriation also funds the costs associated with the Office of Information and Technology, which oversees the functions highlighted above.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $3,307,000,000 for the Information Technology Systems account. This amount is $767,609,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee recommendation includes $938,825,000 for staff salaries and expenses, $1,567,690,000 for the operation and maintenance of existing programs, and $800,485,000 for new program development, all according to the Department's fiscal year 2010 budget submission. The Committee is aware the Secretary and Assistant Secretary for Information Technology are conducting a comprehensive review of all information technology projects under development, some of which may be paused to improve internal governance structures and others which may be halted completely. The Committee understands how such a comprehensive review could alter the fiscal year 2010 request for projects and programs that are to receive proposed funding. Therefore, in order to provide the Secretary with the time needed to conduct this review, the Committee has included bill language that restricts the obligation of IT development funds until the Secretary or the Chief Information Officer submits a certification letter to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress identifying which project/program is to receive funding in fiscal year 2010 and for how much. To maximize the Secretary's flexibility, the certification letters may be submitted incrementally, and upon submission, these letters will serve as the base reprogramming figures for development programs.

Program Management Accountability System [PMAS].—While the Committee remains very supportive of leveraging information technology solutions to transform the VA's delivery of service to veterans, it nonetheless notes its extreme concern with the Department's systemic problems surrounding information technology projects. Earlier this year, VA launched an internal audit and review of 282 ongoing IT development programs. On June 19, 2009, the VA reported results of the internal analysis, which showed that 48 projects were either a year or more behind schedule or more than 50 percent over budget. This internal audit came as a result of the March 2009 collapse of the computer application to schedule

patient appointments at VA hospitals. The scheduling application was billed as a core piece of the Veterans Health Administration's large-scale IT modernization effort known as HealtheVet. The failure of the scheduling application pointed to lack of internal controls over development, and the Secretary immediately placed the project on hold.

The Committee is pleased with the efforts made by the Secretary and the Assistant Secretary for Information Technology to address this problem and improve the management and success rate of IT development within the Department. On June 19, 2009, the Secretary announced the PMAS, a new Department-wide management approach to IT projects which centers on incremental development with frequent milestones. PMAS is intended to eliminate long-term program failures by focusing customers, vendors, and programmers on near-term, small deliveries. The Department projects that PMAS will be fully implemented within a year, and those programs and projects that miss their third customer delivery milestones will be halted. Success of this effort to bring internal governance and accountability is imperative if the VA is to transform and modernize its IT systems. Therefore, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary for Information Technology to report quarterly to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress on the status of the PMAS implementation.

Full implementation of PMAS could also have budgetary impacts which may affect the manner in which IT systems are funded. Within its fiscal year 2011 budget request for information technology, the Committee directs the Department to submit separate cost estimates for new program development; operation and maintenance of existing IT programs, including all equipment and administrative support; and staff salaries and expenses. When combined, these estimates should total the fiscal year 2011 IT budget request. Additionally, within the new program development estimate, the Committee directs the Department to separate the costs associated with all project delivery milestones that will receive proposed funding.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$88,818,000
Budget estimate, 2010	107,000,000
Committee recommendation	109,000,000

[1] Includes $1,000,000 in emergency funding contained in Public Law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of Inspector General [OIG] was established by the Inspector General Act of the 1978 and is responsible for the audit, investigation, and inspection of all Department of Veterans Affairs programs and operations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $109,000,000 for the Office of Inspector General. This is $20,182,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and $2,000,000 above the budget request.

The Committee has provided a $2,000,000 increase above the budget request specifically for the OIG to provide recurring over-

sight of VBA regional offices by evaluating disability compensation claims processing and other veterans service operations. The recommended funding would allow the OIG to complete 24 reviews per year, rather than 12, and would allow a 3-year audit cycle rather than a 5-year audit cycle. These audits and reviews are critically needed as the VA expects new claims to reach almost 1 million in fiscal year 2010.

Quality Assurance/Peer Review.—Recent incidents involving patient safety and improper cleaning of medical equipment have led to concerns regarding the VA's internal quality assurance programs. The Committee directs the Office of Inspector General to conduct a review of the quality assurance and oversight programs at the VA, including the degree to which peer review is utilized at VA medical centers and what guidance is in place to ensure proper oversight of contract services.

CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

Appropriations, 2009	$923,382,000
Budget estimate, 2010	1,194,000,000
Committee recommendation	1,194,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Construction, major projects account provides for constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities (including parking projects) under the jurisdiction or for the use of the VA, including planning, architectural and engineering services, Capital Asset Realignment Enhanced Services [CARES] activities, assessment, and site acquisition where the estimated cost of a project is more than the amount set forth in 38 U.S.C. 8104(a)(3)(A). Proceeds realized from Enhanced Use Lease activities may be deposited into the Construction, major projects and Construction, minor projects accounts.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $1,194,000,000 for the construction of major projects. This is $270,618,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The Committee has continued its practice of not earmarking major construction projects.

The following table reflects the President's budget request for major construction projects and activities, and the corresponding Committee recommendations.

[In thousands of dollars]

Location and description	Fiscal year 2010 request	Committee recommendation
Veterans Health Administration [VHA]:		
Denver, CO—New Medical Facility	119,000	119,000
Orlando, FL—New Medical Facility	371,300	371,300
San Juan, PR—Seismic Corrections Building 1	42,000	42,000
St. Louis, MO—Medical Facility Improvements and Cemetery Expansion	19,700	19,700
Bay Pines, FL—Inpatient/Outpatient Improvements	96,800	96,800
Livermore, CA—Realignment and Closure (Design and Land Purchase)	55,430	55,430
Canandaigua, NY—Construction and Renovation (Design)	36,580	36,580
San Diego, CA—Seismic Deficiency (Design)	18,340	18,340

[In thousands of dollars]

Location and description	Fiscal year 2010 request	Committee recommendation
Long Beach, CA—Seismic Corrections—Mental Health and Community Living Center (Design)	24,200	24,200
St. Louis, MO—Replace Bed Tower/Clinic Expansion (Design)	43,340	43,340
Brockton, MA—Long-term Care Spinal Cord Injury Unit (Design)	24,040	24,040
Perry Point, MD—Replacement Community Living Center (Design)	9,000	9,000
Advanced Planning Fund—Various Locations	123,560	123,560
Facility Security Projects—Various Locations	42,510	42,510
Judgment Fund—Various Locations	16,000	16,000
BRAC Land Acquisition—Various Locations	35,000	35,000
Total VHA	1,076,800	1,076,800
National Cemetery Administration [NCA]:		
Chicago, IL—Abraham Lincoln National Cemetery—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements—Phase 2	38,300	38,300
Houston, TX—Houston National Cemetery—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements—Phase 4	35,000	35,000
Advanced Planning Fund—Various Locations	13,400	13,400
NCA Land Acquisition Fund—Various Locations	25,500	25,500
Total NCA	112,200	112,200
General Administration—Staff Offices	5,000	5,000
Total construction, major projects	1,194,000	1,194,000

Capital Asset Realignment for Enhanced Services/5-Year Capital Plan.—Since February 2004, the Department's major construction program has been guided by the capital asset realignment for enhanced services [CARES] process, which serves as a comprehensive, systemwide approach to identify the current and projected demand for VA healthcare. Using actuarial models to identify current and future gaps in infrastructure capacity, the initial CARES process was the most comprehensive assessment of VA capital infrastructure ever achieved.

The Committee has been dedicated to providing the Department with sufficient resources to fund CARES in order to eliminate any gaps in VA infrastructure capacity. Of the 42 construction projects on the initial May 2004 CARES list, 34 have been fully funded. Within the Committee recommendation in fiscal year 2010, $648,800,000 will go toward five of the remaining initial CARES projects as recommended in the budget submission.

In addition to funding projects on the initial CARES list, the fiscal year 2010 request includes $210,930,000 for seven new major construction projects. The Committee remains concerned that the Department has a significant problem with unfunded liability on its existing major construction projects. In fiscal year 2010, the Department will have 21 partially funded projects with a cumulative future cost of nearly $4,500,000,000. Such a large unfunded liability could create funding shortfalls in future fiscal years that could lead to costly construction delays. Consequently, the VA is directed to provide annually to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a 5-year capital plan for VA major construction projects. The report should be submitted within 90 days of enactment of this act and include, at a minimum, the following: a 5-year strategic plan aimed at eliminating any current or future gaps in

VA infrastructure capacity, including the construction, renovation, or expansion of new or existing VA facilities; a preliminary cost schedule, by fiscal year, for all ongoing major construction projects with existing unfunded needs; and a detailed construction schedule of all ongoing or proposed major construction projects.

According to the June 9, 2009, testimony provided by GAO, VA has created a CARES Implementation Working Group, which is identifying performance measures for CARES and will monitor the implementation and impact of CARES decisions in the future. The Committee directs the Department to also provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by April 23, 2010, detailing the performance measures developed by the working group.

Beckley, West Virginia, Nursing Home.—The Committee urges the VA to include in the President's fiscal year 2011 budget sufficient funding for the construction of a 90-bed nursing home and adult day care center at the Beckley, West Virginia, VA Medical Center, which is included in the current VA 5-year capital plan.

Martinsburg, West Virginia, Medical Center.—The Committee urges the VA to include in the President's fiscal year 2011 budget, $3,560,000 for planning and design work associated with the renovation and expansion of the primary, mental health, and specialty outpatient care facility at the Martinsburg, West Virginia, VA Medical Center, which was included in the February 2005 VA 5-year capital plan.

CONSTRUCTION, MINOR PROJECTS

Appropriations, 2009	$741,534,000
Budget estimate, 2010	600,000,000
Committee recommendation	685,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Construction, minor projects account provides for constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities (including parking) under the jurisdiction or for the use of the VA, including planning, CARES activities, assessment of needs, architectural and engineering services, and site acquisition, where the estimated cost of a project is equal to or less than $10,000,000. Public Law 106–117, the Veterans Millennium Health Care and Benefits Act of 1999, gave the VA the authority to make capital contributions from minor construction in enhanced-use leases. Proceeds realized from enhanced-use lease activities may be deposited into the Construction, major projects and Construction, minor projects accounts.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $685,000,000 for minor construction. This is $56,534,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and $85,000,000 above the budget request.

The Committee directs the Department to submit an expenditure plan by November 10, 2009, to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress for the amount appropriated for minor construction.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE EXTENDED CARE FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2009 [1]	$325,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010	85,000,000
Committee recommendation	115,000,000

[1] Includes $150,000,000 in emergency funding contained in Public Law 111–5.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This account is used to provide grants to assist States in acquiring or constructing State home facilities for furnishing domiciliary or nursing home care to veterans, and to expand, remodel or alter existing buildings for furnishing domiciliary, nursing home, or hospital care to veterans in State homes. The grant may not exceed 65 percent of the total cost of the project. Public Law 102–585 granted permanent authority for this program, and Public Law 106–117 provided greater specificity in directing VA to prescribe regulations for the number of beds for which grant assistance may be furnished. This program has been a successful partnership between the States and the VA in meeting the long-term care needs of elderly veterans for decades.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $115,000,000 for Grants for the construction of State extended care facilities. This is $210,000,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which included $150,000,000 in emergency funding, and $30,000,000 above the budget request. This program cost effectively meets long-term healthcare needs of veterans.

The Committee is concerned about the VA's ability to plan for and meet the long-term care needs of America's veterans. The Committee is aware that the VA has decreased Community Living Center [CLC] capacity and that 65 percent of patient workload is now being met by community and State veterans homes. In light of the projected increase of elderly veterans in future years, additional State veterans homes will need to be built. The Committee has supported this effort over the past several years by providing additional funding for grants for construction of State extended care facilities. However, given the ongoing budget deficits being experienced by State governments across the country, the Committee is concerned that the States may be unable to contribute the requisite 35 percent of total construction costs needed to obtain Federal matching funds. Accordingly, the Committee urges the VA to explore alternative financing mechanisms to ensure that a sufficient number of State veterans homes are available to meet the needs of future patients. Further, the Committee directs the Department to report back to the Committees on Appropriations by February 15, 2010, with the number of States that have had to forego accepting a grant due to insufficient State matching funds.

Walla Walla, Washington, State Veterans Home.—The Committee is aware of the collaborative efforts between the Walla Walla VA Medical Center and the Washington State Department of Veterans Affairs to meet the long-term care needs of veterans in southeast Washington and northern Oregon by building a long-term care facility on the Walla Walla VA Medical Center grounds. The Com-

mittee supports the creation of a jointly operated veterans' home in Walla Walla, Washington and encourages the VA to promptly review this application and place it on the priority list for funding from the Grants for construction of State extended care facilities account in fiscal year 2010.

GRANTS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF STATE VETERANS CEMETERIES

Appropriations, 2009	$42,000,000
Budget estimate, 2010	42,000,000
Committee recommendation	42,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Public Law 105–368 amended title 38 U.S.C. 2408 and established authority to provide aid to States for establishment, expansion, and improvement of State veterans cemeteries, which are operated and permanently maintained by the States. This statutory change increased the maximum Federal share from 50 percent to 100 percent in order to fund construction costs and the initial equipment expenses when the cemetery is established. The States remain responsible for providing the land and for paying all costs related to the operation and maintenance of the State cemeteries, including the costs for subsequent equipment purchases.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $42,000,000 for Grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries. This is equal to the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 201. The Committee includes a provision which outlines reprogramming authority and responsibilities for the Veterans Benefits Administration.

SEC. 202. The Committee includes a provision which outlines reprogramming authority and responsibilities for the Veterans Health Administration.

SEC. 203. The Committee includes a provision which outlines the use of the Salaries and expenses account.

SEC. 204. The Committee includes a provision mandating that only construction funds may be used for land procurement.

SEC. 205. The Committee includes a provision allowing for reimbursements to the Medical services account.

SEC. 206. The Committee includes a provision allowing for payments of prior year obligations.

SEC. 207. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the use of fiscal year 2009 funds for prior year obligations.

SEC. 208. The Committee includes a provision which allows for payments from the National Service Life Insurance Fund.

SEC. 209. The Committee includes a provision which outlines the use of funds from enhanced-use lease proceeds.

SEC. 210. The Committee includes a provision which provides for funds for the Office of Resolution Management and the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication.

SEC. 211. The Committee includes a provision which sets a limit on new leases without congressional approval.

SEC. 212. The Committee includes a provision which requires disclosure of third party reimbursement information.

SEC. 213. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the transfer of revenue derived from enhanced use leases into the construction accounts.

SEC. 214. The Committee includes a provision which outlines authorized uses for Medical services funds.

SEC. 215. The Committee includes a provision which allows funds in the Medical Care Collection Fund to be transferred into certain medical care accounts.

SEC. 216. The Committee includes a provision which allows eligible veterans in the State of Alaska to obtain medical care services.

SEC. 217. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the transfer of funds into the construction accounts.

SEC. 218. The Committee includes a provision which allows for outreach and marketing to enroll new veterans.

SEC. 219. The Committee includes a provision requiring the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit quarterly financial reports on the Veterans Health Administration.

SEC. 220. The Committee includes a provision outlining transfer authority to the information technology systems account.

SEC. 221. The Committee includes a provision outlining limits on transfers within the information technology systems account.

SEC. 222. The Committee includes a provision allowing for the transfer of any prior year balances and/or credits in the reinstated entitlement program for survivors account to the Compensation and pensions account.

SEC. 223. The Committee includes a provision maintaining research for gulf war illness.

SEC. 224. The Committee includes a provision allowing the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to transfer limited funds to the Department of Health and Human Services, Graduate Psychology Education Program.

SEC. 225. The Committee includes a provision prohibiting any funds to be used to contract out any functions performed by more than 10 employees without a fair competition process.

SEC. 226. The Committee includes a provision limiting the amount of nonrecurring maintenance funds that can be obligated during the last 2 months of the fiscal year.

SEC. 227. The Committee includes a provision allowing for the reimbursement from the Veterans Reopened Insurance Fund to the General operating expenses and Information technology systems accounts.

SEC. 228. The Committee includes a provision allowing for General operating expenses and Information technology systems reimbursements for the administrative costs of certain mandatory programs.

TITLE III

RELATED AGENCIES

AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The American Battle Monuments Commission [ABMC] is responsible for the following: the maintenance and construction of U.S. monuments and memorials commemorating the achievements in battle of our Armed Forces since April 1917 (the date of the United States entry into World War I); the erection of monuments and markers by U.S. citizens and organizations in foreign countries; and the design, construction, and maintenance of permanent military cemetery memorials in foreign countries. The Commission maintains 24 military memorial cemeteries and 31 monuments, memorials, and markers in 15 countries around the world, including three memorials on U.S. soil.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009	$59,470,000
Budget estimate, 2010	60,300,000
Committee recommendation	63,549,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $63,549,000 for the Salaries and expenses account. This amount is $4,079,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and $3,249,000 above the budget request. The Committee has provided additional funds to support ABMC's expansion of its interpretive program.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS

Appropriations, 2009	$17,100,000
Budget estimate, 2010	17,100,000
Committee recommendation	17,100,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends an estimated $17,100,000 for the Foreign currency fluctuation account. This amount is equal to the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee has again included language in the accompanying bill, as proposed by the administration, that would allow funding for this account on a "such sums as necessary" basis. Funding this account in this manner allows the Commission to maintain cemeteries regardless of the volatility of foreign currency fluctuations.

U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims

OVERVIEW

The U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims was established by the Veterans' Judicial Review Act of 1988. The Court is an independent judicial tribunal with exclusive jurisdiction to review decisions of the Board of Veterans' Appeals. It has the authority to decide all relevant questions of law; interpret constitutional, statutory, and regulatory provisions; and determine the meaning or applicability of the terms of an action by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs. It is authorized to compel action by the Secretary. It is authorized to hold unconstitutional or otherwise unlawful and set aside decisions, findings, conclusions, rules and regulations issued or adopted by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Board of Veterans' Appeals, or the Chairman of the Board that are found to be arbitrary or capricious. The Court's principal office location is Washington, DC; however, it is a national court, empowered to sit anywhere in the United States.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009	$30,975,000
Budget estimate, 2010	27,115,000
Committee recommendation	27,115,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $27,115,000 for the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims. This amount is a decrease of $3,860,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

Department of Defense—Civil

Cemeterial Expenses, Army

OVERVIEW

The Secretary of the Army is responsible for the administration, operation and maintenance of Arlington National Cemetery and the Soldiers' and Airmen's Home National Cemetery. In addition to its principal function as a national cemetery, Arlington is the site of approximately 3,200 non-funeral ceremonies each year and has approximately 4 million visitors annually.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2009	$36,730,000
Budget estimate, 2010	37,200,000
Committee recommendation	37,200,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $37,200,000 for the Cemeterial expenses, Army account. This amount is $470,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME

TRUST FUND

Appropriations, 2009	$63,010,000
Budget estimate, 2010	134,000,000
Committee recommendation	134,000,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends authority to expend $134,000,000 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home [AFRH] Trust Fund to operate and maintain the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Washington, DC, and the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Gulfport, Mississippi. This amount is $70,990,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level for the Trust Fund and equal to the budget request.

The Committee recommendation includes $70,000,000 to replace the primary resident dorm on the Washington, DC, campus, which has $81,000,000 in individual deficiencies, in order to create better living conditions for residents and bring AFRH resident facilities in line with the Americans with Disabilities Act, fire codes, and other building codes.

TITLE IV

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

OVERVIEW

The President's fiscal year 2010 overseas contingency operations request for military construction totals $1,404,984,000 to support the realignment of U.S. forces into and within Afghanistan. The request includes funding for operational facilities, roads and bridges, utility infrastructure, force protection, logistics and support facilities, and environmental facilities, primarily for contingency operating bases in southern Afghanistan, where the troop buildup will be focused and where there is minimal existing infrastructure. The Committee recognizes the importance of providing adequate expeditionary facilities for U.S. combat forces in Afghanistan and supports the policy of the Department of Defense to carry out the minimum construction necessary to support operations and provide force protection.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2010	$923,884,000
Committee recommendation	924,484,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $924,484,000 for Army overseas contingency operations construction for fiscal year 2010. This amount is $600,000 above the budget request. The Committee notes that a number of Army projects for which funding was requested in fiscal year 2010 received full funding in the fiscal year 2009 supplemental appropriations act, and has therefore deleted funding for those projects and substituted other projects in Afghanistan requested by the administration in the regular fiscal year 2010 budget request.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Budget estimate, 2010	$474,500,000
Committee recommendation	474,500,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $474,500,000 for Air Force overseas contingency operations construction for fiscal year 2010, equal to the budget request.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Budget estimate, 2010	$6,600,000
Committee recommendation	

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends no funding for Defense-Wide overseas contingency operations construction for fiscal year 2010. The budget request included funding for one project, which was subsequently accelerated and funded in fiscal year 2009. As a result, the funding included in the budget request is no longer required.

TITLE V

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

OVERVIEW

Recognizing the importance of providing timely funding for veterans' care, the Committee has provided an advance appropriation for the medical care accounts. The recommendations, which were provided to the Committee by the Department, are based in part on the VA Enrollee Health Care Projection Model, which includes the initiative of providing additional enrollment access for over 500,000 previously ineligible Priority Group 8 veterans by 2013. In addition, the recommendation also provides for the continuation of program increases provided in fiscal years 2009 and 2010, long-term care, and the Civilian Health and Medical Program, none of which are accounted for in the model. The Committee recognizes that the Department has made significant improvements over the past few years in calculating resource needs, but understands that these projections may change over the coming fiscal year. Therefore, the Committee will revisit these recommendations during the normal fiscal year 2011 budget cycle and make any adjustments that may be needed.

MEDICAL SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Committee recommendation, 2011 ..... $37,136,000,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $37,136,000,000 for Medical Services for fiscal year 2011. This is $2,431,500,000 over the fiscal year 2010 recommendation.

MEDICAL SUPPORT AND COMPLIANCE

Committee recommendation, 2011 ..... $5,307,000,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $5,307,000,000 for Medical support and compliance for fiscal year 2011. This is $207,000,000 over the fiscal year 2010 recommendation.

MEDICAL FACILITIES

Committee recommendation, 2011 ..... $5,740,000,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends $5,740,000,000 for Medical facilities for fiscal year 2011. This is $890,117,000 over the fiscal year 2010 recommendation.

TITLE VI

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 601. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the obligation of funds beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided.

SEC. 602. The Committee includes a provision that requires pay raises to be absorbed within the levels appropriated.

SEC. 603. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds for programs, projects or activities not in compliance with Federal law relating to risk assessment, the protection of private property rights, or unfunded mandates.

SEC. 604. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds to support or defeat legislation pending before Congress.

SEC. 605. The Committee includes a provision that encourages the expansion of E-commerce technologies and procedures.

SEC. 606. The Committee includes a provision that limits funds from being transferred from this appropriations measure to any instrumentality of the United States Government without authority from an appropriations act.

SEC. 607. The Committee includes a provision that specifies the congressional committees that are to receive all reports and notifications.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports accompanying general appropriations bills identify each recommended amendment which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session. The Committee is filing an original bill, which is not covered under this rule, but reports this information in the spirit of full disclosure. The Committee recommends funding for the following programs which currently lack authorization:

Title I: Department of Defense

Military Construction, Army
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps
Military Construction, Air Force
Military Construction, Defense-Wide
Military Construction, Army National Guard
Military Construction, Air National Guard
Military Construction, Army Reserve
Military Construction, Navy Reserve
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve
North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Security Investment Program
Family Housing Construction, Army
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps
Family Housing Construction, Air Force
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force
Family Housing Construction, Defense-Wide
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide
Department of Defense, Family Housing Improvement Fund
Homeowners Assistance Fund
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide
Base Realignment and Closure Account, 1990
Base Realignment and Closure Account, 2005

Title II: Department of Veterans Affairs

Veterans Health Administration
National Cemetery Administration
Departmental Administration

Title III: Related Agencies

American Battle Monuments Commission
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims

Cemeterial Expenses, Army
Armed Forces Retirement Home

Title IV: Overseas Contingency Operations

Military Construction, Army
Military Construction, Air Force

Title V: Department of Veterans Affairs

Veterans Health Administration

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(c), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on July 7, 2009, the Committee ordered reported en bloc an original bill (S. 1406) making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and an original bill (S. 1407) making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, with each subject to amendment and subject to the budget allocations, and authorized the chairman of the committee or the chairman of the subcommittee to offer the text of the Senate-reported bill as a committee amendment in the nature of a substitute to the House companion measure, by a recorded vote of 30–0, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas	Nays
Chairman Inouye	
Mr. Byrd	
Mr. Leahy	
Mr. Harkin	
Ms. Mikulski	
Mr. Kohl	
Mrs. Murray	
Mr. Dorgan	
Mrs. Feinstein	
Mr. Durbin	
Mr. Johnson	
Ms. Landrieu	
Mr. Reed	
Mr. Lautenberg	
Mr. Nelson	
Mr. Pryor	
Mr. Tester	
Mr. Specter	
Mr. Cochran	
Mr. Bond	
Mr. McConnell	
Mr. Shelby	
Mr. Gregg	
Mr. Bennett	
Mrs. Hutchison	
Mr. Brownback	
Mr. Alexander	

Ms. Collins
Mr. Voinovich
Ms. Murkowski

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee."

TITLE 38—VETERANS' BENEFITS

PART II—GENERAL BENEFITS

CHAPTER 19—INSURANCE

SUBCHAPTER I—NATIONAL SERVICE LIFE INSURANCE

§ 1922. Service disabled veterans' insurance

(a) Any person who is released from active military, naval, or air service, under other than dishonorable conditions on or after April 25, 1951, and is found by the Secretary to be suffering from a disability or disabilities for which compensation would be payable if 10 per centum or more in degree and except for which such person would be insurable according to the standards of good health established by the Secretary, shall, upon application in writing made within two years from the date service-connection of such disability is determined by the Secretary and payment of premiums as provided in this subchapter, be granted insurance by the United States against the death of such person occurring while such insurance is in force. If such a person is shown by evidence satisfactory to the Secretary to have been mentally incompetent during any part of the two-year period, application for insurance under this section may be filed within two years after a guardian is appointed or within two years after the removal of such disability as determined by the Secretary, whichever is the earlier date. If the guardian was appointed or the removal of the disability occurred before January 1, 1959, application for insurance under this section may be made within two years after that date. Insurance granted under this section shall be issued upon the same terms and conditions as are contained in the standard policies of National Service Life Insurance except (1) the premium rates for such insurance shall be based on the Commissioners 1941 Standard Ordinary Table of Mortality and interest at the rate of 2¼ per centum per annum; (2) all cash, loan, paid-up, and extended values shall be based upon the Commissioners 1941 Standard Ordinary Table of Mortality and interest at the rate of 2¼ per centum per annum; (3) all settlements

on policies involving annuities shall be calculated on the basis of The Annuity Table for 1949, and interest at the rate of 2¼ per centum per annum; (4) insurance granted under this section shall be on a nonparticipating basis and all premiums and other collections therefor shall be credited directly to a revolving fund in the Treasury of the United States, and any payments on such insurance shall be made directly from such fund; and ⟦(5) administrative costs to the Government for the costs of⟧ *(5) administrative support performed by General Operating Expenses and Information Technology Systems, Department of Veterans Affairs, for* the program of insurance under this section shall be paid from premiums credited to the fund under paragraph (4), and payments for claims against the fund under paragraph (4) for amounts in excess of amounts credited to such fund under that paragraph (after such administrative costs have been paid) shall be paid from appropriations to the fund. Appropriations to such fund are hereby authorized. As to insurance issued under this section, waiver of premiums pursuant to section 602(n) of the National Service Life Insurance Act of 1940 and section 1912 of this title shall not be denied on the ground that the service-connected disability became total before the effective date of such insurance.

* * * * * * *

§ 1925. Limited period for acquiring insurance

(a) * * *

* * * * * * *

(d)(1) * * *

* * * * * * *

(3) Notwithstanding the provisions of section 1982 of this title, the Secretary shall, from time to time, determine the administrative costs to the Government which in the Secretary's judgment are properly allocable to insurance issued under this section and any total disability income provision attached thereto, and shall transfer from the revolving fund, the amount of such cost allocable to the Department to the ⟦appropriation "General Operating Expenses, Department of Veterans Affairs"⟧ *appropriations for "General Operating Expenses and Information Technology Systems, Department of Veterans Affairs"*, and the remainder of such cost to the general fund receipts in the Treasury. The initial administrative costs of issuing insurance under this section and any total disability income provision attached thereto shall be so transferred over such period of time as the Secretary determines to be reasonable and practicable.

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93–344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount of bill	Committee allocation	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the Budget Resolution for 2010: Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies:				
Mandatory	52,284	52,284	52,076	[1] 52,076
Discretionary	76,076	78,105	77,555	[1] 77,703
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2010	.....	.....	.....	[2] 101,834
2011	.....	.....	.....	15,862
2012	.....	.....	.....	7,963
2013	.....	.....	.....	3,211
2014 and future years	.....	.....	.....	1,899
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2010	NA	906	NA	652

[1] Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.
[2] Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

NOTE.—Consistent with the funding recommended in the bill for ''overseas deployments and other activities'' and in accordance with section 401(c)(4) of Senate Concurrent Resolution 13 (111th Congress), the Committee anticipates that the Budget Committee will file a revised section 302(a) allocation for the Committee on Appropriations reflecting an upward adjustment of $1,398,984,000 in budget authority plus associated outlays.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
ALABAMA			
ARMY:			
REDSTONE ARSENAL:			
GATE 7 ACCESS CONTROL POINT	.....	3,550	+3,550
DEFENSE WIDE:			
REDSTONE ARSENAL:			
MISSILE AND SPACE INTELLIGENCE CENTER EOEC	.....	12,000	+12,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT MC CLELLAN:			
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	3,000	3,000	.....
TOTAL, ALABAMA	3,000	18,550	+15,550
ALASKA			
ARMY:			
FORT RICHARDSON:			
AIRBORNE SUSTAINMENT TRAINING COMPLEX	6,100	6,100	.....
COMBAT PISTOL RANGE	.....	4,900	+4,900
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	2,050	2,050	.....
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	43,000	43,000	.....
FORT WAINWRIGHT:			
AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 1, INCR 1	125,000	125,000	.....
AVIATION UNIT OPERATIONS COMPLEX	19,000	19,000	.....
RAILHEAD COMPLEX	26,000	26,000	.....
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	28,000	28,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
CLEAR AFS:			
POWER PLANT FACILITY	24,300	24,300	.....
EIELSON AFB:			
ARCTIC UTILIDORS—PHASE 11	.....	9,900	+9,900
TAXIWAY GOLF LIGHTING	.....	3,450	+3,450
ELMENDORF AFB:			
F–22 WEAPONS LOAD TRAINING FACILITY	12,600	12,600	.....
RED FLAG ALASKA ADD/ALTER OPERATIONS CENTER	3,100	3,100	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ELMENDORF AFB:			
AEROMEDICAL SERVICES/MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC	25,017	25,017	.....
FORT RICHARDSON:			
HEALTH CLINIC	3,518	3,518	.....
TOTAL, ALASKA	317,685	335,935	+18,250
ARIZONA			
ARMY:			
FORT HUACHUCA:			
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS UAV	6,000	6,000	.....
UAV ER/MPER/MP	15,000	15,000	.....
NAVY:			
YUMA:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (PHASE 1)	27,050	27,050	.....
AIRFIELD ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION AND CONTROL	1,720	1,720	.....
AIR FORCE:			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB:			
CSAR HC–130J INFRASTRUCTURE	4,800	4,800	.....
CSAR HC–130J RESCUE SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	8,700	8,700	.....
CSAR HC–130J SIMULATOR FACILITY	8,400	8,400	.....
DORMITORY (144 RM)	20,000	20,000	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP NAVAJO:			
COMBAT PISTOL QUALIFICATION COURSE	3,000	3,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
DAVIS MONTHAN AFB:			
TFI—PREDATOR BEDDOWN—FOC	5,600	5,600	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
PHOENIX:			
RESERVE CENTER MOVE TO LUKE AFB, NOSC PHOENIX	10,986	10,986	.....
TOTAL, ARIZONA	111,256	111,256	.....
ARKANSAS			
ARMY:			
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL:			
FUSE & DETONATOR MAGAZINE, DEPOT LEVEL	25,000	25,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
LITTLE ROCK AFB:			
C–130 FLIGHT SIMULATOR ADDITION	5,800	5,800	.....
SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY	.....	10,400	+10,400
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	30,800	41,200	+10,400
CALIFORNIA			
ARMY:			
FORT IRWIN:			
MOUT ASSAULT COURSE, PH 4	9,500	9,500	.....
NAVY:			
BRIDGEPORT MWTC:			
FIRE STATION RENOVATION	4,460	4,460	.....
CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA:			
ANGLICO OPERATIONS COMPLEX	25,190	25,190	.....
AVIATION TRANSMITTER/RECEIVER SITE	13,560	13,560	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	39,610	39,610	.....
COMMUNICATIONS/ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	13,170	13,170	.....
COMMUNICATIONS UPGRADES	79,492	79,492	.....
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	76,950	76,950	.....
ENLISTED DINING FACILITY	32,300	32,300	.....
ENLISTED DINING FACILITY—EDSON RANGE	37,670	37,670	.....
EXPAND COMBAT AIRCRAFT LOADING APRON	12,240	12,240	.....
EXPANSION OF SOUTHERN REGION TERTIARY TREATMENT PLANT TO 7.5 MGD	55,180	55,180	.....
GAS/ELECTRICAL UPGRADES	51,040	51,040	.....
NORTH REGION TERTIARY TREATMENT PLANT (PH 1, INCR 1)	142,330	142,330	
OPERATIONS ACCESS POINTS	12,740	12,740	.....
RECON BATTALION OPERATIONS COMPLEX	77,660	77,660	.....
RECRUIT BARRACKS—FIELD/K-SPAN	23,200	23,200	.....
RECRUIT BARRACKS—SCHOOL OF INFANTRY	53,320	53,320	.....
RECRUIT MARKSMANSHIP TRAINING FACILITY	13,730	13,730	.....
WEAPONS AND FIELD TRAINING BATTALION SUPPORT FACILITIES	15,780	15,780	.....
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE:			
EDWARDS RAMP EXTENSION	3,007	3,007	.....
MIRAMAR:			
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON MODIFICATION	9,280	9,280	.....
POINT LOMA ANNEX:			
PUBLIC WORKS SHOPS CONSOLIDATION	8,730	8,730	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
SAN DIEGO:			
MESS HALL EXPANSION	23,590	23,590	.....
TWENTYNINE PALMS, CALIFORNIA:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	37,290	37,290	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	37,290	37,290	.....
COMMUNICATIONS/ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE/STORAGE	12,660	12,660	.....
CONSOLIDATED ARMORY—TANKS	12,670	12,670	.....
CONSTRUCT ROADS—NORTH MAINSIDE	29,360	29,360	.....
DINING FACILITY—NORTH MAINSIDE	17,200	17,200	.....
ELECTRICAL INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE—34.5KV TO 115KV	46,220	46,220	.....
ELECTRICAL POWER PLANT/CO-GEN/GAS TURBINE—NORTH.	53,260	53,260	.....
HIGH TEMPERATURE HOT WATER/CHILLED WATER SYSTEM	25,790	25,790	.....
INDUSTRIAL WASTE WATER PRETREATMENT SYSTEM	3,330	3,330	.....
LAYDOWN SITE WORK—NORTH MAINSIDE	21,740	21,740	.....
MAINTENANCE SHOP—TRACKED	19,780	19,780	.....
MAINTENANCE SHOP—WHEELED	16,040	16,040	.....
MAINTENANCE SUNSHADES—WHEELED	12,580	12,580	.....
NATURAL GAS SYSTEM EXTENSION	19,990	19,990	.....
SECONDARY ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION—NORTH MAINSIDE	31,720	31,720	.....
SEWAGE SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS AND LIFT STATION	5,800	5,800	.....
STATION COMM FACILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE	49,040	49,040	.....
SUB-STATION AND ELECTRICAL UPGRADES	31,310	31,310	.....
WATER IMPROVEMENTS AND STORAGE TANK	30,610	30,610	.....
AIR FORCE:			
TRAVIS AFB:			
CONSTRUCT KC–10 CARGO LOAD TRAINING FACILITY	6,900	6,900	.....
VANDENBERG AFB:			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	13,000	13,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CORONADO (LA POSTA)			
SOF CLOSE QUARTERS COMBAT TRAINING FACILITY	15,722	15,722	.....
EL CENTRO:			
AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION	11,000	11,000	.....
POINT LOMA ANNEX:			
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FAC INCR 2	92,300	92,300	.....
TRAVIS AFB:			
REPLACE FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	15,357	15,357	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
LOS ALAMITOS:			
READINESS CENTER PH 1	31,000	31,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
FRESNO YOSEMITE INTERNATIONAL ANG:			
144TH SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	.....	9,900	+9,900
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LOGISTICS AIRPORT (VICTORVILLE):			
TFI—PREDATOR BEDDOWN—FLIGHT TRAINING UNIT/LAUNCH & RECOVERY ELEMENT SITE	8,400	8,400	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
CAMP PENDLETON:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	19,500	19,500	.....
LOS ANGELES:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	29,000	29,000	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
ALAMEDA:			
RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	5,960	5,960	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	1,595,548	1,605,448	+9,900
COLORADO			
ARMY:			
FORT CARSON, COLORADO:			
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	7,400	7,400	
AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	11,000	11,000	
BARRACKS & DINING, INCREMENT 2	60,000	60,000	
BRIGADE COMPLEX	69,000	69,000	
BRIGADE COMPLEX, PH 1	102,000		−102,000
COMMISSARY	35,000	35,000	
CONVOY LIVE FIRE RANGE	6,500	6,500	
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	4,450		−4,450
RAILROAD TRACKS	14,000	14,000	
SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY COMPLEX	16,000	16,000	
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	18,500	18,500	
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	3,100		−3,100
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION (WT) COMPLEX	56,000	56,000	
AIR FORCE:			
PETERSON AFB:			
C–130 SQUAD OPS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT [TFI]	5,200	5,200	
NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE INSTITUTE	19,900	19,900	
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY:			
ADD TO CADET FITNESS CENTER	17,500	17,500	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT CARSON:			
HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	52,773	31,900	−20,873
SOF BATTALION OPS COMPLEX	45,200	45,200	
SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	3,046	
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE:			
PUEBLO DEPOT:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY, PH XI	92,500	92,500	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE:			
ADD/ALTER WEAPONS RELEASE		4,500	+4,500
ARMY RESERVE:			
COLORADO SPRINGS:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,000	13,000	
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
SCHRIEVER AFB:			
WING HEADQUARTERS	10,200	10,200	
TOTAL, COLORADO	662,269	536,346	−125,923
CONNECTICUT			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
BRADLEY IAP:			
CNAF BEDDOWN—UPGRADE FACILITIES		9,100	+9,100
ARMY RESERVE:			
BRIDGEPORT:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	18,500	18,500	
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	18,500	27,600	+9,100
DELAWARE			
AIR FORCE:			
DOVER AFB:			
C–5 CARGO AIRCRAFT MAINT TRAINING FACILITY P1	5,300	5,300	
CHAPEL CENTER		7,500	+7,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	12,100	12,100	
TOTAL, DELAWARE	17,400	24,900	+7,500
FLORIDA			
ARMY:			
EGLIN AFB:			
ANTI-ARMOR, TRACKING & LIVE FIRE RANGE	3,400	3,400	
AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION/TRAINING RANGE	12,000	12,000	
BASIC 10M—25M FIRING RANGE (ZERO)	3,050	3,050	
ELEVATED WATER STORAGE TANK (CAMP RUDDER)		1,200	+1,200
GRENADE LAUNCHER RANGE	1,600	1,600	
HAND GRENADE QUALIFICATION COURSE	1,400	1,400	
INDOOR FIRING RANGE	8,900	8,900	
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	2,200	2,200	
LIVE FIRE EXERCISE BREACH FACILITY	4,950	4,950	
LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE	8,000	8,000	
NON-STANDARD SMALL ARMS RANGE	3,400	3,400	
OPERATIONS COMPLEX, PH 3	80,000	80,000	
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,700	2,700	
MIAMI DORAL:			
SOUTHERN COMMAND HEADQUARTERS, INCR 3	55,400	55,400	
NAVY:			
BLOUNT ISLAND:			
PORT OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,760	3,760	
EGLIN AFB:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, EOD SCHOOL, PHASE 2	26,287	26,287	
F–35 AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	11,252	11,252	
F–35 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM, PH 1	6,208	6,208	
F–35 JP8 FLIGHTLINE FILLSTANDS	3,492	3,492	
F–35 JP8 WEST SIDE BULK TANK UPGRADE	621	621	
F–35 PARALLEL TAXIWAY LADDER	931	931	
F–35 POL OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,056	2,056	
JACKSONVILLE:			
P–8/MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT FACILITIES MODIFICATION	5,917	5,917	
MAYPORT:			
CHANNEL DREDGING	46,303	46,303	
WHARF CHARLIE REPAIR	29,682	29,682	
PENSACOLA:			
CORRY A SCHOOL BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS R	22,950	22,950	
SIMULATOR ADDITION FOR UNDERGRADUATE MILITARY FLIGHT OFFICER PROGRAM	3,211	3,211	
WHITING FIELD:			
T–6B JOINT PRIMARY AIRCRAFT TRAINING SYSTEM OPS: PARALOFT FACILITY	4,120	4,120	
AIR FORCE:			
EGLIN AFB:			
CONSTRUCT DORMITORY (96 RM)	11,000	11,000	
F–35 AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	16,400	16,400	
F–35 DUKE CONTROL TOWER	3,420	3,420	
F–35 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM PHASE 1	8,100	8,100	
F–35 JP–8 WEST SIDE BULK FUEL TANK UPGRADES	960	960	
F–35 JPS FLIGHTLINE FILLSTANDS	5,400	5,400	
F–35 LIVE ORDNANCE LOAD FACILITY	9,900	9,900	
F–35 PARALLEL TAXIWAY LADDER	1,440	1,440	
F–35 POL OPS FACILITY	3,180	3,180	
HURLBURT FIELD:			
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION	8,300	8,300	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,200	2,200	.....
MACDILL AFB:			
CENTRAL COMMAND COMMANDANT FACILITY	15,300	15,300	.....
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	7,000	7,000	.....
DORMITORY (120 ROOM)	16,000	16,000	.....
PATRICK AFB:			
COMBAT WEAPONS TRAINING FACILITY	.....	8,400	+8,400
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
EGLIN AFB:			
SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	3,046	.....
HURLBURT FIELD:			
SOF SIMULATOR FACILITY FOR MC–130 (RECAP)	8,156	8,156	.....
JACKSONVILLE IAP:			
REPLACE JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	11,500	11,500	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
PANAMA CITY:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	7,300	7,300	.....
WEST PALM BEACH:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	26,000	26,000	.....
TOTAL, FLORIDA	518,392	527,992	+9,600
GEORGIA			
ARMY:			
FORT BENNING:			
BATTLE LAB	30,000	30,000	.....
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	10,800	10,800	.....
DINING FACILITY	15,000	15,000	.....
FIRE AND MOVEMENT RANGE	2,800	2,800	.....
TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX, PH 1	74,000	74,000	.....
TRAINING AREA TANK TRAILS	9,700	9,700	.....
TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX	38,000	38,000	.....
TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 1	31,000	31,000	.....
TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 1	31,000	31,000	.....
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	53,000	53,000	.....
FORT GILLEM:			
FORENSIC LAB	10,800	10,800	.....
FORT STEWART:			
AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	3,400	.....	−3,400
BARRACKS & DINING, INCREMENT 2	80,000	80,000	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX	93,000	48,000	−45,000
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	49,000	49,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
MOODY AIR FORCE BASE:			
RESCUE OPERATIONS/MAINTENANCE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	.....	8,900	+8,900
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT BENNING:			
BLOOD DONOR CENTER REPLACEMENT	12,313	12,313	.....
DENTAL CLINIC	4,887	4,887	.....
SOF EXPAND BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	3,046	3,046	.....
WILSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CONSTRUCT GYMNASIUM	2,330	2,330	.....
FORT STEWART:			
HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	26,386	22,200	−4,186
NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	22,502	.....	−22,502
NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	22,501	22,501	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT BENNING:			
READINESS CENTER	15,500	15,500	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
HUNTER AAF:			
READINESS CENTER	.....	8,967	+8,967
ARMY RESERVE:			
ATLANTA:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	14,000	14,000	.....
TOTAL, GEORGIA	654,965	597,744	−57,221
HAWAII			
ARMY:			
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS:			
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	63,000	63,000	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	36,000	36,000	.....
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION BARRACKS	55,000	55,000	.....
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	30,000	30,000	.....
WHEELER AAF:			
REGIONAL SATELLITE COMMUNICATION INFORMATION CENTER	7,500	7,500	.....
NAVY:			
OAHU:			
RANGE, 1000—PUULOA	5,380	5,380	.....
PEARL HARBOR:			
ASIA PACIFIC CENTER FOR SECURITY STUDIES CONFERENCE & TECHNOLOGY LEARNING CENTER	12,775	12,775	.....
MISSILE MAGAZINES (5), WEST LOCH	22,407	22,407	.....
PACIFIC FLEET SUBMARINE DRIVE-IN MAGNETIC SILENCING FACILITY (INCREMENT 3)	8,645	8,645	.....
PRODUCTION SERVICES SUPPORT FACILITY	.....	30,360	+30,360
AIR FORCE:			
WHEELER AAF:			
AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS CENTER COMPLEX	15,000	15,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORD ISLAND:			
PACIFIC OPERATIONS FACILITY UPGRADE	9,633	9,633	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
HICKAM AFB:			
TFI—F–22 LOW OBSERVABLE/COMPOSITE REPAIR FACILITY	26,000	26,000	.....
TFI–F–22 PARKING APRON AND TAXIWAYS	7,000	7,000	.....
TOTAL, HAWAII	298,340	328,700	+30,360
IDAHO			
AIR FORCE:			
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB:			
LOGISTICS READINESS CENTER	20,000	20,000	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
GOWEN FIELD:			
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	16,100	16,100	.....
TOTAL, IDAHO	36,100	36,100	.....
ILLINOIS			
AIR FORCE:			
SCOTT AFB:			
AEROMEDICAL EVACUATION SQUADRON FACILITY	.....	7,400	+7,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
MILAN:			
READINESS CENTER ADDITION	.....	5,600	+5,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
ARMY RESERVE:			
CHICAGO:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	23,000	23,000	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
JOLIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT:			
RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	7,957	7,957	.....
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	30,957	43,957	+13,000
INDIANA			
NAVY:			
CRANE NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER:			
STRATEGIC WEAPONS SYSTEMS ENGINEERING FACILITY	.....	13,710	+13,710
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
MUSCATATUCK:			
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY PH 2	10,100	10,100	.....
TOTAL, INDIANA	10,100	23,810	+13,710
IOWA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP DODGE:			
UNITED STATES PROPERTY AND FISCAL OFFICE	.....	4,000	+4,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
DES MOINES:			
ADD/ALT SECURITY FORCES FACILITY	.....	4,600	+4,600
TOTAL, IOWA	.....	8,600	+8,600
KANSAS			
ARMY:			
FORT RILEY:			
ADVANCED WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT	28,000	28,000	.....
BATTALION COMPLEX	59,000	59,000	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX	49,000	49,000	.....
ESTES ROAD ACCESS CONTROL POINT	.....	7,100	+7,100
IGLOO STORAGE, INSTALLATION	7,200	7,200	.....
LAND VEHICLE FUELING FACILITY	3,700	3,700	.....
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	15,500	15,500	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
SALINA ARMY AVIATION FACILTY:			
TAXIWAY ALTERATIONS, ARNG AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	.....	2,227	+2,227
TOTAL, KANSAS	162,400	171,727	+9,327
KENTUCKY			
ARMY:			
FORT CAMPBELL:			
CHAPEL COMPLEX	.....	14,400	+14,400
SOF LANGUAGE SUSTAINMENT TRAINING FACILITY	.....	5,800	+5,800
FORT KNOX:			
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION [WT] COMPLEX	70,000	70,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT CAMPBELL:			
HEALTH CLINIC	8,600	8,600	.....
SOF BATTALION OPERATIONS COMPLEX	29,289	29,289	.....
SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	3,046	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION PH X	54,041	59,041	+5,000
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	164,976	190,176	+25,200
LOUISIANA			
ARMY:			
FORT POLK:			
LAND PURCHASES AND CONDEMNATION	17,000	17,000	.....
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	32,000	32,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE:			
RAMP REPLACEMENT PH #5—AIRCRAFT APRON PAVEMENT	.....	12,800	+12,800
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	49,000	61,800	+12,800
MAINE			
NAVY:			
PORTSMOUTH NSY:			
GATE 2 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	.....	7,100	+7,100
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
BANGOR IAP:			
REPLACE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR/SHOPS	28,000	28,000	.....
TOTAL, MAINE	28,000	35,100	+7,100
MARYLAND			
ARMY:			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND:			
ADVANCED CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	.....	15,500	+15,500
FORT DETRICK:			
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS CENTER	18,000	18,000	.....
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	21,000	21,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
ANDREWS AFB:			
REPLACE MUNITIONS STORAGE AREA	9,300	9,300	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND:			
U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL DEFENSE REPLACEMENT, INC II	111,400	111,400	.....
FORT DETRICK:			
BOUNDARY GATE AT NALIN POND	10,750	10,750	.....
EMERGENCY SERVICE CENTER	16,125	16,125	.....
NATIONAL INTERAGENCY BIODEFENSE CAMPUS TRUCK INSPECTION STATION & ROAD	2,932	2,932	.....
U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF INFECTIOUS DISEASES STAGE I, INC IV	108,000	108,000	.....
FORT MEADE:			
MISSION SUPPORT—PERIMETER SECURE ANTI-TERRORISM	8,800	8,800	.....
SOUTH CAMPUS UTILITY PLANT	195,000	195,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
ANDREWS AFB:			
REPLACE MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	14,000	14,000	.....
TOTAL, MARYLAND	515,307	530,807	+15,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
MASSACHUSETTS			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
HANSCOM AFB:			
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (JOINT FORCES HQ)	29,000	29,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
OTIS AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE:			
COMPOSITE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	.....	12,800	+12,800
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	29,000	41,800	+12,800
MICHIGAN			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
ALPENA COMBAT READINESS TRAINING CENTER:			
REPLACE TROOP QUARTERS	.....	8,900	+8,900
BATTLE CREEK AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE:			
CNAF BED DOWN FACILITIES	.....	14,000	+14,000
SELFRIDGE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE:			
A–10 SQUAD OPERATIONS FACILITY	.....	7,100	+7,100
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	.....	30,000	+30,000
MINNESOTA			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
DULUTH IAP:			
JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	15,000	15,000	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ARDEN HILLS:			
READINESS CENTER PH 2	6,700	6,700	.....
CAMP RIPLEY:			
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	1,710	1,710	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MINNEAPOLIS/ST. PAUL IAP 133RD AW BASE:			
STARBASE FACILITY ALTERATION	.....	1,900	+1,900
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT SNELLING (MINNEAPOLIS):			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	12,000	12,000	.....
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	35,410	37,310	+1,900
MISSISSIPPI			
AIR FORCE:			
COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	.....	10,000	+10,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP SHELBY:			
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY ADD/ALT	16,100	16,100	.....
MONTICELLO:			
READINESS CENTER	.....	14,350	+14,350
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
KEESLER AFB:			
AERIAL PORT SQUADRON FACILITY	9,800	9,800	.....
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	25,900	50,250	+24,350
MISSOURI			
ARMY:			
FORT LEONARD WOOD:			
AUTOMATED-AIDED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	27,000	27,000	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
TRANSIENT ADVANCED TRAINEE BARRACKS, PH 1	99,000	99,000	.....
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	19,500	19,500	.....
WHEELED VEHICLE DRIVERS COURSE	17,500	17,500	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT LEONARD WOOD:			
DENTAL CLINIC ADDITION	5,570	5,570	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BOONVILLE:			
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	1,800	1,800	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
ROSECRANS MEMORIAL AIRPORT, ST. JOSEPH:			
REPLACE FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION PHASE II	.....	9,300	+9,300
TOTAL, MISSOURI	170,370	179,670	+9,300
MONTANA			
AIR FORCE:			
MALMSTROM AFB:			
UPGRADE WEAPONS STORAGE AREA	.....	9,600	+9,600
TOTAL, MONTANA	.....	9,600	+9,600
NEBRASKA			
AIR FORCE:			
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE:			
STRATCOM GATE	.....	10,400	+10,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
LINCOLN:			
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (JOINT FORCES HQ)	23,000	23,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
LINCOLN MAP:			
JOINT FORCES OPERATIONS CENTER—ANG SHARE	1,500	1,500	.....
TOTAL, NEBRASKA	24,500	34,900	+10,400
NEVADA			
NAVY:			
NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON:			
WARRIOR PHYSICAL TRAINING FACILITY	.....	11,450	+11,450
AIR FORCE:			
CREECH AFB:			
UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM AT/FP SECURITY UPDATES	2,700	2,700	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CARSON CITY:			
RENEWABLE ENERGY SUSTAINABLE PROJECTS	.....	2,000	+2,000
NORTH LAS VEGAS:			
READINESS CENTER	26,000	26,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
RENO:			
FIRE STATION REPLACEMENT	.....	10,800	+10,800
TOTAL, NEVADA	28,700	52,950	+24,250
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
PEASE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE:			
REPLACE SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITIES	.....	10,000	+10,000
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE	.....	10,000	+10,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
NEW JERSEY			
AIR FORCE:			
108TH AIR REFUELING WING, MCGUIRE AFB:			
BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING COMPLEX	.....	9,700	+9,700
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	.....	9,700	+9,700
NEW MEXICO			
AIR FORCE:			
CANNON AFB:			
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATION FACILITY	15,000	15,000	.....
HOLLOMAN AFB:			
F–22A CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE [TFI]	5,500	5,500	.....
KIRTLAND AFB:			
HC–130J SIMULATOR FACILITY	8,700	8,700	.....
MC–130J SIMULATOR FACILITY	8,000	8,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CANNON AFB:			
SOF AC–130 LOADOUT APRON PH #1	.....	6,000	+6,000
SOF AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT ADDITION (CV–22)	11,595	11,595	.....
SOF FUEL CELL HANGAR (MC–130)	41,269	41,269	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
SANTA FE:			
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	39,000	39,000	.....
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	129,064	135,064	+6,000
NEW YORK			
ARMY:			
FORT DRUM:			
BARRACKS	57,000	57,000	.....
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	21,000	21,000	.....
WATER SYSTEM EXPANSION	6,500	6,500	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
ROCHESTER:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,600	13,600	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
NIAGARA FALLS ARS:			
INDOOR SMALL ARMS RANGE	.....	5,700	+5,700
TOTAL, NEW YORK	98,100	103,800	+5,700
NORTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
FORT BRAGG:			
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	4,350	4,350	.....
AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	.....	3,450	+3,450
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,300	3,300	.....
SIMULATIONS CENTER	50,000	50,000	.....
TRANSIENT TRAINING BARRACKS COMPLEX	16,500	16,500	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	19,500	19,500	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	17,500	17,500	.....
SUNNY POINT MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL:			
LIGHTNING PROTECTION SYSTEM	25,000	25,000	.....
TOWERS	3,900	3,900	.....
NAVY:			
CAMP LEJEUNE:			
4TH INFANTRY BATTALION OPS COMPLEX	55,150	55,150	.....
BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	34,160	34,160	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	43,480	43,480	.....
BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	44,390	44,390	.....
BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	44,390	44,390	.....
BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	42,110	42,110	.....
CONSOLIDATED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY/TELECOM COMPLEX	46,120	46,120	.....
FIELD TRAINING FACILITY—DEVIL DOG—SCHOOL OF INFANTRY	37,170	37,170	.....
MAINTENANCE/OPS COMPLEX	52,390	52,390	.....
MILITARY POLICE WORKING DOG KENNEL—RELOCATION	8,370	8,370	.....
NEW BASE ENTRY POINT AND ROAD (PHASE 1)	79,150	79,150	.....
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	39,760	39,760	.....
PRE-TRIAL DETAINEE FACILITY	18,580	18,580	.....
ROAD NETWORK—WALLACE CREEK	15,130	15,130	.....
SCHOOL OF INFANTRY—EAST FACILITIES—CAMP GEIGER	56,940	56,940	.....
UTILITY EXPANSION—COURTHOUSE BAY	56,280	56,280	.....
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:			
EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES/FIRE VEHICLE FACILITY	10,600	10,600	.....
ORDNANCE MAGAZINES	12,360	12,360	.....
NEW RIVER:			
APRON EXPANSION (PHASE 2)	35,600	35,600	.....
GYMNASIUM/OUTDOOR POOL	19,920	19,920	.....
PARALLEL TAXIWAY	17,870	17,870	.....
TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PAD ADDITION	5,490	5,490	.....
VMMT–204 MAINTENANCE HANGAR—PHASE 3	28,210	28,210	.....
AIR FORCE:			
POPE AFB:			
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	.....	7,700	+7,700
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP LEJEUNE:			
SOF ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY EXPANSION	11,791	11,791	.....
FORT BRAGG:			
ALBRITTON JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	3,439	3,439	.....
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CLINIC	26,386	26,386	.....
HEALTH CLINIC	31,272	31,272	.....
SOF BATTALION & COMPANY HQ	15,500	15,500	.....
SOF BATTALION HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	13,000	13,000	.....
SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	1,125	1,125	.....
SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	3,046	.....
SOF OPERATIONS ADDITION NORTH	27,513	27,513	.....
SOF OPERATIONS SUPPORT ADDITION	13,756	13,756	.....
SOF TACTICAL UAV HANGAR	2,948	2,948	.....
SPECIAL OPS PREP & CONDITIONING COURSE	24,600	24,600	.....
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	1,118,046	1,129,196	+11,150
NORTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE:			
GRAND FORKS AFB:			
CONSOLIDATED SECURITY FORCES FACILITY	.....	12,000	+12,000
MINOT AFB:			
MISSILE PROCEDURES TRAINING OPERATIONS	10,000	10,000	.....
MUNITIONS TRAILER STORAGE FACILITY	1,500	1,500	.....
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	11,500	23,500	+12,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
OHIO			
AIR FORCE:			
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB:			
CONVERSION FOR ADVANCED POWER RESEARCH LAB	21,000	21,000	.....
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COMPLEX PH 1	27,000	27,000	.....
REPLACE WEST RAMP	.....	10,600	+10,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MANSFIELD LAHM AIRPORT:			
TFI—RED HORSE SQUADRON BEDDOWN	11,400	11,400	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
CINCINNATI:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,000	13,000	.....
TOTAL, OHIO	72,400	83,000	+10,600
OKLAHOMA			
ARMY:			
FORT SILL:			
AUTOMATED INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	3,500	3,500	.....
BARRACKS	65,000	65,000	.....
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	22,000	22,000	.....
MCALESTER AAP:			
GENERAL PURPOSE STORAGE BUILDING	11,200	11,200	.....
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE, DEPOT LEVEL	1,300	1,300	.....
AIR FORCE:			
ALTUS AFB:			
REPAIR TAXIWAYS	20,300	20,300	.....
TINKER AFB:			
BUILDING 3001 HANGAR DOOR	13,037	13,037	.....
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE:			
CONTROL TOWER	.....	10,700	+10,700
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ALTUS AFB:			
REPLACE UPLOAD FACILITY	2,700	2,700	.....
FORT SILL:			
DENTAL CLINIC	10,554	10,554	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT:			
TFI—AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON BEDDOWN	7,300	7,300	.....
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	156,891	167,591	+10,700
OREGON			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CLATSOP COUNTY:			
WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM—CAMP RILEA	.....	3,369	+3,369
TOTAL, OREGON	.....	3,369	+3,369
PENNSYLVANIA			
ARMY RESERVE:			
ASHLEY:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	9,800	9,800	.....
HARRISBURG:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	7,600	7,600	.....
NEWTON SQUARE:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	20,000	20,000	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
UNIONTOWN:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	11,800	11,800	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
PITTSBURGH AIR RESERVE BASE:			
VISITING QUARTERS PHASE 1	.....	12,400	+12,400
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	49,200	61,600	+12,400
RHODE ISLAND			
NAVY:			
NEWPORT:			
OFFICER TRAINING COMMAND QUARTERS	45,803	45,803	.....
RENOVATION OF SENIOR ENLISTED ACADEMY (TOMICH HALL)	.....	10,550	+10,550
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	45,803	56,353	+10,550
SOUTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPONS STATION:			
PIER AND LOADING/UNLOADING RAMPS	5,700	5,700	.....
RAILROAD TRACKS	12,000	12,000	.....
STAGING AREA	4,100	4,100	.....
FORT JACKSON:			
ADVANCED SKILLS TRAINEE BARRACKS	32,000	32,000	.....
INFILTRATION COURSE	1,900	1,900	.....
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,600	3,600	.....
TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX	66,000	66,000	.....
NAVY:			
BEAUFORT:			
WIDEBODY AIRCRAFT FUEL LANE	1,280	1,280	.....
PARRIS ISLAND:			
ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION AND IMPROVEMENTS	6,972	6,972	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
EASTOVER:			
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY ADD/ALT	26,000	26,000	.....
GREENVILLE:			
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	40,000	40,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MCENTIRE JOINT NATIONAL GUARD BASE:			
JOINT FORCE HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	.....	1,300	+1,300
NAVY RESERVE:			
CHARLESTON:			
RESERVE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	4,240	4,240	.....
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	203,792	205,092	+1,300
SOUTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE:			
ELLSWORTH AIR FORCE BASE:			
ADD/ALT DEPLOYMENT CENTER	.....	14,500	+14,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP RAPID:			
JOINT FORCE HQ READINESS CENTER SUPPLEMENT	.....	7,890	+7,890
TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION AND ALTERATION	.....	1,950	+1,950
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
JOE FOSS FIELD:			
ABOVE GROUND MULTI-CUBICLE MAGAZINE STORAGE	.....	1,300	+1,300
ADD/ALT MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	.....	1,300	+1,300

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA		26,940	+26,940
TENNESSEE			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MEMPHIS IAP:			
ENGINEER MAINTENANCE AND TRAINING FACILITY		9,800	+9,800
TOTAL, TENNESSEE		9,800	+9,800
TEXAS			
ARMY:			
FORT BLISS:			
AIRCRAFT FUEL STORAGE	10,800	10,800	
AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	7,000	7,000	
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	6,900	6,900	
AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	4,250	4,250	
BRIGADE STAGING AREA COMPLEX	14,800	14,800	
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX	45,000	45,000	
FIRE AND MILITARY POLICE STATIONS	16,500	16,500	
KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE	4,750	4,750	
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	2,400	2,400	
SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY COMPLEX	17,000	17,000	
SIMULATION CENTER	23,000	23,000	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE & COMPANY OPS FACILITY	31,000	31,000	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	16,000	16,000	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	20,000	20,000	
FORT HOOD:			
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	6,700	6,700	
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,400	2,400	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	23,000	23,000	
FORT SAM HOUSTON:			
ACCESS CONTROL POINT AND ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	10,800	10,800	
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	9,000	9,000	
NAVY:			
CORPUS CHRISTI:			
OPERATIONAL FACILITIES FOR T–6	19,764	19,764	
AIR FORCE:			
DYESS AFB:			
C–130J ALTER HANGAR	4,500	4,500	
GOODFELLOW AFB:			
CONSOLIDATED LEARNING CENTER		12,000	+12,000
JOINT INTEL TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY, PH 1 [TFI]	18,400	18,400	
STUDENT DORMITORY (100 RM)	14,000	14,000	
LACKLAND AFB:			
BASIC MILITARY TRAINING SATELLITE CLASSROOM/DINING FACILITY	32,000	32,000	
EVASION, CONDUCT AFTER CAPTURE TRAINING	4,879	4,879	
RECRUIT DORMITORY 2, PHASE 2	77,000	77,000	
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE:			
EUROPEAN NATO JOINT JET PILOT TRAINING OPERATIONS.		11,600	+11,600
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT BLISS:			
HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	30,295	24,600	−5,695
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT INCR 1	86,975	62,975	−24,000
FORT HOOD:			
ALTER FUEL PUMP HOUSE AND FILL STAND	3,000	3,000	
LACKLAND AFB:			
AMBULATORY CARE CENTER, PHASE 1	72,610	72,610	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	29,318	29,318	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
AUSTIN:			
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	16,500	16,500	.....
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP, JOINT	5,700	5,700	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
AUSTIN:			
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER/AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY	20,000	20,000	.....
FORT BLISS:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	9,500	9,500	.....
HOUSTON:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	24,000	24,000	.....
SAN ANTONIO:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	20,000	20,000	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
SAN ANTONIO:			
RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	2,210	2,210	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
LACKLAND AFB:			
C–5 GROUND TRAINING SCHOOLHOUSE ADDITION	1,500	1,500	.....
TOTAL, TEXAS	763,451	757,356	−6,095
UTAH			
ARMY:			
DUGWAY PROVING GROUND:			
WATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS	25,000	25,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
HILL AFB:			
F–22A RADAR CROSS SECTION TESTING FACILITY	21,053	21,053	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP WILLIAMS:			
CNCI DATA CENTER, INCR 2	800,000	700,000	−100,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
HILL AFB:			
PCC APRON NW END TAXIWAY A	.....	5,100	+5,100
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
HILL AFB:			
RESERVE SQUAD OPS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT: FACILITY	3,200	3,200	.....
TOTAL, UTAH	849,253	754,353	−94,900
VERMONT			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ETHAN ALLEN FIRING RANGE:			
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS ADDITIONS AND IMPROVEMENT	.....	1,996	+1,996
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
BURLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:			
ADD/ALT FIRE CRASH AND RESCUE STATION	.....	6,000	+6,000
TOTAL, VERMONT	.....	7,996	+7,996
VIRGINIA			
ARMY:			
FORT A.P. HILL:			
AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	4,900	4,900	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
FIELD TRAINING AREA	9,000	9,000	.....
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	9,100	9,100	.....
FORT BELVOIR:			
FLIGHT CONTROL TOWER	8,400	8,400	.....
ROAD AND ACCESS CONTROL POINT	9,500	9,500	.....
ROAD AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS	20,000	.....	−20,000
FORT EUSTIS:			
UPGRADE MARSHALLING AREA	.....	8,900	+8,900
NAVY:			
DAHLGREN:			
ELECTROMAGNETIC RESEARCH & ENGINEERING FACILITY, PH 2	.....	3,660	+3,660
LITTLE CREEK:			
NAVAL CONSTRUCTION DIVISION OPERATIONS FACILITY	13,095	13,095	.....
NORFOLK:			
E–2D TRAINER FACILITY	11,737	11,737	.....
FACILITY UPGRADES FOR E–2D PROGRAM	6,402	6,402	.....
PORTSMOUTH:			
SHIP REPAIR PIER REPLACEMENT, INCR 1	226,969	126,969	−100,000
QUANTICO:			
AIRCRAFT TRAINER	3,170	3,170	.....
BATTALION TRAINING FACILITY—MARINE SECURITY GUARD BATTALION	10,340	10,340	.....
DINING FACILITY—THE BASIC SCHOOL	14,780	14,780	.....
MARINE CORP INFORMATION OPERATIONS CENTER	29,620	29,620	.....
SOUTH MAINSIDE ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION	15,270	15,270	.....
STUDENT QUARTERS—THE BASIC SCHOOL (PHASE 4)	32,060	32,060	.....
AIR FORCE:			
LANGLEY AFB:			
WEST & LASALLE GATES FORCE PROTECTION/ACCESS	10,000	10,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
DAHLGREN:			
AEGIS BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE FACILITY EXPANSION	24,500	24,500	.....
SOF OPERATIONS FACILITY INC III	15,967	15,967	.....
LITTLE CREEK:			
SOF SUPPORT ACTIVITY OPERATION FACILITY	18,669	18,669	.....
PENTAGON:			
PENTAGON ELECTRICAL UPGRADE	19,272	19,272	.....
SECONDARY UNINTERRUPTIBLE POWER RAVEN ROCK	8,400	8,400	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT PICKETT:			
REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE PH 2	32,000	32,000	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION:			
C–40 HANGAR	30,400	30,400	.....
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	583,551	476,111	−107,440
WASHINGTON			
ARMY:			
FORT LEWIS:			
ANIMAL BUILDING	3,050	3,050	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX, INC 4	102,000	102,000	.....
LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE	2,550	2,550	.....
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	4,100	4,100	.....
NAVY:			
BANGOR:			
LIMITED AREA PRODUCTION/STORAGE COMPLEX (INC 6)	87,292	87,292	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
BREMERTON:			
CVN MAINTENANCE PIER REPLACEMENT (INC 2)	69,064	69,064	.....
ENCLAVE FENCING/PARKING	67,419	67,419	.....
SPOKANE:			
JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY SPECIALIZED SERE TRAINING	12,707	12,707	.....
AIR FORCE:			
FAIRCHILD AFB:			
SERE FORCE SUPPORT COMPLEX, PHASE 1	.....	11,000	+11,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FAIRCHILD AFB:			
REPLACE FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	7,500	7,500	.....
FORT LEWIS:			
HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	15,636	15,636	.....
SOF SUPPORT COMPANY FACILITY	14,500	14,500	.....
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	385,818	396,818	+11,000
WEST VIRGINIA			
NAVY:			
SUGAR GROVE:			
EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER	.....	9,560	+9,560
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ST. ALBANS ARMORY:			
READINESS CENTER ADDITION/LIFE SAFETY UPGRADES	.....	2,000	+2,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
SHEPHERD AIRBASE, MARTINSBURG:			
C–5 TAXIWAY UPGRADES	.....	19,500	+19,500
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	.....	31,060	+31,060
WISCONSIN			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
GENERAL MITCHELL IAP:			
ADD/ALT KC–135 CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	.....	5,000	+5,000
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT MCCOY:			
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	25,000	25,000	.....
RANGE UTILITY UPGRADE	.....	3,850	+3,850
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	25,000	33,850	+8,850
WYOMING			
AIR FORCE:			
F.E. WARREN AFB:			
ADAL MISSILE SERVICE COMPLEX	9,100	9,100	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
CHEYENNE AIRPORT:			
SQUADRON OPERATIONS	.....	1,500	+1,500
TOTAL, WYOMING	9,100	10,600	+1,500
AFGHANISTAN			
ARMY:			
BAGRAM AIR BASE:			
ARMY PRE-POSITION STOCK COMPOUND	38,000	.....	−38,000
AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	2,600	2,600	.....
BARRACKS	18,500	.....	−18,500
COALITION OPERATION CENTER	49,000	49,000	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	38,000	38,000	.....
FUEL SYSTEM PH 6	12,000	.....	−12,000
FUEL SYSTEM PH 7	5,000	.....	−5,000
PERIMETER FENCE AND GUARD TOWERS	7,000	.....	−7,000
AIR FORCE:			
BAGRAM AIR BASE:			
PASSENGER TERMINAL	22,000	22,000	.....
TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN	192,100	111,600	−80,500
BAHRAIN ISLAND			
NAVY:			
BAHRAIN NSA:			
WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT PHASE 2	41,526	41,526	.....
TOTAL, BAHRAIN ISLAND	41,526	41,526	.....
BELGIUM			
ARMY:			
MONS:			
NATO SOF OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITIES	.....	20,000	+20,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BRUSSELS:			
REPLACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (SUPREME HQ ALLIED POWERS EUROPE)	38,124	38,124	.....
TOTAL, BELGIUM	38,124	58,124	+20,000
COLOMBIA			
AIR FORCE:			
PALANQUERO AB:			
AIR BASE DEVELOPMENT	46,000	46,000	.....
TOTAL, COLOMBIA	46,000	46,000	.....
DJIBOUTI			
NAVY:			
CAMP LEMONIER:			
AMMO SUPPLY POINT	21,689	21,689	.....
FIRE STATION	4,772	4,772	.....
INTERIOR PAVED ROADS PHASE A	7,275	7,275	.....
SECURITY FENCING I	8,109	8,109	.....
TOTAL, DJIBOUTI	41,845	41,845	.....
GERMANY			
ARMY:			
ANSBACH:			
BARRACKS	17,500	17,500	.....
BARRACKS	14,200	14,200	.....
KLEBER KASERNE:			
BARRACKS	20,000	20,000	.....
LANDSTUHL:			
WARRIOR IN TRANSITION [WT] COMPLEX	25,000	.....	−25,000
AIR FORCE:			
RAMSTEIN AB:			
AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	11,500	11,500	.....
CONTINGENCY RESPONSE GROUP COMMAND	23,200	23,200	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
SPANGDAHLEM AB:			
FITNESS CENTER	23,500	23,500	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BOEBLINGEN:			
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	.....	50,000	+50,000
KAISERLAUTERN AB:			
KAISERSLAUTERN COMPLEX—PHASE 1	19,380	19,380	.....
KAISERSLAUTERN HIGH SCHOOL REPLACE SCHOOL	74,165	74,165	.....
WIESBADEN:			
WIESBADEN HIGH SCHOOL NEW CAFETERIA AND KITCHEN	5,379	5,379	.....
TOTAL, GERMANY	233,824	258,824	+25,000
GREECE			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
SOUDA BAY:			
FUEL STORAGE TANKS & PIPELINE REPLACEMENT	24,000	24,000	.....
TOTAL, GREECE	24,000	24,000	.....
GUAM			
NAVY:			
GUAM			
ANDERSEN AFB NORTH RAMP PARKING (PHASE 1)	88,797	.....	−88,797
AAFB NORTH RAMP UTILITIES (PHASE 1)	21,500	.....	−21,500
APRA HARBOR WHARVES IMPROVEMENTS, INCR 1	167,033	83,517	−83,516
CONSOLIDATED SUBMARINE LEARNING CENTER TRAINING & CSS–15 HQ FACILITY	45,309	45,309	.....
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	48,860	48,860	.....
MILITARY WORKING DOG RELOCATION, APRA HARBOR	27,070	10,000	−17,070
TORPEDO EXERCISE SUPPORT BUILDING	15,627	15,627	.....
AIR FORCE:			
ANDERSEN AFB:			
COMMANDO WARRIOR OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,200	4,200	.....
NW FIELD ATFP PERIMETER FENCE AND ROAD	4,752	4,752	.....
NW FIELD COMBAT SUPPORT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	15,500	15,500	.....
POSTAL SERVICE CENTER	.....	.....	.....
STRIKE FOL ELECTRICAL INFRASTRUCTURE	33,750	33,750	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
AGANA NAVAL AIR STATION:			
REPLACE GAS CYLANDER STORAGE FACILITY	4,900	4,900	.....
GUAM:			
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT INCR 1	259,156	200,000	−59,156
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BARRIGADA:			
READINESS CENTER	30,000	30,000	.....
TOTAL, GUAM	766,454	496,415	−270,039
GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
GUANTANAMO BAY:			
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	12,500	12,500	.....
TOTAL, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA	12,500	12,500	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
ITALY			
ARMY:			
VICENZA:			
BRIGADE COMPLEX—BARRACKS/COMMUNITY, INCR 3	22,500	22,500	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX—OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY, INCR 3	23,500	23,500	.....
AIR FORCE:			
SIGONELLA:			
GLOBAL HAWK AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AND OPS COMPLEX	31,300	.....	−31,300
TOTAL, ITALY	77,300	46,000	−31,300
JAPAN			
ARMY:			
OKINAWA:			
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	6,000	6,000	.....
SAGAMIHARA:			
TRAINING AIDS CENTER	6,000	6,000	.....
TOTAL, JAPAN	12,000	12,000	.....
KOREA			
ARMY:			
CAMP HUMPHREYS:			
FIRE STATIONS	13,200	13,200	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	19,000	19,000	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	18,000	18,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
K–16 AIRFIELD:			
CONVERT WAREHOUSES	5,050	5,050	.....
OSAN AB:			
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	28,000	28,000	.....
TOTAL, KOREA	83,250	83,250	.....
KUWAIT			
ARMY:			
CAMP ARIFJAN:			
ARMY PRE-POSITION STOCK WAREHOUSES	82,000	82,000	.....
TOTAL, KUWAIT	82,000	82,000	.....
OMAN			
AIR FORCE:			
AL MUSANNAH AB:			
AIRLIFT RAMP AND FUEL FACILITIES	69,000	.....	−69,000
WAR RESERVE MATERIAL COMPOUND	47,000	.....	−47,000
TOTAL, OMAN	116,000	.....	−116,000
PUERTO RICO			
ARMY RESERVE:			
CAGUAS:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	12,400	12,400	.....
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	12,400	12,400	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
QATAR			
AIR FORCE:			
AL UDEID:			
BLATCHFORD-PRESTON COMPLEX PH II	60,000	60,000	.....
TOTAL, QATAR	60,000	60,000	.....
SPAIN			
NAVY:			
ROTA:			
RECEPTION AIRFIELD FACILITIES	26,278	26,278	.....
TOTAL, SPAIN	26,278	26,278	.....
TURKEY			
AIR FORCE:			
INCIRLIK AB:			
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY CENTER	9,200	9,200	.....
TOTAL, TURKEY	9,200	9,200	.....
UNITED KINGDOM			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
MENWITH HILL STATION:			
POWER, SPACE & COOLING CONSTRUCTION	37,588	37,588	.....
RAF MILDENHALL:			
CONNECT FUEL TANK DISTRIBUTION PIPELINE	4,700	4,700	.....
ROYAL AIR FORCE ALCONBURY:			
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	14,227	14,227	.....
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH:			
LIBERTY INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL—GYMNASIUM	4,509	4,509	.....
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	61,024	61,024	.....
VIRGIN ISLANDS			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ST. CROIX:			
REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE PH 1	20,000	20,000	.....
TOTAL, VIRGIN ISLANDS	20,000	20,000	.....
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	276,314	276,314	.....
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY:			
HOST NATION SUPPORT	25,000	25,000	.....
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	23,000	25,000	+2,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	153,029	166,573	+13,544
NAVY:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	166,896	176,896	+10,000
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	12,483	12,483	.....
AIR FORCE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	82,363	106,918	+24,555
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	18,000	20,000	+2,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	10,000	.....
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM	90,000	225,000	+135,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN:			
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	8,855	8,855	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	2,000	2,000	.....
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY	10,534	10,534	.....
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	4,425	4,425	.....
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	72,974	77,974	+5,000
WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE	3,575	3,575	.....
UNDISTRIBUTED	35,579	35,579	.....
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	137,942	142,942	+5,000
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:			
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	4,100	4,100	.....
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	6,800	6,800	.....
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	7,861	7,861	.....
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	3,717	3,717	.....
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	6,022	6,022	.....
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	4,525	4,525	.....
UNDISTRIBUTED	3,000	3,000	.....
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	36,025	36,025	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	23,981	33,022	+9,041
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	10,300	17,629	+7,329
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	10,061	16,061	+6,000
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,000	9,000	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	22,262	22,562	+300
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,600	3,600	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,371	2,371	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,976	3,776	+1,800
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	800	800	.....
FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY			
GERMANY:			
BAUMHOLDER:			
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT (138 UNITS)	18,000	18,000	.....
WIESBADEN AB:			
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT, INCR 2	10,000	10,000	.....
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT, INCR 2	11,000	11,000	.....
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT, INCR 2	11,000	11,000	.....
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	219,300	219,300	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,936	3,936	.....
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	273,236	273,236	.....
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	81,650	81,650	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT	16,325	16,325	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	49,744	49,744	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,177	1,177	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	21,194	21,194	.....
LEASING	205,685	205,685	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	115,854	115,854	.....
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	31,789	31,789	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	523,418	523,418	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
GUAM:			
GUAM NB:			
REPLACE GUAM NORTH TIPALAO PH III	20,730	20,730	.....
KOREA:			
PUSAN:			
CHINHAE WELCOME CENTER/WAREHOUSE	4,376	4,376	.....
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	118,692	118,692	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,771	2,771	.....
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	146,569	146,569	.....
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	53,956	53,956	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT	16,462	16,462	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	60,278	60,278	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	457	457	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	14,624	14,624	.....
LEASING	101,432	101,432	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	94,184	94,184	.....
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	27,147	27,147	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	368,540	368,540	.....
FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE			
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	61,787	61,787	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,314	4,314	.....
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	66,101	66,101	.....
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	81,686	81,686	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	51,334	51,334	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT	21,740	21,740	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	39,182	39,182	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,543	1,543	.....
LEASING	103,406	103,406	.....
MAINTENANCE	150,229	150,229	.....
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	53,816	53,816	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	502,936	502,936	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE			
PENNSYLVANIA:			
DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND:			
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING (6 UNITS)	2,859	2,859	.....
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	2,859	2,859	.....
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT [NSA]	7	7	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT [NSA]	28	28	.....
LEASING [NSA]	10,108	10,108	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY [NSA]	69	69	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT [DIA]	4,426	4,426	.....
LEASING [DIA]	33,579	33,579	.....
UTILITIES ACCOUNT [DLA]	274	274	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT [DLA]	19	19	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT [DLA]	29	29	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT [DLA]	309	309	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or −)
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY [DLA]	366	366	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	49,214	49,214	
DOD FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	2,600	2,600	
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	23,225	373,225	+350,000
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE			
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 1990	396,768	421,768	+25,000
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 2005	7,479,498	7,479,498	
RECAP			
ARMY	3,660,779	3,477,673	−183,106
RESCISSION			
NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	3,763,264	3,548,771	−214,493
RESCISSION			
AIR FORCE	1,145,434	1,213,539	+68,105
RESCISSION			
DEFENSE-WIDE	3,097,526	3,069,114	−28,412
RESCISSION			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	426,491	497,210	+70,719
RESCISSION			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	128,261	297,661	+169,400
RESCISSION			
ARMY RESERVE	374,862	379,012	+4,150
RESCISSION			
NAVY RESERVE	64,124	64,124	
RESCISSION			
AIR FORCE RESERVE	27,476	47,376	+19,900
RESCISSION			
NATO	276,314	276,314	
RESCISSION			
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE	146,541	151,541	+5,000
RESCISSION			
DOD FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	2,600	2,600	
RESCISSION			
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	23,225	373,225	+350,000
RESCISSION			
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	796,654	796,654	
RESCISSION			
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORP	515,109	515,109	
RESCISSION			
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	569,037	569,037	
RESCISSION			
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	52,073	52,073	
RESCISSION			
BRAC	7,876,266	7,901,266	+25,000
RESCISSION			
GRAND TOTAL	22,946,036	23,232,299	+286,263

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Committee versus request
AFGHANISTAN			
ARMY:			
AIRBORNE:			
DINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	.....
WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	5,600	.....
ALTIMUR:			
DINING FACILITY	2,150	2,150	.....
WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	5,600	.....
ASADABAD:			
WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,500	5,500	.....
BAGRAM AB:			
ACCESS ROADS	21,000	21,000	.....
ARMY PRE-POSITION STOCK COMPOUND	.....	38,000	+38,000
BARRACKS	.....	18,500	+18,500
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	4,500	.....
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	.....	.....	.....
DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PHASE 2	21,000	21,000	.....
FUEL SYSTEM PHASE 6	.....	12,000	+12,000
FUEL SYSTEM PHASE 7	.....	5,000	+5,000
MEDLOG WAREHOUSE	3,350	3,350	.....
PERIMETER FENCE AND GUARD TOWERS	.....	7,000	+7,000
TROOP HOUSING, PHASE 3	22,000	.....	−22,000
BLESSING:			
WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	5,600	.....
BOSTICK:			
WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,500	5,500	.....
DWYER:			
CONTINGENCY HOUSING, PHASE 1	8,600	.....	−8,600
CONTINGENCY HOUSING, PHASE 2	6,900	.....	−6,900
DINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	.....
FUEL SYSTEM, PHASE 1	5,800	5,800	.....
WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	6,900	6,900	.....
FRONTENAC:			
CONTINGENCY HOUSING	3,800	.....	−3,800
DINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	.....
GARDEZ:			
CONTINGENCY HOUSING	8,400	.....	−8,400
DINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	.....
FUEL SYSTEM, PHASE 1	6,000	6,000	.....
TACTICAL RUNWAY	28,000	28,000	.....
GHAZNI:			
WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	5,500	5,500	.....
JALALABAD:			
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	35,000	35,000	.....
CONTINGENCY HOUSING	6,900	.....	−6,900
DINING FACILITY	4,350	4,350	.....
PERIMETER FENCING	2,050	2,050	.....
JOYCE:			
DINING FACILITY	2,100	2,100	.....
WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	5,600	.....
KABUL:			
CAMP PHOENIX WEST EXPANSION	39,000	39,000	.....
USFOR–A HEADQUARTERS AND HOUSING	98,000	98,000	.....
KANDAHAR:			
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	4,500	.....
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	4,500	.....
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	4,500	.....
SOUTH PARK ROADS	11,000	11,000	.....
TANKER TRUCK OFFLOAD FACILITY	23,000	23,000	.....
THEATER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	55,000	55,000	.....
TROOP HOUSING, PHASE 2	4,250	.....	−4,250

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Committee versus request
WAREHOUSE	20,000	20,000	
WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	10,000	10,000	
MAYWAND:			
DINING FACILITY	6,600	6,600	
WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	5,600	
METHAR–LAM:			
WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	4,150	4,150	
SALERNO:			
DINING FACILITY	4,300	4,300	
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION GRID	2,600	2,600	
FUEL SYSTEM, PHASE 1	12,800	12,800	
RUNWAY UPGRADE	25,000	25,000	
WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	5,500	5,500	
SHANK:			
DINING FACILITY	4,350	4,350	
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION GRID	4,600	4,600	
TROOP HOUSING, PHASE 2	8,600		−8,600
WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	8,100	8,100	
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,650	2,650	
SHARANA:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	12,200	12,200	
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	14,000	14,000	
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION GRID	2,600	2,600	
ROTARY WING PARKING	32,000	32,000	
TARIN KOWT:			
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	35,000	35,000	
DINING FACILITY	4,350	4,350	
FUEL SYSTEM, PHASE 2	11,800	11,800	
WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	6,800	6,800	
TOMBSTONE/BASTION:			
BASIC LOAD AMMUNITION HOLDING AREA	7,500	7,500	
DINING FACILITY	8,900	8,900	
ENTRY CONTROL POINT AND ACCESS ROADS	14,200	14,200	
FUEL SYSTEM, PHASE 2	14,200	14,200	
LEVEL 3 MEDICAL FACILITY	16,500	16,500	
ROADS	4,300	4,300	
TROOP HOUSING, PHASE 3	3,250		−3,250
TROOP HOUSING, PHASE 4	3,800		−3,800
WATER SUPPLY AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	6,200	6,200	
WOLVERINE:			
DINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200	
FUEL SYSTEM, PHASE 1	5,800	5,800	
WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	6,900	6,900	
AIR FORCE:			
BAGRAM AIR BASE:			
AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	8,900	8,900	
CARGO TERMINAL	13,800	13,800	
EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	6,400	6,400	
DWYER:			
CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	4,900	
KANDAHAR:			
AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	10,500	10,500	
CARGO HELICOPTER APRON	32,000	32,000	
CAS APRON EXPANSION	25,000	25,000	
EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	6,400	6,400	
ISR APRON EXPANSION	40,000	40,000	
REFUELER APRON/RELOCATE HCP	66,000	66,000	
RELOCATE NORTH AIRFIELD ROAD	16,000	16,000	
SECURE RSOI FACILITY	9,700	9,700	
TACTICAL AIRLIFT APRON	29,000	29,000	
SHANK:			
CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	4,900	

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Committee versus request
TARIN KOWT:			
CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	4,900	.....
TOMBSTONE/BASTION:			
AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	8,900	8,900	.....
CARGO HANDLING AREA	18,000	18,000	.....
CAS APRON EXPANSION	40,000	40,000	.....
EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	6,300	6,300	.....
ISR APRON	41,000	41,000	.....
SECURE RSOI FACILITY	10,000	10,000	.....
STRATEGIC AIRLIFT APRON EXPANSION	32,000	32,000	.....
WOLVERINE:			
CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	4,900	.....
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	6,000	.....	−6,000
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY:			
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	20,000	20,000	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	76,284	72,884	−3,400
AIR FORCE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	35,000	35,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN (NSA)	600	.....	−600
GRAND TOTAL	1,404,984	1,398,984	−6,000
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY	923,884	924,484	+600
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE	474,500	474,500	.....
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE	6,600	.....	−6,600

DISCLOSURE OF CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

The Constitution vests in the Congress the power of the purse. The Committee believes strongly that Congress should make the decisions on how to allocate the people's money.

As defined in Rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the term "congressionally directed spending item" means a provision or report language included primarily at the request of a Senator, providing, authorizing, or recommending a specific amount of discretionary budget authority, credit authority, or other spending authority for a contract, loan, loan guarantee, grant, loan authority, or other expenditure with or to an entity, or targeted to a specific State, locality or congressional district, other than through a statutory or administrative, formula-driven, or competitive award process.

For each item, a Member is required to provide a certification that neither the Member nor the Member's immediate family has a pecuniary interest in such congressionally directed spending item. Such certifications are available to the public on the website of the Senate Committee on Appropriations (www.appropriations.senate.gov/senators.cfm).

Following is a list of congressionally directed spending items included in the Senate recommendation discussed in this report,

along with the name of each Senator who submitted a request to the Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the Committee recommendation nor this report contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in rule XLIV.

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY AND FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION		
Army Guard	Alabama: FORT MCCLELLAN—URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	3,000	The President, Senator Sessions
Air Force	Alaska: CLEAR AFS—POWER PLANT FACILITY	24,300	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Air Force	Alaska: ELMENDORF AFB—RED FLAG ALASKA ADD/ALTER OPERATIONS CENTER	3,100	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Air Force	Alaska: ELMENDORF AFB—F–22 WEAPONS LOAD TRAINING FACILITY	12,600	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Army	Alaska: FORT RICHARDSON—AIRBORNE SUSTAINMENT TRAINING COMPLEX	6,100	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Army	Alaska: FORT RICHARDSON—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	2,050	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Army	Alaska: FORT RICHARDSON—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	43,000	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Army	Alaska: FORT WAINWRIGHT—AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH I	125,000	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Army	Alaska: FORT WAINWRIGHT—AVIATION UNIT OPERATIONS COMPLEX	19,000	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Army	Alaska: FORT WAINWRIGHT—RAILHEAD COMPLEX	26,000	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Army	Alaska: FORT WAINWRIGHT—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	28,000	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Def-Wide—TMA	Alaska: FORT RICHARDSON—HEALTH CLINIC	3,518	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Def-Wide—TMA	Alaska: ELMENDORF AFB—AEROMEDICAL SERVICES/MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC	25,017	The President, Senator Murkowksi
Army	Arizona: FORT HUACHUCA—UAV ER/MPER/MP	15,000	The President
Army	Arizona: FORT HUACHUCA—BATTALION HEADQUARTERS UAV	6,000	The President
Air Force	Arizona: DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB—DORMITORY (144 RM)	20,000	The President
Air Force	Arizona: DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB—CSAR HC–130J SIMULATOR FACILITY	8,400	The President
Air Force	Arizona: DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB—CSAR HC–130J RQS OPERATIONS FACILITY	8,700	The President
Air Force	Arizona: DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB—CSAR HC–130J INFRASTRUCTURE	4,800	The President
Navy	Arizona: YUMA—AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR, PH I	27,050	The President
Navy	Arizona: YUMA—AIRFIELD ELEC. DIST. AND CONTOL	1,720	The President
Army Guard	Arizona: CAMP NAVAJO—COMBAT PISTOL QUALIFICATION COURSE	3,000	The President
Air Guard	Arizona: DAVIS MONTHAN AFB—TFI—PREDATOR BEDDOWN—FOC	5,600	The President
Navy Reserve	Arizona: PHOENIX—RESERVE CENTER MOVE TO LUKE AFB, NOSC	10,986	The President
Army	Arkansas: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL—FUSE & DETONATOR MAGAZINE, DEPOT LEVEL	25,000	The President
Air Force	Arkansas: LITTLE ROCK AFB—C–130 FLIGHT SIMULATOR ADDITION	5,800	The President
Army	California: FORT IRWIN—MOUT ASSAULT COURSE, PH IV	9,500	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: BRIDGEPORT—FIRE STATION—RENOVATION—MWTC	4,460	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—ANGLICO OPERATIONS COMPLEX	25,190	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—RECON BN OPERATIONS COMPLEX	77,660	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—COMM/ELEC MAINTENANCE FACILITY	13,170	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—EXPANSION OF SRTTP TO 7.5 MGD	55,180	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—NORTH REGION TERTIARY TREATMENT PLANT, PH I	142,330	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer

Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—GAS/ELECTRICAL UPGRADES	51,040	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—RECRUIT BARRACKS—SCHOOL OF INFANTRY	53,320	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—ENLISTED DINING FACILITY	32,300	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—RECRUIT BARRACKS—FIELD/K–SPAN	23,200	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—COMMUNICATIONS UPGRADES	79,492	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	76,950	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—OPERATIONS ACCESS POINTS	12,740	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—ENLISTED DINING FACILITY—EDSON RANGE	37,670	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	39,610	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—RECRUIT MARKSMANSHIP TRAINING FACILITY	13,730	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—EXPAND COMBAT AIRCRAFT LOADING APRON	12,240	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—AVIATION TRANSMITTER/RECEIVER SITE	13,560	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—WFTBN SUPPORT FACILITIES	15,780	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE—EDWARDS RAMP EXTENSION	3,007	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: MIRAMAR—AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON MODIFICATION	9,280	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: POINT LOMA ANNEX—PUBLIC WORKS SHOPS CONSOLIDATION	8,730	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: SAN DIEGO—MESSHALL EXPANSION	23,590	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—STATION COMM FACILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE	49,040	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—SUB-STATION AND ELECTRICAL UPGRADES	31,310	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—ELEC. INFRA. UPGRADE—34.5KV TO 115KV	46,220	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—ELEC. POWER PLANT/CO-GEN/GAS TURBINE–N	53,260	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—WATER IMPROVEMENTS AND STORAGE TANK	30,610	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—SEWAGE SYSTEM IMP. AND LIFT STATION	5,800	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—HTHW/CHILLED WATER SYSTEM	25,790	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—NATURAL GAS SYSTEM EXTENSION	19,990	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—INDUSTRIAL WASTE WATER PRETREATMENT SYSTEM	3,330	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—LAYDOWN SITE WORK—NORTH MAINSIDE	21,740	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—SECONDARY ELEC.DIST.—NORTH MAINSIDE	31,720	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—CONSTRUCT ROADS—NORTH MAINSIDE	29,360	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—MAINT. SHOP—WHEELED	16,040	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—MAINT. SUNSHADES—WHEELED	12,580	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—COMM/ELECT MAINT/STORAGE	12,660	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—DINING FACILITY—NORTH MAINSIDE	17,200	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	37,290	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—MAINT. SHOP—TRACKED	19,780	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	37,290	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—CONSOLIDATED ARMORY—TANKS	12,670	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Air Force	California: TRAVIS AFB—CONSTRUCT KC–10 CARGO LOAD TRAINING FACILITY	6,900	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Air Force	California: VANDENBERG AFB—CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	13,000	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Air Guard	California: SOUTH CALIFORNIA LOGISTICS AIRPORT—TFI-PREDATOR BEDDOWN-FTU/LRE SITE	8,400	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Def-Wide—DLA	California: EL CENTRO—AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION	11,000	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Def-Wide—DLA	California: POINT LOMA ANNEX—REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FAC, INCR 2	92,300	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Def-Wide—DLA	California: TRAVIS AFB—REPLACE FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	15,357	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Def-Wide—SOCOM	California: CORONA—SOF CLOSE QUARTERS COMBAT TRAINING FACILITY	15,722	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Army Guard	California: LOS ALAMITOS—READINESS CENTER PH1	31,000	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Army Reserve	California: CAMP PENDLETON—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	19,500	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Army Reserve	California: LOS ANGELES—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	29,000	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Navy Reserve	California: ALAMEDA—RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	5,960	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	18,500	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—BRIGADE COMPLEX	69,000	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—RAILROAD TRACKS	14,000	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—SCOUT RECCE/GUNNERY COMPLEX	16,000	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	56,000	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	11,000	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	7,400	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—CONVOY LIVE FIRE RANGE	6,500	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—COMMISSARY	35,000	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—BARRACKS & DINING, INCR II	60,000	The President
Air Force	Colorado: PETERSON AFB—C–130 SQUAD OPS/AMU (TFI)	5,200	The President
Air Force	Colorado: PETERSON AFB—NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE INSTITUTE	19,900	The President
Air Force	Colorado: U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY—ADD TO CADET FITNESS CENTER	17,500	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Colorado: FORT CARSON—SOF BATTALION OPS COMPLEX	45,200	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Colorado: FORT CARSON—SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	Colorado: FORT CARSON—HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	31,900	The President
Army Reserve	Colorado: COLORADO SPRINGS—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,000	The President
Air Force Reserve	Colorado: SCHRIEVER AFB—WING HEADQUARTERS	10,200	The President
Chem Demil Const	Colorado: PUEBLO DEPOT—AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY, PH XI	92,500	The President
Army Reserve	Connecticut: BRIDGEPORT—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	18,500	The President
Air Force	Delaware: DOVER AFB—C–5 CARGO AIRCRAFT MAINT TRAINING FACILITY, PH 1	5,300	The President
Air Force	Delaware: DOVER AFB—CONSOL COMM FAC	12,100	The President, Senators Carper and Kaufman
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—OPERATIONS COMPLEX, PH III	80,000	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—INDOOR FIRING RANGE	8,900	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE	8,000	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—LIVE FIRE EXERCISE BREACH FACILITY	4,950	The President

Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—NON-STANDARD SMALL ARMS RANGE	3,400	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—GRENADE LAUNCHER RANGE	1,600	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—HAND GRENADE QUALIFICATION COURSE	1,400	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,700	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—ANTI-ARMOR, TRACKING AND LIVE FIRE RANGE	3,400	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION/TRAINING RANGE	12,000	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	2,200	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—BASIC 10M—25M FIRING RANGE (ZERO)	3,050	The President
Army	Florida: MIAMI DORAL—SOUTHCOM HEADQUARTERS, INCR III	55,400	The President
Navy	Florida: MAYPORT—WHARF CHARLIE REPAIR	29,682	The President
Navy	Florida: JACKSONVILLE—P–8/MMA FACILITIES MODIFICATION	5,917	The President
Navy	Florida: PENSACOLA—CORRY A SCHOOL BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS R	22,950	The President
Navy	Florida: PENSACOLA—SIMULATOR ADDITION FOR UMFO PROGRAM	3,211	The President
Navy	Florida: MAYPORT—CHANNEL DREDGING	46,303	The President
Navy	Florida: WHITING FIELD—T–6B JPATS TRNG. OPS PARALOFT FACIILITY	4,120	The President
Navy	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 HYDRANT REFUELING SYS, PH I	6,208	The President
Navy	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 PARALLEL TAXIWAY LADDER	931	The President
Navy	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 A/C PARKING APRON	11,252	The President
Navy	Florida: EGLIN AFB—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, EOD SCHOOL, PH	26,287	The President
Navy	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 JP8 WEST SIDE BULK TANK UPDGRADES	621	The President
Navy	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 POL OPERATIONS FACILITY (EGLIN)	2,056	The President
Navy	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 JP8 FLIGHTLINE FILLSTANDS (EGLIN)	3,492	The President
Navy	Florida: BLOUNT ISLAND—PORT OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,760	The President
Air Force	Florida: HURLBURT FIELD—REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,200	The President
Air Force	Florida: HURLBURT FIELD—ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION	8,300	The President
Air Force	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 DUKE CONTROL TOWER	3,420	The President
Air Force	Florida: EGLIN AFB—CONSTRUCT DORMITORY (96 RM)	11,000	The President
Air Force	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 POL OPS FACILITY	3,180	The President
Air Force	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM, PH 1	8,100	The President
Air Force	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 PARELLEL TAXIWAY LADDER	1,440	The President
Air Force	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 JPS FLIGHTLINE FILLSTANDS	5,400	The President
Air Force	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 JP–8 WEST SIDE BULK FUEL TANK UPGRADES	960	The President
Air Force	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 LIVE ORDINANCE LOAD FACILITY	9,900	The President
Air Force	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F–35 A/C PARKING APRON	16,400	The President
Air Force	Florida: MACDILL AFB—DORMITORY (120 ROOM)	16,000	The President
Air Force	Florida: MACDILL AFB—CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	7,000	The President
Air Force	Florida: MACDILL AFB—CENTCOM COMMANDANT FACILITY	15,300	The President
Def-Wide—DLA	Florida: JACKSONVILLE IAP—REPLACE JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	11,500	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Florida: EGLIN AFB—SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Florida: HURLBURT FIELD—SOF SIMULATOR FACILITY FOR MC–130 (RECAP)	8,156	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army Reserve	Florida: PANAMA CITY—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	7,300	The President
Army Reserve	Florida: WEST PALM BEACH—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	26,000	The President
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	10,800	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—FIRE AND MOVEMENT RANGE	2,800	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—BATTLE LAB	30,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—TRAINING AREA TANK TRAILS	9,700	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX	38,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—DINING FACILITY	15,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION (WT) COMPLEX	53,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH I	31,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH I	31,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX, PH I	74,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT GILLEM—FORENSIC LAB	10,800	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—BRIGADE COMPLEX, INCR I	48,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	49,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—BARRACKS & DINING, INCR II	80,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Def-Wide—DODEA	Georgia: FORT BENNING—WILSON ES CONSTRUCT GYMNASIUM	2,330	The President, Senator Chambliss
Def-Wide—DODEA	Georgia: FORT STEWART—NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	22,501	The President, Senator Chambliss
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Georgia: FORT BENNING—SOF EXPAND BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	3,046	The President, Senator Chambliss
Def-Wide—TMA	Georgia: FORT BENNING—BLOOD DONOR CENTER REPLACEMENT	12,313	The President, Senator Chambliss
Def-Wide—TMA	Georgia: FORT BENNING—DENTAL CLINIC	4,887	The President, Senator Chambliss
Def-Wide—TMA	Georgia: FORT STEWART—HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	22,200	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army Guard	Georgia: FORT BENNING—READINESS CENTER	15,500	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army Reserve	Georgia: ATLANTA—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	14,000	The President, Senator Chambliss
Army	Hawaii: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	63,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army	Hawaii: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	36,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army	Hawaii: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION BARRACKS	55,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army	Hawaii: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	30,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army	Hawaii: WHEELER AFB—REGIONAL SATCOM INFORMATION CENTER	7,500	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy	Hawaii: OAHU—RANGE, 1000—PUULOA	5,380	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy	Hawaii: PEARL HARBOR—PACFLT SUB DRIVE-IN MAG SILENCING FAC (INC)	8,645	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy	Hawaii: PEARL HARBOR—APCSS CONFERENCE & TECHNOLOGY LEARNING CENTER	12,775	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy	Hawaii: PEARL HARBOR—MISSILE MAGAZINES (5), WEST LOCH	22,407	The President, Senator Inouye
Def-Wide—DISA	Hawaii: FORD ISLAND—PACIFIC OPERATIONS FACILITY UPGRADE	9,633	The President, Senator Inouye

Air Force	Hawaii: WHEELER AFB—CONSTRUCT ASOC COMPLEX	15,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Air Guard	Hawaii: HICKAM AFB—TFI—F–22 LO/COMPOSITE REPAIR FACILITY	26,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Air Guard	Hawaii: HICKAM AFB—TFI–F–22 PARKING APRON AND TAXIWAYS	7,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Air Force	Idaho: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB—LOGISTICS READINESS CENTER	20,000	The President, Senators Crapo and Risch
Army Guard	Idaho: GOWEN FIELD—COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	16,100	The President
Army Reserve	Illinois: CHICAGO—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	23,000	The President, Senator Durbin
Navy Reserve	Illinois: JOLIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT—RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	7,957	The President, Senator Durbin
Army Guard	Indiana: MUSCATATUCK—COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY PH	10,100	The President
Army	Kansas: FORT RILEY—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	15,500	The President
Army	Kansas: FORT RILEY—ADVANCED WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT	28,000	The President
Army	Kansas: FORT RILEY—IGLOO STORAGE, INSTALLATION	7,200	The President
Army	Kansas: FORT RILEY—BRIGADE COMPLEX	49,000	The President
Army	Kansas: FORT RILEY—BATTALION COMPLEX	59,000	The President
Army	Kansas: FORT RILEY—LAND VEHICLE FUELING FACILITY	3,700	The President
Army	Kentucky: FORT KNOX—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	70,000	The President, Senator McConnell
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—SOF BATTALION OPERATIONS COMPLEX	29,289	The President, Senator McConnell
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	The President, Senator McConnell
Def-Wide—TMA	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—HEALTH CLINIC	8,600	The President, Senator McConnell
Chem Demil Const	Kentucky: BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT—CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROJECT	54,041	The President, Senator McConnell
Army	Louisiana: FORT POLK—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	32,000	The President
Army	Louisiana: FORT POLK—LAND PURCHASES ANDCONDEMNATION	17,000	The President
Air Guard	Maine: BANGOR IAP—REPLACE AIRCRAFT MAINT HANGAR/SHOPS	28,000	The President, Senators Collins and Snowe
Army	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS CENTER	18,000	The President, Senator Mikulski
Army	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	21,000	The President, Senator Mikulski
Air Force	Maryland: ANDREWS AFB—REPLACE MUNITIONS STORAGE AREA	9,300	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Def-Wide—NSA	Maryland: FORT MEADE—SOUTH CAMPUS UTILITY PLANT, PH 2	175,900	The President, Senator Mikulski
Def-Wide—NSA	Maryland: FORT MEADE—NSAW CAMPUS CHILLED WATER BACKUP	19,100	The President, Senator Mikulski
Def-Wide—NSA	Maryland: FORT MEADE—MISSION SUPPORT—PSAT	8,800	The President, Senator Mikulski
Def-Wide—TMA	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—BOUNDARY GATE AT NALIN POND	10,750	The President, Senator Mikulski
Def-Wide—TMA	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—EMERGENCY SERVICE CENTER	16,125	The President, Senator Mikulski
Def-Wide—TMA	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—USAMRIID STAGE I, INCR IV	108,000	The President, Senator Mikulski
Def-Wide—TMA	Maryland: ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND—USAMRICD REPLACEMENT, INCR II	111,400	The President, Senator Mikulski
Def-Wide—TMA	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—NIBC TRUCK INSPECTION STATION & ROAD	2,932	The President, Senator Mikulski
Air Guard	Maryland: ANDREWS AFB—RPL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	14,000	The President, Senator Mikulski
Army Guard	Massachusetts: HANSCOM AFB—ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (JFHQ)	29,000	The President, Senators Kennedy and Kerry
Army Guard	Minnesota: CAMP RIPLEY—URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	1,710	The President
Army Guard	Minnesota: ARDEN HILLS—READINESS CENTER, PH 2	6,700	The President, Senator Klobuchar
Def-Wide—DLA	Minnesota: DULUTH IAP—JET FUEL STOARGE COMPLEX	15,000	The President
Army Reserve	Minnesota: FORT SNELLING (MINNEAPOLIS)—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	12,000	The President
Army Guard	Mississippi: CAMP SHELBY—ADD/ALT COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	16,100	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Air Force Reserve	Mississippi: KEESLER AFB—AERIAL PORT SQUADRON FACILITY	9,800	The President
Army	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—AUTOMATED-AIDED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	27,000	The President
Army	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—WHEELED VEHICLE DRIVERS COURSE	17,500	The President
Army	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	19,500	The President
Army	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—TRANSIENT ADVANCED TRAINEE BARRACKS, PH I	99,000	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—DENTAL CLINIC ADDITION	5,570	The President
Army Guard	Missouri: BOONVILLE—READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	1,800	The President
Army Guard	Nebraska: LINCOLN—ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (JFHQ)	23,000	The President
Air Guard	Nebraska: LINCOLN MAP—JOINT FORCES OPERATIONS CENTER—ANG SHARE	1,500	The President
Air Force	Nevada: CREECH AFB—UAS AT/FP SECURITY UPDATES	2,700	The President
Army Guard	Nevada: NORTH LAS VEGAS—READINESS CENTER	26,000	The President
Air Force	New Mexico: HOLLOMAN AFB—F–22A CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS MAINT (TFI)	5,500	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: CANNON AFB—WB—CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATION FAC	15,000	The President
Air Force	New Mexico: KIRTLAND AFB—MC–130J SIMULATOR FACILITY	8,000	The President
Air Force	New Mexico: KIRTLAND AFB—HC–130J SIMULATOR FACILITY	8,700	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	New Mexico: CANNON AFB—SOF FUEL CELL HANGAR (MC–130)	41,269	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	New Mexico: CANNON AFB—SOF AMU ADDITION (CV–22)	11,595	The President
Army Guard	New Mexico: SANTA FE—ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	39,000	The President
Army	New York: FORT DRUM—WATER SYSTEM EXPANSION	6,500	The President, Senators Schumer and Gillibrand
Army	New York: FORT DRUM—BARRACKS	57,000	The President, Senators Schumer and Gillibrand
Army	New York: FORT DRUM—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	21,000	The President, Senators Schumer and Gillibrand
Army Reserve	New York: ROCHESTER—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,600	The President, Senators Schumer and Gillibrand
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	19,500	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SIMULATIONS CENTER	50,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	17,500	The President
Army	North Carolina: SUNNY POINT MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL—TOWERS	3,900	The President
Army	North Carolina: SUNNY POINT MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL—LIGHTNING PROTECTION SYSTEM	25,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,300	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—TRANSIENT TRAINING BARRACKS COMPLEX	16,500	The President

Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN	4,350	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—4TH INFANTRY BATTALION OPS COMPLEX	55,150	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—WALLACE CREEK	34,160	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—WALLACE CREEK	43,480	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—WALLACE CREEK	44,390	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—WALLACE CREEK	44,390	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—WALLACE CREEK	42,110	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—CONSOLIDATED INFO TECH/TELECOM COMPLEX	46,120	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—FIELD TRAINING FAC—DEVIL DOG—SOI	37,170	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—MAINTENANCE/OPS COMPLEX	52,390	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—MP WORKING DOG KENNEL—RELOCATION	8,370	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—NEW BASE ENTRY POINT AND ROAD, PH I	79,150	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	39,760	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—PRE-TRIAL DETAINEE FACILITY	18,580	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—ROAD NETWORK—WALLACE CREEK	15,130	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—SOI-EAST FACILITIES—CAMP GEIGER	56,940	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—UTILITY EXPANSION—COURTHOUSE BAY	56,280	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION—EMS/FIRE VEHICLE FACILITY	10,600	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION—ORDNANCE MAGAZINES	12,360	The President
Navy	North Carolina: NEW RIVER—APRON EXPANSION, PH II	35,600	The President
Navy	North Carolina: NEW RIVER—GYMNASIUM/OUTDOOR POOL	19,920	The President
Navy	North Carolina: NEW RIVER—PARALLEL TAXIWAY	17,870	The President
Navy	North Carolina: NEW RIVER—TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PAD ADDITION	5,490	The President
Navy	North Carolina: NEW RIVER—VMMT–204 MAINTENANCE HANGAR, PH III	28,210	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—ALBRITTON JHS ADDITION	3,439	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SPECIAL OPS PREP & CONDITIONING COURSE	24,600	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF BATTALION & COMPANY HQ	15,500	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF OPERATIONS SUPPORT ADDITION	13,756	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	1,125	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF BATTALION HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	13,000	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF OPERATIONS ADDITION NORTH	27,513	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF TUAV HANGAR	2,948	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	3,046	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—SOF ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY EXPANSION	11,791	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CLINIC	26,386	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—HEALTH CLINIC	31,272	The President
Air Force	North Dakota: MINOT AFB—MUNITIONS TRAILER STORAGE FACILITY	1,500	The President
Air Force	North Dakota: MINOT AFB—MISSILE PROCEDURES TRNG OPERATIONS	10,000	The President
Air Force	Ohio: WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB—INFO TECH COMPLEX, PH 1	27,000	The President
Air Force	Ohio: WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB—CONVERSION FOR ADVANCED POWER RESEARCH LAB	21,000	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Air Guard	Ohio: MANSFIELD LAHM AIRPORT—TFI—RED HORSE SQUADRON BEDDOWN	11,400	The President
Army Reserve	Ohio: CINCINNATI—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,000	The President
Army	Oklahoma: FORT SILL—AUTOMATED INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	3,500	The President
Army	Oklahoma: FORT SILL—BARRACKS	65,000	The President
Army	Oklahoma: FORT SILL—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	22,000	The President
Army	Oklahoma: MCALESTER—HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE, DEPOT LEVEL	1,300	The President
Army	Oklahoma: MCALESTER—GENERAL PURPOSE STORAGE BUILDING	11,200	The President
Air Force	Oklahoma: ALTUS AFB—REPAIR TAXIWAYS	20,300	The President
Air Force	Oklahoma: TINKER AFB—BUILDING 3001 HANGER DOOR	13,037	The President
Def-Wide—DLA	Oklahoma: ALTUS AFB—REPLACE UPLOAD FACILITY	2,700	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	Oklahoma: FORT SILL—DENTAL CLINIC	10,554	The President
Air Guard	Oklahoma: WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT—TFI—AIR SUPT OPERS SQDN (ASOS) BEDDN	7,300	The President
Army Reserve	Pennsylvania: ASHLEY—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	9,800	The President
Army Reserve	Pennsylvania: HARRISBURG—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	7,600	The President
Army Reserve	Pennsylvania: NEWTON SQUARE—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	20,000	The President
Army Reserve	Pennsylvania: UNIONTOWN—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	11,800	The President
Navy	Rhode Island: NEWPORT—OFFICER TRAINING COMMAND QUARTERS	45,803	The President
Army	South Carolina: CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPON STATION—STAGING AREA	4,100	The President
Army	South Carolina: CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPON STATION—RAILROAD TRACKS	12,000	The President
Army	South Carolina: CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPON STATION—PIER AND LOADING/UNLOADING RAMPS	5,700	The President
Army	South Carolina: FORT JACKSON—ADVANCED SKILLS TRAINEE BARRACKS	32,000	The President
Army	South Carolina: FORT JACKSON—MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,600	The President
Army	South Carolina: FORT JACKSON—TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX	66,000	The President
Army	South Carolina: FORT JACKSON—INFILTRATION COURSE	1,900	The President
Navy	South Carolina: BEAUFORT—WIDEBODY AIRCRAFT FUEL LANE	1,280	The President
Navy	South Carolina: PARRIS ISLAND—ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION AND IMPROVEMENTS	6,972	The President
Army Guard	South Carolina: EASTOVER—ADD/ALT ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	26,000	The President
Army Guard	South Carolina: GREENVILLE—ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	40,000	The President
Navy Reserve	South Carolina: CHARLESTON—RESERVE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	4,240	The President
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—AIRCRAFT FUEL STORAGE	10,800	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	7,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	6,900	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	4,250	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—BRIGADE STAGING AREA COMPLEX	14,800	The President, Senator Hutchison

Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX	45,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—FIRE AND MILITARY POLICE STATIONS	16,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE	4,750	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	2,400	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY COMPLEX	17,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—SIMULATION CENTER	23,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE AND COMPANY OPS FAC	31,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	16,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	20,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT HOOD—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	23,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT HOOD—URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,400	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT HOOD—AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	6,700	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT SAM HOUSTON—ACCESS CONTROL POINT AND ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	10,800	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT SAM HOUSTON—GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	9,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Navy	Texas: CORPUS CHRISTI—OPERATIONAL FACILITIES FOR T–6	19,764	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: DYESS AFB—C–130J ALTER HANGAR	4,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: GOODFELLOW AFB—JOINT INTEL TECH TRNG FAC, PH 1 (TFI)	18,400	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: GOODFELLOW AFB—STUDENT DORMITORY (100 RM)	14,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—EVASION, CONDUCT AFTER CAPTURE TRNG	4,879	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—RECRUIT DORMITORY 2, PH 2	77,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—BMT SATELLITE CLASSROOM/DINING FAC	32,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Def-Wide—DLA	Texas: FORT HOOD—ALTER FUEL PUMP HOUSE AND FILL STAND	3,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Def-Wide—TMA	Texas: FORT BLISS—HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	24,600	The President, Senator Hutchison
Def-Wide—TMA	Texas: FORT BLISS—HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT, PH 1	62,975	The President, Senator Hutchison
Def-Wide—TMA	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	29,318	The President, Senator Hutchison
Def-Wide—TMA	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—AMBULATORY CARE CENTER, PH 1	72,610	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Guard	Texas: AUSTIN—ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	16,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Guard	Texas: AUSTIN—FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP, JOINT	5,700	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: AUSTIN—ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER/AMSA	20,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: FORT BLISS—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	9,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: HOUSTON—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	24,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: SAN ANTONIO—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	20,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Navy Reserve	Texas: SAN ANTONIO—RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	2,210	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force Reserve	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—C–5 GROUND TRAINING SCHOOLHOUSE ADDITION	1,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Utah: DUGWAY PROVING GROUND—WATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS	25,000	The President
Air Force	Utah: HILL AFB—F–22A RADAR CROSS SECTION TESTING FAC	21,053	The President
Def-Wide—NSA	Utah: CAMP WILLIAMS—IC CNCI DATA CENTER 1	600,000	The President
Air Force Reserve	Utah: HILL AFB—RESERVE SQUAD OPS/AMU FACILITY	3,200	The President
Army	Virginia: FORT BELVOIR—FLIGHT CONTROL TOWER	8,400	The President
Army	Virginia: FORT BELVOIR—ROAD AND ACCESS CONTROL POINT	9,500	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Virginia: FORT A.P. HILL—AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	4,900	The President
Army	Virginia: FORT A.P. HILL—FIELD TRAINING AREA	9,000	The President
Army	Virginia: FORT A.P. HILL—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	9,100	The President
Navy	Virginia: QUANTICO—STUDENT QUARTERS—TBS, PH IV	32,060	The President
Navy	Virginia: PORTSMOUTH—SHIP REPAIR PIER REPLACEMENT	126,969	The President
Navy	Virginia: QUANTICO—BATTALION TRAINING FACILITY—MSGBN	10,340	The President
Navy	Virginia: QUANTICO—MC INFORMATION OPERATIONS CENTER—MCIOC	29,620	The President
Navy	Virginia: NORFOLK—E–2D TRAINER FACILITY	11,737	The President
Navy	Virginia: NORFOLK—FACILITY UPGRADES FOR E–2D PROGRAM	6,402	The President
Navy	Virginia: QUANTICO—AIRCRAFT TRAINER	3,170	The President
Navy	Virginia: QUANTICO—DINING FACILITY—TBS	14,780	The President
Navy	Virginia: LITTLE CREEK—NAVAL CONSTRUCTION DIVISION OPERATIONS FAC	13,095	The President
Navy	Virginia: QUANTICO—SOUTH MAINSIDE ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION	15,270	The President
Air Force	Virginia: LANGLEY AFB—WEST & LASALLE GATES FORCE PROTECTION/ACCESS	10,000	The President
Def-Wide—MDA	Virginia: DAHLGREN—AEGIS BMD FACILITY EXPANSION	24,500	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Virginia: LITTLE CREEK—SOF SUPPORT ACTIVITY OPERATION FACILITY	18,669	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Virginia: DAM NECK—SOF OPERATIONS FACILITY, INCR III	15,967	The President
Def-Wide—WHS	Virginia: PENTAGON—PENTAGON ELECTRICAL UPGRADE	19,272	The President
Def-Wide—WHS	Virginia: PENTAGON—SECONDARY UNINTERRUPTIBLE POWER RAVEN ROCK	8,400	The President
Army Guard	Virginia: FORT PICKETT—REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE, PH II	32,000	The President
Navy Reserve	Virginia: OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION—C–40 HANGAR	30,400	The President
Army	Washington: FORT LEWIS—LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE	2,550	The President
Army	Washington: FORT LEWIS—ANIMAL BUILDING	3,050	The President
Army	Washington: FORT LEWIS—BRIGADE COMPLEX, INCR IV	102,000	The President
Army	Washington: FORT LEWIS—MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	4,100	The President
Def-Wide—DLA	Washington: FAIRCHILD AFB—REPLACE FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	7,500	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Washington: FORT LEWIS—SOF SUPPORT COMPANY FACILITY	14,500	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	Washington: FORT LEWIS—HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINIC	15,636	The President
Navy	Washington: BANGOR—LIMITED AREA PRODUCTION/STRG CMPLX, INCR VI	87,292	The President
Navy	Washington: BREMERTON—ENCLAVE FENCING/ PARKING, SILVERDALE WA	67,419	The President
Navy	Washington: BREMERTON—CVN MAINTENANCE PIER REPLACEMENT, INCR II	69,064	The President
Navy	Washington: SPOKANE—JNT PERS RECOVERY AGENCY SPECIALIZED SERE TRA	12,707	The President
Army Reserve	Wisconsin: FORT MCCOY—COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	25,000	The President
Air Force	Wyoming: F. E. WARREN AFB—ADAL MISSILE SERVICE COMPLEX	9,100	The President, Senators Enzi and Barrasso

Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—COALITION OPERATION CENTER	49,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—APS COMPOUND	38,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	2,600	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—PASSENGER TERMINAL	22,000	The President
Navy	Bahrain Island: SW ASIA—WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, PH II	41,526	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	Belgium: BRUSSELS—REPLACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (SHAPE)	38,124	The President
Air Force	Columbia: PALANQUERO AB—PALANQUERO AB DEVELOPMENT	46,000	The President
Navy	Djibouti: CAMP LEMONIER—INTERIOR PAVED ROADS PHASE A	7,275	The President
Navy	Djibouti: CAMP LEMONIER—AMMO SUPPLY POINT	21,689	The President
Navy	Djibouti: CAMP LEMONIER—SECURITY FENCING I	8,109	The President
Navy	Djibouti: CAMP LEMONIER—FIRE STATION	4,772	The President
Air Force	Germany: RAMSTEIN AB—CONSTRUCT AGE MAINT COMPLEX	11,500	The President
Air Force	Germany: RAMSTEIN AB—CONTINGENCY RESPONSE GROUP COMMAND	23,200	The President
Air Force	Germany: SPANGDAHLEM AB—FITNESS CTR	23,500	The President
Army	Germany: ANSBACH—BARRACKS	17,500	The President
Army	Germany: ANSBACH—BARRACKS	14,200	The President
Army	Germany: KLEBER KASERNE—BARRACKS	20,000	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	Germany: KAISERLAUTERN AB—KAISERSLAUTERN COMPLEX, PH 1	19,380	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	Germany: KAISERLAUTERN AB—KAISERSLAUTERN HS REPLACE SCHOOL	74,165	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	Germany: WEISBADEN—WIESBADEN HS NEW CAFETERIA AND KITCHEN	5,379	The President
Def-Wide—DLA	Greece: SOUDA BAY—FUEL STORAGE TANKS & PIPELINE RPL	24,000	The President
Air Force	Guam: ANDERSEN AFB—STRIKE FOL ELECTRICAL INFRASTRUCTURE	33,750	The President
Air Force	Guam: ANDERSEN AFB—NW FIELD ATFP PERIMETER FENCE AND ROAD	4,752	The President
Air Force	Guam: ANDERSEN AFB—COMMANDO WARRIOR OPERATIONS FAC	4,200	The President
Air Force	Guam: ANDERSEN AFB—NW FIELD COMBAT SPT VEHICLE MAINT FAC	15,500	The President
Army Guard	Guam: BARRIGADA—READINESS CENTER	30,000	The President
Def-Wide—DLA	Guam: AGANA NAVAL AIR STATION—REPLACE GAS CYLANDER STORAGE FACILITY	4,900	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	Guam: GUAM—HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT PH I	200,000	The President
Navy	Guam: GUAM—TORPEDO EXERCISE SUPPORT BUILDING	15,627	The President
Navy	Guam: GUAM—CONSOLIDATED SLC TRAINING & CSS–15 HQ FAC	45,309	The President
Navy	Guam: GUAM—MILITARY WORKING DOG RELOCATION, APRA HARBOR	10,000	The President
Navy	Guam: GUAM—DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	48,860	The President
Navy	Guam: GUAM—APRA HARBOR WHARVES IMP, PH I	83,517	The President
Def-Wide—DLA	Guantanamo Bay: GUANTANAMO BAY—REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	12,500	The President
Army	Italy: VICENZA—BDE COMPLEX—OPERATIONS SPT FAC, INCR III	23,500	The President
Army	Italy: VICENZA—BDE COMPLEX—BARRACKS/COMMUNITY, INCR III	22,500	The President
Army	Japan: OKINAWA—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	6,000	The President
Army	Japan: SAGAMIHARA—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	6,000	The President
Army	Korea: CAMP HUMPHREYS—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	19,000	The President
Army	Korea: CAMP HUMPHREYS—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	18,000	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Korea: CAMP HUMPHREYS—FIRE STATIONS	13,200	The President
Def-Wide—DIA	Korea: K–16 AIRFIELD—CONVERT WAREHOUSES	5,050	The President
Def-Wide—DLA	Korea: OSAN AB—REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	28,000	The President
Army	Kuwait: CAMP ARIFJAN—APS WAREHOUSES	82,000	The President
Army Reserve	Puerto Rico: CAGUAS—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	12,400	The President
Air Force	Qatar: AL UDEID—BLATCHFORD-PRESTON COMPLEX, PH II	60,000	The President
Navy	Spain: ROTA—RECEPTION AIRFIELD FACILITIES	26,278	The President
Air Force	Turkey: INCIRLIK AB—CONSTRUCT CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY CTR	9,200	The President
Def-Wide—DLA	United Kingdom: RAF MILDENHALL—CONNECT FUEL TANK DISTRIBUTION PIPE LN	4,700	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	United Kingdom: ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH—LIBERTY IS—GYMNASIUM	4,509	The President
Def-Wide—NSA	United Kingdom: MENWITH HILL STATION—MHS PSC CONSTRUCTION	37,588	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	United Kingdom: ROYAL AIR FORCE ALCONBURY—MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	14,227	The President
Army Guard	Virgin Islands: ST. CROIX—REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE, PH II	20,000	The President
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENTS			
Army Family Housing Construction	Germany: Baumholder—Family Housing Replacement Construction	18,000	The President
Army Family Housing Construction	Germany: Wiesbaden—Family Housing Replacement Construction, Increment 2	10,000	The President
Army Family Housing Construction	Germany: Wiesbaden—Family Housing Replacement Construction, Increment 2	11,000	The President
Army Family Housing Construction	Germany: Wiesbaden—Family Housing Replacement Construction, Increment 2	11,000	The President
Army Construction Improvements	Alaska: Fort Richardson—Family Housing Privatization (1,242 units)	46,000	The President
Army Construction Improvements	Alaska: Fort Wainwright—Family Housing Privatization (176 units)	52,000	The President
Army Construction Improvements	California: Fort Irwin—Family Housing Privatization (90 units)	30,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army Construction Improvements	Kentucky: Fort Knox—Family Housing Privatization (205 units)	26,700	The President, Senator McConnell
Army Construction Improvements	North Carolina: Fort Bragg—Family Housing Privatization (11 units)	5,400	The President
Army Construction Improvements	Virginia: Fort Eustis—Family Housing Privatization (8 units)	6,500	The President
Army Construction Improvements	Kentucky: Fort Knox—Family Housing Privatization (129 units)	14,000	The President, Senator Mcconnell
Army Construction Improvements	Louisiana: Fort Polk—Family Housing Privatization (144 units)	18,400	The President
Army Construction Improvements	Oklahoma: Fort Sill—Family Housing Privatization (78 units)	20,300	The President
Navy Family Housing Construction	Guam: Naval Base Guam—Repalcement, 30 Units at North Tipalao, PH III	20,730	The President
Navy Family Housing Construction	Korea: Commander Fleet Activity Chinhae—Construct FH Welcome Center, Warehouse	4,376	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements	Guam: Naval Base Guam—Install Window Shutters, PH III and IV (Lockwood Terrace)	1,448	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements	Guam: Naval Base Guam—Install Window Shutters 146 Units at New Apra	1,439	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements	Japan: Naval Air Facility Atsugi—Revitalization of Family Housing Townhomes	10,751	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements	Spain: Naval Station Rota—Duplex Conversion, PH II	11,329	The President

Navy Family Construction Improvements	Washington D.C.: Marine Barracks 8th & I—Whole House Revitalization	4,140	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements	North Carolina: Camp Lejuene—Camp Lejeune PPV Phase 6 with DODDS addition	78,857	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements	Japan: Marine Corps Air Station Iwakuni—Whole House Revitalization Midrise 1200	10,728	The President
Air Force Construction Improvements	Japan: Kadena AB—Improve Family Housing (362 units)	61,737	The President
Air Force Construction Improvements	United Kingdom: RAF Menwith Hill—Improve Family Housing (1 units)	50	The President

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 2005

Army	Alabama: Anniston (Pelham Range)—Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,000	The President
Army	Alabama: Birmingham—Armed Forces Reserve Center	10,000	The President
Army	Alabama: Mobile—Armed Forces Reserve Center	20,430	The President
Agency—MDA	Alabama: Redstone Arsenal—Von Braun Complex	27,800	The President
Army	Alabama: Tuscaloosa—Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,000	The President
Army	Arkansas: Camden—Armed Forces Reserve Center	9,800	The President
Army	Arkansas: El Dorado—Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,000	The President
Army	Arkansas: Hot Springs—Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,600	The President
Army	Arkansas: Pine Bluff—Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,500	The President
Army	Arizona: Marana—Armed Forces Reserve Center	31,000	The President
Navy	California: Barstow—Industrial Machine Shop Facility	14,130	The President
Navy	California: China Lake—Shipboard Shock Test Facility	3,160	The President
Navy	California: China Lake—Weapons Dynamics RDT&E Center	5,970	The President
Army	Connecticut: Middletown—Armed Forces Reserve Center, Increment II	37,000	The President
Navy	District of Columbia: Washington—Navy Systems Management Activity Relocation [INCR II of II]	71,929	The President
Navy	District of Columbia: Washington—Renovate 3rd Floor Buildging 176, Washington Navy Yard	750	The President
Army	Florida: Eglin AFB—Special Forces Complex, Increment II	8,000	The President
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB—BRAC F–35 Live Ordnance Load Area (LOLA)	6,624	The President
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB—CE Facility	2,000	The President
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB—F–35 [JSF] Duke Field Control Tower	2,280	The President
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB—Fitness Facility	2,750	The President
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB—STOVL Simulated Carrier Practice Landing Deck	27,690	The President
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB—School Age Facility	2,600	The President
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB—Security Forces Facility	890	The President
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB—Taxiway Extension	13,000	The President
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB—Traffic Management Cargo Processing Facility	900	The President
Army	Georgia: Benning—AAFES Troop Store	1,950	The President
Army	Georgia: Benning—Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Benning—Equipment Concentration Site	43,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Benning—General Instruction Complex 2, Increment II	58,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Benning—Maneuver Ctr HQ & CDI Bldg Expansion	42,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Benning—Medical Facility, Increment II	77,000	The President
Army	Iowa: Cedar Rapids—Armed Forces Reserve Center	42,000	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Iowa: Iowa AAP—Armed Forces Reserve Center	27,000	The President
Army	Iowa: Muscatine—Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,800	The President
Army	Illinois: Rock Island—Army Headquarters Building Renovation	20,000	The President
Army	Kentucky: Campbell—Armed Forces Reserve Center	5,900	The President
Army	Kentucky: Campbell—Headquarters Building, Group	14,800	The President
Army	Kentucky: Knox—Armed Forces Reserve Center	2,300	The President
Army	Maryland: Aberdeen PG—C4ISR, Phase 2, Increment II	156,000	The President
Agency—TMA	Maryland: Bethesda [WRNMMC]—Medical Center Addition, Increment 3	108,850	The President
Agency—TMA	Maryland: Bethesda [WRNMMC]—Traffic Mitigation, Increment I	18,400	The President
Agency—TMA	Maryland: Bethesda [WRNMMC]—Site Utility Infrastructure Upgrade for NICoE	6,500	The President
Army	Maryland: Detrick—Joint Bio-Med RDA Management Center	8,300	The President
Army	Maryland: Forest Glenn—Museum	12,200	The President
Agency—DISA	Maryland: Fort Meade—Construct DISA Building	131,662	The President
Army	Maryland: Fort Meade—Defense Media Activity, Increment II	17,000	The President
Navy	Maine: Brunswick—Marine Corps Reserve Center	12,960	The President
Army	Michigan: Detroit Arsenal—Administrative Office Buildings, Increment II	21,384	The President
Army	Michigan: Detroit Arsenal—Weapons Systems Support and Training	8,300	The President
Army	Michigan: Ft. Custer (Augusta)—Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,500	The President
Air Force	Michigan: Selfridge ANGB—A10 Arm/Disarm Apron	1,350	The President
Air Force	Michigan: Selfridge ANGB—Repair Munitions Admin Building 891	3,100	The President
Air Force	Michigan: Selfridge ANGB—Upgrade Munitions Maintenance Shop	1,650	The President
Air Force	Michigan: Selfridge ANGB—Upgrade Munitions Missile Maintenance Bays	2,350	The President
Army	Missouri: Kirksville—Armed Forces Reserve Center	6,600	The President
Army	Montana: Great Falls—Armed Forces Reserve Center	7,600	The President
Army	North Carolina: Bragg—Band Training Facility	4,200	The President
Army	North Carolina: Bragg—Headquarters Bldg, FORSCOM/USARC, Increment III	124,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: Wilmington—Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500	The President
Army	North Dakota: Fargo—Armed Forces Reserve Center	11,200	The President
Army	Nebraska: Columbus—Armed Forces Reserve Center	9,300	The President
Army	Nebraska: McCook—Armed Forces Reserve Center	7,900	The President
Army	New Jersey: Camden—Armed Forces Reserve Center	21,000	The President
Army	New York: West Point—U.S. Military Academy Prep School, Increment II	98,000	The President
Army	Ohio: Columbus—Armed Forces Reserve Center, Increment II	30,218	The President
Navy	Ohio: Akron—Armed Forces Reserve Center	13,840	The President

Army	Oklahoma: Sill—Joint Fires & Effects Simulator Building	28,000	The President
Air Force	Oklahoma: Will Rogers World APT AGS—Relocate Global Air Traffic Operation Program Office	1,200	The President
Army	Pennsylvania: Allentown—Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,000	The President
Army	Pennsylvania: Tobyhanna—Electronics Maintenance Shop, Depot Level	3,200	The President
Air Force	Pennsylvania: Willow Grove JRB—Establish Enclave	4,000	The President
Army	Rhode Island: Bristol—Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500	The President
Navy	South Carolina: Charleston—SPAWAR Data Center	9,670	The President
Navy	South Carolina: Goose Creek—Consolidated Brig Addition	9,790	The President
Army	South Carolina: Shaw AFB—Headquarters Building, Third US Army, Increment II	55,000	The President
Army	Tennessee: Chattanooga—Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,900	The President
Army	Texas: Bliss—Brigade Combat Team Complex #3, Increment III	110,000	The President
Army	Texas: Bliss—Combat Aviation Brigade Complex, Increment III	94,000	The President
Army	Texas: Bliss—Hospital Add/Alt, WBAMC	24,000	The President
Army	Texas: Bliss—Hospital Replacement	89,000	The President
Army	Texas: Bliss—Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility 2	104,000	The President
Army	Texas: Brownsville—Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,000	The President
Army	Texas: Huntsville—Armed Forces Reserve Center	16,000	The President
Army	Texas: Kingsville—Armed Forces Reserve Center	17,500	The President
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB—Joint Base San Antonio Headquarters Facility	8,500	The President
Army	Texas: Lufkin—Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,500	The President
Air Force	Texas: Randolph AFB—Renovate Building 38	2,050	The President
Army	Texas: Red River—Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,200	The President
Agency—TMA	Texas: Fort Sam Houston—San Antonio Military Medical Center (North) Increment III	163,750	The President
Army	Texas: Sam Houston—Add/Alt Building 2270	18,000	The President
Army	Texas: Sam Houston—Housing, Enlisted Permanent Party	10,800	The President
Army	Texas: Sam Houston—IMCOM Campus Area Infrastructure	11,000	The President
Army	Texas: Sam Houston—Headquarters Bldg, IMCOM	48,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Belvoir—Infrastructure Support, Increment III	13,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Belvoir—Infrastructure Support, Increment III	39,400	The President
Army	Virginia: Belvoir—NARMC HQ Building	17,500	The President
Agency—NGA	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—NGA Headquarters Facility	168,749	The President
Agency—TMA	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—Hospital Replacement, Increment IV	140,750	The President
Agency—TMA	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—Dental Clinic	12,600	The President
Agency—WHS	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—Office Complex, Increment III	360,533	The President
Army	Virginia: Eustis—Bldg 705 Renv (AAA & 902d MI)	1,600	The President
Army	Virginia: Eustis—Headquarters Bldg, IMCOM Eastern Region	5,700	The President
Army	Virginia: Eustis—Headquarters Building, TRADOC, Increment II	34,300	The President
Army	Virginia: Eustis—Joint Task Force—Civil Support	19,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Eustis—Renovation for ACA and NETCOM	4,800	The President
Army	Virginia: Lee—AAFES Troop Store	1,850	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Virginia: Lee—Administrative Building [DCMA]	28,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Lee—Combat Service Support School, Ph 1, Increment IV	30,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Lee—Combat Service Support School, Ph 2, Increment III	137,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Lee—Combat Service Support School, Ph 3, Increment II	145,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Lee—Consolidated Troop Med/Dntl Clinic	20,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Lee—HQs, Transportation Management Detachment	1,200	The President
Army	Virginia: Lee—USMC Training Facilities	25,000	The President
Navy	Virginia: Arlington—Crystal Park 5 to Arlington Service Center	33,660	The President
Navy	Virginia: Chesapeake—Joint Regional Correctional Facility (INCR II of II)	47,560	The President
Navy	Virginia: Norfolk—Building 1558 Renovations for SPAWAR	2,510	The President
Army	West Virginia: Elkins—Armed Forces Reserve Center	22,000	The President
Army	West Virginia: Fairmont—Armed Forces Reserve Center	21,000	The President
Army	West Virginia: Spencer-Ripley—Armed Forces Reserve Center	19,540	The President
	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS		
Army	Afghanistan: AIRBORNE—DINING FACILITY	2,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: AIRBORNE—WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	The President
Army	Afghanistan: ALTIMUR—DINING FACILITY	2,150	The President
Army	Afghanistan: ALTIMUR—WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	The President
Army	Afghanistan: ASADABAD—WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—ACCESS ROADS	21,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—ARMY PRE-POSITION STOCK COMPOUND	38,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—BARRACKS	18,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—DRAINAGE SYSTEM, PH 2	21,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—FUEL SYSTEM, PH 6	12,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—FUEL SYSTEM, PH 7	5,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—MEDLOG WAREHOUSE	3,350	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—PERIMETER FENCING AND GUARD TOWERS	7,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	8,900	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—CARGO TERMINAL	13,800	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	6,400	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BLESSING—WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	The President
Army	Afghanistan: BOSTICK—WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,500	The President

Army	Afghanistan: DWYER—DINING FACILITY	2,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: DWYER—FUEL SYSTEM, PH 1	5,800	The President
Army	Afghanistan: DWYER—WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	6,900	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: DWYER—CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	The President
Army	Afghanistan: FRONTENAC—DINING FACILITY	2,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: GARDEZ—DINING FACILITY	2,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: GARDEZ—FUEL SYSTEM, PH 1	6,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: GARDEZ—TACTICAL RUNWAY	28,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: GHAZNI—WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	5,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: JALALABAD—AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	35,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: JALALABAD—DINING FACILITY	4,350	The President
Army	Afghanistan: JALALABAD—PERIMETER FENCING	2,050	The President
Army	Afghanistan: JOYCE—DINING FACILITY	2,100	The President
Army	Afghanistan: JOYCE—WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KABUL—CAMP PHOENIX WEST EXPANSION	39,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KABUL—USFOR-A HEADQUARTERS & HOUSING	98,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—SOUTHPARK ROADS	11,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—TANKER TRUCK OFFLOAD FACILITY	23,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—THEATER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	55,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	10,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—WAREHOUSE	20,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	10,500	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—CAS APRON EXPANSION	25,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—CARGO HELICOPTER APRON	32,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	6,400	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—ISR APRON EXPANSION	40,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—REFUELER APRON/RELOCATE HCP	66,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—RELOCATE NORTH AIRFIELD ROAD	16,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—SECURE RSOI FACILITY	9,700	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—TACTICAL AIRLFIT APRON	29,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: MAYWAND—DINING FACILITY	6,600	The President
Army	Afghanistan: MAYWAND—WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	5,600	The President
Army	Afghanistan: METHAR-LAM—WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	4,150	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SALERNO—WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	5,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SALERNO—ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION GRID	2,600	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SALERNO—FUEL SYSTEM, PH 1	12,800	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SALERNO—DINING FACILITY	4,300	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Afghanistan: SALERNO—RUNWAY UPGRADE	25,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHANK—DINING FACILITY	4,350	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHANK—ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION GRID	4,600	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHANK—WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	8,100	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHANK—WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,650	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: SHANK—CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHARANA—ROTARY WING PARKING	32,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHARANA—AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	14,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHARANA—AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	12,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHARANA—ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION GRID	2,600	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TARIN KOWT—DINING FACILITY	4,350	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TARIN KOWT—FUEL SYSTEM PHASE 2	11,800	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TARIN KOWT—WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA	6,800	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TARIN KOWT—AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	35,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: TARIN KOWT—CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—BASIC LOAD AMMUNITION HOLDING AREA	7,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—DINING FACILITY	8,900	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—ENTRY CONTROL POINT AND ACCESS ROADS	14,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—FUEL SYSTEM, PH 2	14,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—LEVEL 3 MEDICAL FACILITY	16,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—ROADS	4,300	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—WATER SUPPLY AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	6,200	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACS	8,900	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—CAS APRON EXPANSION	40,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—CARGO HANDLING AREA	18,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	6,300	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—ISR APRON	41,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—STRATEGIC AIRLIFT APRON EXPANSION	32,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—SECURE RSOI FACILITY	10,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: WOLVERINE—DINING FACILITY	2,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: WOLVERINE—FUEL SYSTEM, PH 1	5,800	The President
Army	Afghanistan: WOLVERINE—WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	6,900	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: WOLVERINE—CARGO HANDLING AREA	4,900	The President

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

Agency—Veterans Health Administration	Colorado: Denver—New Medical Facility	119,000	The President, Senators Mark Udall and Bennet
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	Florida: Orlando—New Medical Facility	371,300	The President, Senators Bill Nelson and Martinez
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	Puerto Rico: San Juan—Seismic Corrections Building 1	42,000	The President
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	Missouri: St. Louis—Medical Facility Improvements and Cemetery Expansion	19,700	The President, Senator Bond
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	Florida: Bay Pines—Inpatient/Outpatient Improvements	96,800	The President, Senators Bill Nelson and Martinez
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	California: Livermore—Realignment and Closure (Design and Land Purchase)	55,430	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	New York: Canandaigua—Construction and Renovation (Design)	36,850	The President, Senators Schumer and Gillibrand
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	California: San Diego—Seismic Deficiency (Design)	18,340	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	California: Long Beach—Seismic Corrections—Mental Health and Community Living Center (Design).	24,200	The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	Missouri: St. Louis—Replace Bed Tower/Clinic Expansion (Design)	43,340	The President, Senator Bond
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	Massachusetts: Brockton—Long-term Care Spinal Cord Injury Unit (Design)	24,040	The President, Senators Kennedy and Kerry
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	Maryland: Perry Point—Replacement Community Living Center (Design)	9,000	The President, Senator Mikulski
Agency—National Cemetery Administration	Illinois: Chicago—Abraham Lincoln National Cemetery—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements—Phase 2.	38,300	The President, Senator Durbin
Agency—National Cemetery Administration	Texas: Houston—Houston National Cemetery—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements—Phase 4.	35,000	The President, Senator Hutchison

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Alabama: Fort Rucker—Army AVIM Hangar (Hanchey Field) (P&D)	1,170	Senator Sessions
Army	Alabama: Redstone Arsenal—Gate 7 Access Control Point	3,550	Senator Sessions
Def-Wide—MDA	Alabama: Redstone Arsenal—Missile and Space Intelligence Center (EOEC)	12,000	Senator Shelby
Army	Alaska: Fort Richardson—Combat Pistol Range	4,900	Senator Begich
Air Force	Alaska: Eielson AFB—Arctic Utilidors, PH 11	9,900	Senator Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: Eielson AFB—Taxiway Golf Lighting	3,450	Senator Murkowski
Air Force	Arkansas: Little Rock Air Force Base—Security Forces Operations Facility	10,400	Senators Pryor and Lincoln
Air Guard	California: Fresno Yosemite IAP—Squadron Operations Facility	9,900	Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Army	Colorado: Fort Carson—Convoy Skills Trainer (IED)	1,950	Senators Mark Udall and Michael Bennet
Air Force	Colorado: Peterson AFB—Widen Paine Street	2,000	Senators Mark Udall and Michael Bennet
Air Guard	Colorado: Buckley Air Force Base—Add/Alter Weapons Release	4,500	Senators Mark Udall and Michael Bennet
Air Guard	Connecticut: Bradley National Airport—CNAF Beddown, Upgrade Facilities	9,100	Senators Dodd and Lieberman

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Air Force	Delaware: Dover AFB—Chapel Center	7,500	Senators Carper and Kaufman
Army	Florida: Eglin AFB—Elevated Water Storage Tank (Camp Rudder)	1,200	Senator Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: Patrick AFB—Combat Weapons Training Facility	8,400	Senators Bill Nelson and Martinez
Air Force	Georgia: Moody Air Force Base—Rescue Operations/Maintenance Headquarters Facility	8,900	Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army Guard	Georgia: Hunter Army Aviation Faciity—Readiness Center	8,967	Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Air Force	Hawaii: Kona International Airport—C–17 Kona Short Auxiliary Airfield (P&D)	910	Senators Inouye and Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Naval Station Pearl Harbor—Production Services Support Facility	30,360	Senators Inouye and Akaka
Air Force	Illinois: Scott Air Force Base—Aeromedical Evacuation Squadron Facility	7,400	Senator Durbin
Army Guard	Illinois: Milan Readiness Center—Readiness Center Addition	5,600	Senators Durbin and Burris
Navy	Indiana: Crane Naval Surface Warfare Center—Strategic Weapons Systems Engineering Facility	13,710	Senator Lugar
Army Guard	Iowa: Davenport—Armed Forces Reserve Center/Field Maintenance Shop (P&D)	180	Senators Harkin and Grassley
Army Guard	Iowa: Davenport—Add/Alt Army Aviation Support Facility	2,000	Senator Harkin
Army Guard	Iowa: Johnston—United States Property and Fiscal Office	4,000	Senator Harkin
Air Guard	Iowa: Des Moines—Add/Alt Security Forces Facility	4,600	Senators Harkin and Grassley
Air Force	Idaho: Mountain Home Air Force Base—Civil Engineer Maintenance Complex (P&D)	1,700	Senators Crapo and Risch
Army Guard	Kansas: Salina Army Aviation Facilty—Taxiway Alterations, ARNG Aviation Support Facility	2,227	Senators Brownback and Roberts
Army	Kansas: Fort Riley—Estes Road Access Control Point	7,100	Senators Brownback and Roberts
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell—SOF Language Sustainment Training Facility	5,800	Senators Alexander and Corker
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell—Installation Chapel Center	14,400	Senators McConnell and Bunning
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell—Warrior Rehabilitation and Fitness Center (P&D)	900	Senators McConnell and Bunning
Chem Demil Const	Kentucky: Blue Grass Army Depot—Chemical Demilitarization Project	5,000	Senator McConnell
Air Force	Louisiana: Barksdale Air Force Base—Phase Five Ramp Replacement—Aircraft Apron Pavement	12,800	Senators Landrieu and Vitter
Navy	Maine: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard—Consolidation of Structural Shops (P&D)	2,000	Senators Collins, Snowe, Gregg, and Shaheen
Navy	Maine: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard—Gate #2 Security Improvements	7,100	Senators Collins and Snowe
Army	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground—Analytical Chemistry Wing—Advanced Chemistry Laboratory.	15,500	Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Army Guard	Massachusetts: Hanscom Air Force Base—Joint Force Headquarters (P&D)	2,663	Senators Kennedy and Kerry
Air Guard	Massachussetts: Otis Air National Guard Base—Composite Operations and Training Facility	12,800	Senators Kennedy and Kerry
Air Guard	Michigan: Battle Creek Air National Guard Base—CNAF Bed Down Facilities	14,000	Senators Levin and Stabenow
Air Guard	Michigan: Selfridge Air National Guard Base—A–10 Squad Operations Facility	7,100	Senators Levin and Stabenow
Air Guard	Michigan: Alpena Combat Readiness Training Center—Replace Troop Quarters	8,900	Senators Levin and Stabenow
Air Guard	Minnesota: Minneapolis/St. Paul IAP 133rd AW Base—STARBASE Facility Alteration	1,900	Senator Klobuchar
Air Force	Mississippi: Columbus Air Force Base—Aircraft Maintenance Administration Facility	10,000	Senator Cochran
Army Guard	Mississippi: Monticello—National Guard Readiness Center	14,350	Senators Cochran and Wicker
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood—Brigade Headquarters (P&D)	584	Senator Bond

Air Guard	Missouri: Rosecrans Memorial Airport, St. Joseph—Replace Fire/Crash Rescue Station, PH II	9,300	Senator Bond
Air Force	Montana: Malmstrom AFB—Upgrade Weapons Storage Area	9,600	Senators Baucus and Tester
Air Force	Nebraska: Offutt Air Force Base—STRATCOM Gate	10,400	Senator Ben Nelson
Navy	Nevada: Naval Air Station Fallon—Warrior Physical Training Facility	11,450	Senators Reid and Ensign
Army Guard	Nevada: Floyd Edsall Training Center—Add/Alt Clark County Armory	2,000	Senators Reid and Ensign
Army Guard	Nevada: Carson City—Renewable Energy Sustainable Projects	2,000	Senator Reid
Air Guard	Nevada: Reno—Fire Station Replacement	10,800	Senators Reid and Ensign
Air Guard	New Hampshire: Pease Air National Guard Base—Replace Squadron Operations Facilities	10,000	Senators Gregg and Shaheen
Air Guard	New Jersey: 108th Air Refueling Wing, McGuire AFB—Base Civil Engineering Complex	9,700	Senators Lautenberg and Menendez
Air Force	New Mexico: Cannon AFB—Dormitory (P&D)	1,500	Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Def-Wide—SOCOM	New Mexico: Cannon AFB—SOF AC–130 Loadout Apron, PH 1	6,000	Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Air Force Reserve	New York: Niagara Falls ARB—Indoor Small Arms Range	5,700	Senators Schumer and Gillibrand
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg—Automated Sniper Field Fire Range	3,450	Senator Hagan
Air Force	North Carolina: Pope AFB—Air Traffic Control Tower	7,700	Senator Burr
Air Force	North Dakota: Grand Forks AFB—Consolidated Security Forces Facility	12,000	Senators Dorgan and Conrad
Army Guard	North Dakota: Bismarck—Raymond J Bohn Readiness Center Addition	2,000	Senators Dorgan and Conrad
Air Force	Ohio: Wright-Patterson Air Force Base—Replace West Ramp, PH 2	10,600	Senators Brown and Voinovich
Air Force	Oklahoma: Vance Air Force Base—Control Tower	10,700	Senator Inhofe
Army Guard	Oregon: Clackamas County—Camp Withycombe Infrastructure (Storm Sewer) (P&D)	1,226	Senators Wyden and Merkley
Army Guard	Oregon: Clatsop County, Warrenton—Water Supply System (Camp Rilea)	3,369	Senators Wyden and Merkley
Army Guard	Oregon: Washington County—Readiness Center (P&D)	386	Senators Wyden and Merkley
Air Force Reserve	Pennsylvania: Pittsburgh Air Reserve Base—Visiting Quarters, PH 1	12,400	Senators Specter and Casey
Navy	Rhode Island: Naval Station Newport—Renovation of Senior Enlisted Academy	10,550	Senator Reed
Air Guard	South Carolina: McEntire Joint National Guard Base—Joint Force Headquarters Building	1,300	Senator Graham
Air Force	South Dakota: Ellsworth Air Force Base—Add/Alter Deployment Center	14,500	Senators Johnson and Thune
Army Guard	South Dakota: Camp Rapid—Joint Force HQ Readiness Center Supplement	7,890	Senators Johnson and Thune
Army Guard	South Dakota: Camp Rapid—Troop Medical Clinic Addition and Alteration	1,950	Senators Johnson and Thune
Air Guard	South Dakota: Joe Foss Field—Add/Alter Munitions Maintenance Complex	1,300	Senators Johnson and Thune
Air Guard	South Dakota: Joe Foss Field—Above Ground Multi-Cubicle Magazine Storage	1,300	Senators Johnson and Thune
Air Guard	Tennessee: Memphis IAP—Engineer Maintenance and Training Facility	9,800	Senators Alexander and Corker
Air Force	Texas: Dyess Air Force Base—Mission Operations Center (P&D)	390	Senators Hutchison and Cornyn
Air Force	Texas: Goodfellow Air Force Base—Consolidated Learning Center	12,000	Senators Hutchison and Cornyn
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB—Consolidated Security Forces Ops Center, PH 2 (P&D)	342	Senators Hutchison and Cornyn
Air Force	Texas: Laughlin AFB—Student Officer Quarters, Phase 2 (P&D)	713	Senators Hutchison and Cornyn
Air Force	Texas: Sheppard Air Force Base—European NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training Operations Complex, PH 1.	11,600	Senators Hutchison and Cornyn
Army	Utah: Dugway Proving Ground—Life Science Test Facility Addition (P&D)	2,890	Senators Bennett and Hatch
Air Guard	Utah: Hill AFB—PCC Apron Northwest End Taxiway	5,100	Senator Hatch
Army Guard	Vermont: Ethan Allen Firing Range—BOQ Additions and Improvements	1,996	Senators Leahy and Sanders
Army Guard	Vermont: Morrisville—Field Vehicle Maintenance Shop (P&D)	812	Senators Leahy and Sanders

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Air Guard	Vermont: Burlington International Airport—Add/Alter Fire Crash and Rescue Station	6,000	Senators Leahy and Sanders
Army	Virginia: Fort Eustis—Upgrade Marshalling Area	8,900	Senators Webb and Warner
Navy	Virginia: NSCW Dalghren—Electromagnetic Research & Engineering Facility	3,660	Senators Webb and Warner
Air Force	Washington: Fairchild Air Force Base—SERE Force Support Complex, PH 1	11,000	Senators Murray and Cantwell
Def-Wide—TMA	Washington: Fort Lewis—Women's Health Center Facility (P&D)	2,000	Senators Murray and Cantwell
Navy	West Virginia: Sugar Grove—Emergency Services Center	9,560	Senator Byrd
Army Guard	West Virginia: St. Albans Armory—Addition Life Safety Upgrade	2,000	Senator Byrd
Air Guard	West Virginia: Shepherd Airbase, Martinsburg—C–5 Taxiway Upgrades	19,500	Senator Byrd
Air Guard	Wisconsin: General Mitchell International Airport—Upgrade Corrosion Control Hangar	5,000	Senator Kohl
Army Reserve	Wisconsin: Fort McCoy—Range Utility Upgrade	3,850	Senator Kohl
Air Guard	Wyoming: Cheyenne Airport—Squadron Operations	1,500	Senators Enzi and Barrasso

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or −)	
				2009 appropriation	Budget estimate
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE					
Military construction, Army	4,692,648	3,660,779	3,477,673	−1,214,975	−183,106
Rescission	−51,320			+51,320	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	180,000			−180,000	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–32)	143,242			−143,242	
Rescission (emergency appropriations) (Public Law 111–32)	−143,242			+143,242	
Total	4,821,328	3,660,779	3,477,673	−1,343,655	−183,106
Military construction, Navy and Marine Corps	3,333,369	3,763,264	3,548,771	+215,402	−214,493
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	280,000			−280,000	
Total	3,613,369	3,763,264	3,548,771	−64,598	−214,493
Military construction, Air Force	1,117,746	1,145,434	1,213,539	+95,793	+68,105
Rescission	−20,821			+20,821	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	180,000			−180,000	
Total	1,276,925	1,145,434	1,213,539	−63,386	+68,105
Military construction, Defense-Wide	1,695,204	3,097,526	3,069,114	+1,373,910	−28,412
Rescission	−3,589			+3,589	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	1,450,000			−1,450,000	
Total	3,141,615	3,097,526	3,069,114	−72,501	−28,412
Total, Active components	12,853,237	11,667,003	11,309,097	−1,544,140	−357,906
Military construction, Army National Guard	736,317	426,491	497,210	−239,107	+70,719
Rescission	−1,400			+1,400	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or −)	
				2009 appropriation	Budget estimate
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	50,000	.....	.....	−50,000	.....
Total	784,917	426,491	497,210	−287,707	+70,719
Military construction, Air National Guard	242,924	128,261	297,661	+54,737	+169,400
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	50,000	.....	.....	−50,000	.....
Total	292,924	128,261	297,661	+4,737	+169,400
Military construction, Army Reserve	282,607	374,862	379,012	+96,405	+4,150
Military construction, Navy Reserve	57,045	64,124	64,124	+7,079	.....
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	36,958	27,476	47,376	+10,418	+19,900
Total, Reserve components	1,454,451	1,021,214	1,285,383	−169,068	+264,169
Total, Military construction	14,307,688	12,688,217	12,594,480	−1,713,208	−93,737
Appropriations	(12,194,818)	(12,688,217)	(12,594,480)	(+399,662)	(−93,737)
Rescissions	(−77,130)	.....	.....	(+77,130)	.....
Emergency appropriations	(2,333,242)	.....	.....	(−2,333,242)	.....
Overseas contingency operations	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	230,867	276,314	276,314	+45,447	.....
Family housing construction, Army	646,580	273,236	273,236	−373,344	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	34,507	.....	.....	−34,507	.....
Total	681,087	273,236	273,236	−407,851	.....
Family housing operation and maintenance, Army	716,110	523,418	523,418	−192,692	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	3,932	.....	.....	−3,932	.....
Total	720,042	523,418	523,418	−196,624	.....

Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps	380,123	146,569	146,569	−233,554	.....
Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	376,062	368,540	368,540	−7,522	.....
Family housing construction, Air Force	395,879	66,101	66,101	−329,778	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	80,100	.....	.....	−80,100	.....
Total	475,979	66,101	66,101	−409,878	.....
Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force	594,465	502,936	502,936	−91,529	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	16,461	.....	.....	−16,461	.....
Total	610,926	502,936	502,936	−107,990	.....
Family housing construction, Defense-Wide	.....	2,859	2,859	+2,859	.....
Rescission	−6,040	.....	.....	+6,040	.....
Total	−6,040	2,859	2,859	+8,899	.....
Family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide	49,231	49,214	49,214	−17	.....
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	850	2,600	2,600	+1,750	.....
Homeowners assistance fund	4,500	23,225	373,225	+368,725	+350,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	555,000	.....	.....	−555,000	.....
Total	559,500	23,225	373,225	−186,275	+350,000
Total, Family housing	3,847,760	1,958,698	2,308,698	−1,539,062	+350,000
Appropriations	(3,163,800)	(1,958,698)	(2,308,698)	(−855,102)	(+350,000)
Rescissions	(−6,040)	.....	.....	(+6,040)	.....
Emergency appropriations	(690,000)	.....	.....	(−690,000)	.....
Chemical demilitarization construction, Defense-Wide	144,278	146,541	151,541	+7,263	+5,000
Base realignment and closure:					
Base realignment and closure account, 1990	458,377	396,768	421,768	−36,609	+25,000
Base realignment and closure account, 2005	8,765,613	7,479,498	7,479,498	−1,286,115	.....
Total, Base realignment and closure	9,223,990	7,876,266	7,901,266	−1,322,724	+25,000
Air National Guard Fire Stations (Sec. 131)	28,000	.....	.....	−28,000	.....
Army National Guard Aviation and Training (Sec. 132)	147,000	.....	.....	−147,000	.....
Total, title I	27,929,583	22,946,036	23,232,299	−4,697,284	+286,263
Appropriations	(25,132,753)	(22,946,036)	(23,232,299)	(−1,900,454)	(+286,263)
Rescissions	(−83,170)	.....	.....	(+83,170)	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or −)	
				2009 appropriation	Budget estimate
Emergency appropriations	(2,880,000)	.....	.....	(−2,880,000)	.....
Overseas contingency operations	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS					
Veterans Benefits Administration					
Compensation and pensions	43,111,681	47,218,207	47,218,207	+4,106,526	.....
Readjustment benefits	3,832,944	8,663,624	8,663,624	+4,830,680	.....
Veterans insurance and indemnities	42,300	49,288	49,288	+6,988	.....
Veterans housing benefit program fund (indefinite)	2,000	23,553	23,553	+21,553	.....
(Limitation on direct loans)	(500)	(500)	(500)	.....	.....
Credit subsidy	−246,000	−133,000	−133,000	+113,000	.....
Administrative expenses	157,210	165,082	165,082	+7,872	.....
Guaranteed Transitional Housing Loans for Homeless Veterans	(750)	(750)	(750)	.....	.....
Vocational rehabilitation loans program account	61	29	29	−32	.....
(Limitation on direct loans)	(3,180)	(2,298)	(2,298)	(−882)	.....
Administrative expenses	320	328	328	+8	.....
Native American veteran housing loan program account	646	664	664	+18	.....
Total, Veterans Benefits Administration	46,901,162	55,987,775	55,987,775	+9,086,613	.....
Veterans Health Administration					
Medical services	30,969,903	34,704,500	34,704,500	+3,734,597	.....
Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2011	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Subtotal	30,969,903	34,704,500	34,704,500	+3,734,597	.....
Medical support and compliance	4,450,000	5,100,000	5,100,000	+650,000	.....
Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2011	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Subtotal	4,450,000	5,100,000	5,100,000	+650,000	.....

Medical facilities	5,029,000	4,693,000	4,849,883	−179,117	+156,883
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	1,000,000	.....	.....	−1,000,000	.....
Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2011	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Subtotal	6,029,000	4,693,000	4,849,883	−1,179,117	+156,883
Medical and prosthetic research	510,000	580,000	580,000	+70,000	.....
Medical care cost recovery collections:					
Offsetting collections	−2,544,000	−2,954,000	−2,954,000	−410,000	.....
Appropriations (indefinite)	2,544,000	2,954,000	2,954,000	+410,000	.....
Total, Veterans Health Administration	41,958,903	45,077,500	45,234,383	+3,275,480	+156,883
Appropriations	(40,958,903)	(45,077,500)	(45,234,383)	(+4,275,480)	(+156,883)
Emergency appropriations	(1,000,000)	.....	.....	(−1,000,000)	.....
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2011	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
National Cemetery Administration					
National Cemetery Administration	230,000	242,000	250,000	+20,000	+8,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	50,000	.....	.....	−50,000	.....
Total, National Cemetery Administration	280,000	242,000	250,000	−30,000	+8,000
Emergency appropriations	(50,000)	.....	.....	(−50,000)	.....
Departmental Administration					
General operating expenses	1,801,867	2,218,500	2,086,251	+284,384	−132,249
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	150,000	.....	.....	−150,000	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	7,000	.....	.....	−7,000	.....
Subtotal	1,958,867	2,218,500	2,086,251	+127,384	−132,249
Information technology systems	2,489,391	3,307,000	3,307,000	+817,609	.....
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	50,000	.....	.....	−50,000	.....
Subtotal	2,539,391	3,307,000	3,307,000	+767,609	.....
Office of Inspector General	87,818	107,000	109,000	+21,182	+2,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	1,000	.....	.....	−1,000	.....
Subtotal	88,818	107,000	109,000	+20,182	+2,000
Construction, major projects	923,382	1,194,000	1,194,000	+270,618	.....
Construction, minor projects	741,534	600,000	685,000	−56,534	+85,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or −)	
				2009 appropriation	Budget estimate
Grants for construction of State extended carefacilities	175,000	85,000	115,000	−60,000	+30,000
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 111–5)	150,000			−150,000	
Subtotal	325,000	85,000	115,000	−210,000	+30,000
Grants for the construction of State veteranscemeteries	42,000	42,000	42,000		
Total, Departmental Administration	6,618,992	7,553,500	7,538,251	+919,259	−15,249
Appropriations	(6,260,992)	(7,553,500)	(7,538,251)	(+1,277,259)	(−15,249)
Emergency appropriations	(358,000)			(−358,000)	
Administrative Provisions					
IRS income verification	−2,000			+2,000	
Sec. 160 Filipino Veterans Compensation Fund (Public Law 110–329) (emergency)	198,000			−198,000	
Total, title II	95,955,057	108,860,775	109,010,409	+13,055,352	+149,634
Appropriations	(94,349,057)	(108,860,775)	(109,010,409)	(+14,661,352)	(+149,634)
Emergency appropriations	(1,606,000)			(−1,606,000)	
Rescissions (emergency appropriations)					
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2011					
(Limitation on direct loans)	(3,680)	(2,798)	(2,798)	(−882)	
Discretionary	(49,212,132)	(53,039,103)	(53,188,737)	(+3,976,605)	(+149,634)
Mandatory	(46,742,925)	(55,821,672)	(55,821,672)	(+9,078,747)	
TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES					
American Battle Monuments Commission					
Salaries and expenses	59,470	60,300	63,549	+4,079	+3,249
(By transfer)	(500)			(−500)	

Foreign currency fluctuations account	17,100	17,100	17,100	.....	.....
Total, American Battle Monuments Commission	76,570	77,400	80,649	+4,079	+3,249
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims					
Salaries and expenses	30,975	27,115	27,115	−3,860	.....
Department of Defense—Civil					
Cemeterial Expenses, Army					
Salaries and expenses	36,730	37,200	37,200	+470	.....
Armed Forces Retirement Home					
Operation and maintenance	54,985	62,000	62,000	+7,015	.....
Capital program	8,025	72,000	72,000	+63,975	.....
Total, Armed Forces Retirement Home	63,010	134,000	134,000	+70,990	.....
Total, title III	207,285	275,715	278,964	+71,679	+3,249
(By transfer)	(500)	.....	.....	(−500)	.....
TITLE IV—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE					
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS					
Military construction, Army (overseas contingency operations)	1,182,989	923,884	924,484	−258,505	+600
Military construction, Navy and Marine Corps (overseas contingency operations)	235,881	.....	.....	−235,881	.....
Military construction, Air Force (overseas contingency operations)	281,620	474,500	474,500	+192,880	.....
Military construction, Defense-Wide (overseas contingency operations)	661,552	6,600	.....	−661,552	−6,600
Total, Active components	2,362,042	1,404,984	1,398,984	−963,058	−6,000
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (overseas contingency operations)	100,000	.....	.....	−100,000	.....
Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005 (overseas contingency operations)	263,300	.....	.....	−263,300	.....
Total, title IV	2,725,342	1,404,984	1,398,984	−1,326,358	−6,000
Overseas contingency operations	(2,725,342)	(1,404,984)	(1,398,984)	(−1,326,358)	(−6,000)
TITLE V—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS					
Veterans Health Administration					
Medical services (Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2011)	.....	.....	37,136,000	+37,136,000	+37,136,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2009 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or −)	
				2009 appropriation	Budget estimate
Medical support and compliance (Advance appropriations fiscal year 2011)	.....	.....	5,307,000	+5,307,000	+5,307,000
Medical facilities (Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2011)	.....	.....	5,740,000	+5,740,000	+5,740,000
Total, Veterans Health Administration	.....	.....	48,183,000	+48,183,000	+48,183,000
Total, title V	.....	.....	48,183,000	+48,183,000	+48,183,000
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2011	.....	.....	(48,183,000)	(+48,183,000)	(+48,183,000)
Grand total	126,817,267	133,487,510	182,103,656	+55,286,389	+48,616,146
Appropriations	(119,689,095)	(132,082,526)	(132,521,672)	(+12,832,577)	(+439,146)
Rescissions	(−83,170)	.....	.....	(+83,170)	.....
Emergency appropriations	(4,629,242)	.....	.....	(−4,629,242)	.....
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2011	.....	.....	(48,183,000)	(+48,183,000)	(+48,183,000)
Overseas contingency operations	(2,725,342)	(1,404,984)	(1,398,984)	(−1,326,358)	(−6,000)
(By transfer)	(500)	.....	.....	(−500)	.....
(Limitation on direct loans)	(3,680)	(2,798)	(2,798)	(−882)	.....

○